

**Properties of  $\bar{X}$ :** From Theorem on p.138, mean and variance of  $\bar{X}$  are obtained as follows:

$$E(\bar{X}) = \mu, \quad V(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

**Properties of  $S^{*2}$ ,  $S^2$  and  $S^{**2}$ :** The expectation of  $S^{*2}$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} E(S^{*2}) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E((X_i - \mu)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n V(X_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma^2 = \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

270

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2\right) - \frac{n}{n-1} E((\bar{X} - \mu)^2) \\ &= \frac{n}{n-1} \sigma^2 - \frac{n}{n-1} \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) = n(\bar{X} - \mu)$  is used in the sixth equality.

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2\right) = E(S^{*2}) = \sigma^2 \text{ and}$$

$$E((\bar{X} - \mu)^2) = V(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \text{ are required in the eighth equality.}$$

272

## 7.4 Point Estimation: Optimality

$\theta$  denotes the parameter to be estimated.

$\hat{\theta}_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  represents the estimator of  $\theta$ , while  $\hat{\theta}_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  indicates the estimate of  $\theta$ .

Hereafter, in the case of no confusion,  $\hat{\theta}_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$  is simply written as  $\hat{\theta}_n$ .

As discussed above, there are numerous candidates of the estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$ .

274

Next, the expectation of  $S^2$  is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} E(S^2) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n ((X_i - \mu) - (\bar{X} - \mu))^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n ((X_i - \mu)^2 - 2(X_i - \mu)(\bar{X} - \mu) + (\bar{X} - \mu)^2)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n-1} E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - 2(\bar{X} - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) + n(\bar{X} - \mu)^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

271

Finally, the expectation of  $S^{**2}$  is represented by:

$$\begin{aligned} E(S^{**2}) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) = E\left(\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right) \\ &= E\left(\frac{n-1}{n} S^2\right) = \frac{n-1}{n} E(S^2) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \neq \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above results, we obtain as follows:

$$E(S^{*2}) = \sigma^2, \quad E(S^2) = \sigma^2, \quad E(S^{**2}) = \frac{n-1}{n} \sigma^2 \neq \sigma^2.$$

273

The desired properties of  $\hat{\theta}_n$  are:

- **unbiasedness** (不偏性),
- **efficiency** (有効性).
- **consistency** (一致性) and
- **sufficiency** (十分性). ← Not discussed in this class.

275

**Unbiasedness (不偏性):** One of the desirable features that the estimator of the parameter should have is given by:

$$E(\hat{\theta}_n) = \theta, \quad (12)$$

which implies that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is distributed around  $\theta$ .

When (12) holds,  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is called the **unbiased estimator (不偏推定量)** of  $\theta$ .

$E(\hat{\theta}_n) - \theta$  is defined as **bias (偏り)**.

276

2. The estimators of  $\sigma^2$  are:

$$\bullet S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2, \quad \bullet S^{**2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

Since we have obtained  $E(\bar{X}) = \mu$  and  $E(S^2) = \sigma^2$ ,  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are unbiased estimators of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

We have obtained the result  $E(S^{**2}) \neq \sigma^2$  and therefore  $S^{**2}$  is not an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

According to the criterion of unbiasedness,  $S^2$  is preferred to  $S^{**2}$  for estimation of  $\sigma^2$ .

278

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are mutually independently and identically distributed and the distribution of  $X_i$  is  $f(x_i; \theta)$ .

For any unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ , denoted by  $\hat{\theta}_n$ , it is known that we have the following inequality:

$$V(\hat{\theta}_n) \geq \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n}, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{where } \sigma^2(\theta) &= \frac{1}{E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right)} = \frac{1}{V\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right)}, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

280

As an example of unbiasedness, consider the case of  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are mutually independently and identically distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

Consider the following estimators of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

1. The estimator of  $\mu$  is:

$$\bullet \bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i.$$

277

**Efficiency (有効性):** Consider two estimators,  $\hat{\theta}_n$  and  $\tilde{\theta}_n$ .

Both are assumed to be unbiased.

That is,  $E(\hat{\theta}_n) = \theta$  and  $E(\tilde{\theta}_n) = \theta$ .

When  $V(\hat{\theta}_n) < V(\tilde{\theta}_n)$ , we say that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is more efficient than  $\tilde{\theta}_n$ .

The unbiased estimator with the least variance is known as the **efficient estimator (有効推定量)**.

We have the case where an efficient estimator does not exist.

In order to find the efficient estimator, we utilize **Cramer-Rao inequality (クラメール・ラオの不等式)**.

279

which is known as the **Cramer-Rao inequality (クラメール・ラオの不等式)**.

When there exists the unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  such that the equality in (13) holds,  $\hat{\theta}_n$  becomes the unbiased estimator with minimum variance, which is the **efficient estimator (有効推定量)**.

$\frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n}$  is called the **Cramer-Rao lower bound (クラメール・ラオの下限)**.

281

**Proof of the Cramer-Rao inequality:** We prove the above inequality and the equalities in  $\sigma^2(\theta)$ .

The **likelihood function** (尤度関数)  $l(\theta; x) = l(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is a joint density of  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ .

That is,  $l(\theta; x) = l(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta)$

See Section 7.5 for the **likelihood function** (尤度関数).

