

6. The variance of β_{IV} is given by:

$$V(\beta_{IV}) = s^2(Z'X)^{-1}Z'Z(X'Z)^{-1},$$

where

$$s^2 = \frac{(y - X\beta_{IV})'(y - X\beta_{IV})}{n - k}.$$

232

\hat{X} is the predicted value which regresses X on the other exogenous variables, say W .

That is, consider the following regression model:

$$X = WB + V.$$

Estimate B by OLS.

Then, we obtain the prediction:

$$\hat{X} = W\hat{B},$$

where $\hat{B} = (W'W)^{-1}W'X$.

234

Therefore, we obtain the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\beta_{IV} - \beta) &= \left(\left(\frac{1}{n}X'W \right) \left(\frac{1}{n}W'W \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n}X'W \right)' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n}X'W \right) \left(\frac{1}{n}W'W \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}W'u \right) \\ &\rightarrow N\left(0, (M_{xz}M_{zz}^{-1}M'_{xz})^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

5. Clearly, there is no correlation between W and u at least in the limit, i.e.,

$$\text{plim}\left(\frac{1}{n}W'u\right) = 0.$$

6. **Remark:**

$$\hat{X}'X = X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'X = X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'W(W'W)^{-1}W'X = \hat{X}'\hat{X}.$$

236

12.3 Two-Stage Least Squares Method (2 段階最小二乘法, 2SLS or TSLS)

1. Regression Model:

$$y = X\beta + u, \quad u \sim N(0, \sigma^2I),$$

In the case of $E(X'u) \neq 0$, OLS is not consistent.

2. Find the variable Z which satisfies $\frac{1}{n}Z'u \rightarrow M_{zu} = 0$.

3. Use $Z = \hat{X}$ for the instrumental variable.

233

Or, equivalently,

$$\hat{X} = W(W'W)^{-1}W'X.$$

\hat{X} is used for the instrumental variable of X .

4. The IV method is rewritten as:

$$\beta_{IV} = (\hat{X}'X)^{-1}\hat{X}'y = (X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'X)^{-1}X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'y.$$

Furthermore, β_{IV} is written as follows:

$$\beta_{IV} = \beta + (X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'X)^{-1}X'W(W'W)^{-1}W'u.$$

235

Therefore,

$$\beta_{IV} = (\hat{X}'X)^{-1}\hat{X}'y = (\hat{X}'\hat{X})^{-1}\hat{X}'y,$$

which implies the OLS estimator of β in the regression model: $y = \hat{X}\beta + u$ and $u \sim N(0, \sigma^2I_n)$.

237

13 Large Sample Tests

13.1 Wald, LM and LR Tests

$\theta : K \times 1$

$h(\theta) : G \times 1$ vector function, $G \leq K$

$\theta : K \times 1$

The null hypothesis $H_0 : h(\theta) = 0 \implies G$ restrictions

$\tilde{\theta} : k \times 1$, restricted maximum likelihood estimate

$\hat{\theta} : k \times 1$, unrestricted maximum likelihood estimate

238

Under the null hypothesis $h(\theta) = 0$,

$$h(\hat{\theta}) \approx \frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta'} (\hat{\theta} - \theta) = R_{\tilde{\theta}} (\hat{\theta} - \theta)$$

(b) $\hat{\theta}$ is MLE.

From the properties of MLE,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \longrightarrow N\left(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{I(\theta)}{n}\right)^{-1}\right).$$

That is, approximately, we have the following result:

$$(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \sim N\left(0, (I(\theta))^{-1}\right).$$

240

2. **Lagrange Multiplier Test (ラグランジエ乗数検定):** $LM = F_{\tilde{\theta}}'(I(\tilde{\theta}))^{-1} F_{\tilde{\theta}}$

(a) MLE with the constraint $h(\theta) = 0$:

$$\max_{\theta} \log L(\theta), \quad \text{subject to } h(\theta) = 0$$

The Lagrangian function:

$$L = \log L(\theta) + \lambda h(\theta)$$

242

$I(\theta) : k \times k$, information matrix, i.e.,

$$I(\theta) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right).$$

$\log L(\theta) : \log$ -likelihood function

$$R_{\theta} = \frac{\partial h(\theta)}{\partial \theta'} : G \times k$$

$$F_{\theta} = \frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} : k \times 1$$

1. **Wald Test (ワルド検定):** $W = h(\hat{\theta})'(R_{\tilde{\theta}}(I(\hat{\theta}))^{-1} R_{\tilde{\theta}}')^{-1} h(\hat{\theta})$

$$(a) h(\theta) \approx h(\hat{\theta}) + \frac{\partial h(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta'} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) \iff h(\theta) \text{ is linearized around } \theta = \hat{\theta}.$$

239

(c) The distribution of $h(\hat{\theta})$ is approximately given by:

$$h(\hat{\theta}) \sim N\left(0, R_{\tilde{\theta}}(I(\hat{\theta}))^{-1} R_{\tilde{\theta}}'\right)$$

(d) Therefore, the $\chi^2(G)$ distribution is derived as follows:

$$h(\hat{\theta})'(R_{\tilde{\theta}}(I(\hat{\theta}))^{-1} R_{\tilde{\theta}}')^{-1} h(\hat{\theta}) \longrightarrow \chi^2(G).$$

Furthermore, from the fact that $I(\hat{\theta}) \longrightarrow I(\theta)$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ (i.e., convergence in probability, 確率収束), we can replace θ by $\hat{\theta}$ as follows:

$$h(\hat{\theta})'(R_{\tilde{\theta}}(I(\hat{\theta}))^{-1} R_{\tilde{\theta}}')^{-1} h(\hat{\theta}) \longrightarrow \chi^2(G).$$

241

(b) For maximization, we have the following two equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} + \lambda \frac{\partial h(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} &= h(\theta) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(c) Mean and variance of $\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta}$ are given by:

$$E\left(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0, \quad V\left(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right) = I(\theta).$$

243

(d) Therefore, using the central limit theorem,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \rightarrow N\left(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} I(\theta)\right)\right)$$

(e) Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} (I(\theta))^{-1} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta)}{\partial \theta'} \rightarrow \chi^2(G)$$

Because MLE is consistent, i.e., $\tilde{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$, we have the result:

$$F'_{\tilde{\theta}}(I(\tilde{\theta}))^{-1} F_{\tilde{\theta}} \rightarrow \chi^2(G).$$

244

Note that $\frac{\partial \log L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta} = 0$ because $\hat{\theta}$ is MLE.

$$\begin{aligned} -2(\log L(\theta) - \log L(\hat{\theta})) &\approx -(\theta - \hat{\theta})' \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right) (\theta - \hat{\theta}) \\ &= \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)' \left(-\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right) \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \\ &\rightarrow \chi^2(G) \end{aligned}$$

246

3. Likelihood Ratio Test (尤度比検定): $LR = -2 \log \lambda \rightarrow \chi^2(G)$

$$\lambda = \frac{L(\tilde{\theta})}{L(\hat{\theta})}$$

(a) By Taylor series expansion evaluated at $\theta = \hat{\theta}$, $\log L(\theta)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \log L(\theta) &= \log L(\hat{\theta}) + \frac{\partial \log L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \hat{\theta})' \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) + \dots \\ &= \log L(\hat{\theta}) + \frac{1}{2} (\theta - \hat{\theta})' \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} (\theta - \hat{\theta}) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