282

Differentiating both sides of equation (15) with respect to  $\theta$ , we obtain the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int \frac{\partial l(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta} dx = \int \frac{1}{l(\theta; x)} \frac{\partial l(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta} l(\theta; x) dx \\ &= \int \frac{\partial \log l(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta} l(\theta; x) dx = E\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which implies that the expectation of  $\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}$  is equal to zero.

In the third equality, note that  $\frac{d \log x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x}$ .

284

In the second equality,  $\frac{d \log x}{dx} = \frac{1}{x}$  is utilized.

The third equality holds because of  $E\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0$  from equation (16).

For simplicity of discussion, suppose that  $\theta$  is a scalar.

286

The integration of  $l(\theta; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  with respect to  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is equal to one.

That is, we have the following equation:

$$1 = \int l(\theta; x) dx, \quad (15)$$

where the likelihood function  $l(\theta; x)$  is given by  $l(\theta; x) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i; \theta)$  and  $\int \dots dx$  implies  $n$ -tuple integral.

283

Now, let  $\hat{\theta}_n$  be an estimator of  $\theta$ . The definition of the mathematical expectation of the estimator  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is represented as:

$$E(\hat{\theta}_n) = \int \hat{\theta}_n l(\theta; x) dx. \quad (17)$$

Differentiating equation (17) with respect to  $\theta$  on both sides, we can rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial E(\hat{\theta}_n)}{\partial \theta} &= \int \hat{\theta}_n \frac{\partial l(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta} dx = \int \hat{\theta}_n \frac{\partial \log l(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta} l(\theta; x) dx \\ &= \int (\hat{\theta}_n - E(\hat{\theta}_n)) \left( \frac{\partial \log l(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta} - E\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta}\right) \right) l(\theta; x) dx \\ &= \text{Cov}\left(\hat{\theta}_n, \frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

285

Taking the square on both sides of equation (18), we obtain the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial E(\hat{\theta}_n)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 &= \left(\text{Cov}\left(\hat{\theta}_n, \frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)\right)^2 = \rho^2 V(\hat{\theta}_n) V\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right) \\ &\leq V(\hat{\theta}_n) V\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $\rho$  denotes the correlation coefficient between  $\hat{\theta}_n$  and  $\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}$ .

287

Note that we have the definition of  $\rho$  is given by:

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}\left(\hat{\theta}_n, \frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)}{\sqrt{V(\hat{\theta}_n)} \sqrt{V\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)}}.$$

Moreover, we have  $-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$  (i.e.,  $\rho^2 \leq 1$ ).

Then, the inequality (19) is obtained, which is rewritten as:

$$V(\hat{\theta}_n) \geq \frac{\left(\frac{\partial E(\hat{\theta}_n)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2}{V\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)}. \quad (20)$$

288

When  $E(\hat{\theta}_n) = \theta$ , i.e., when  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is an unbiased estimator of  $\theta$ , the numerator in the right-hand side of equation (20) is equal to one.

Therefore, we have the following result:

$$V(\hat{\theta}_n) \geq \frac{1}{V\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)} = \frac{1}{E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right)}.$$

Note that we have  $V\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right) = E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right)$  in the equality above, because of  $E\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0$ .

289

Moreover, the denominator in the right-hand side of the above inequality is rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) \\ &= E\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) \\ &= nE\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) = n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2 f(x; \theta) dx. \end{aligned}$$

In the first equality,  $\log l(\theta; X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i; \theta)$  is utilized.

290

Since  $X_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are mutually independent, the second equality holds.

The third equality holds because  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are identically distributed.

Therefore, we obtain the following inequality:

$$V(\hat{\theta}_n) \geq \frac{1}{E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log l(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right)} = \frac{1}{nE\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right)} = \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n},$$

which is equivalent to (13).

291

Next, we prove the equalities in (14), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) &= E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) \\ &= V\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating  $\int f(x; \theta) dx = 1$  with respect to  $\theta$ , we obtain as follows:

$$\int \frac{\partial f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx = 0.$$

We assume that the range of  $x$  does not depend on the parameter  $\theta$  and that  $\frac{\partial f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}$  exists.

292

The above equation is rewritten as:

$$\int \frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} f(x; \theta) dx = 0, \quad (21)$$

or equivalently,

$$E\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0. \quad (22)$$

Again, differentiating equation (21) with respect to  $\theta$ ,

$$\int \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} f(x; \theta) dx + \int \frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} dx = 0,$$

293

i.e.,

$$\int \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} f(x; \theta) dx + \int \left( \frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 f(x; \theta) dx = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) + E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$-E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right).$$

294

Moreover, from equation (22), the following equation is derived.

$$E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) = V\left(\frac{\partial \log f(x; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right).$$

Therefore, we have:

$$-E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right) = E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right) = V\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right).$$

295

Thus, the Cramer-Rao inequality is derived as:

$$V(\hat{\theta}_n) \geq \frac{\sigma^2(\theta)}{n},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2(\theta) &= \frac{1}{E\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)^2\right)} = \frac{1}{V\left(\left(\frac{\partial \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)\right)} \\ &= -\frac{1}{E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log f(X; \theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

296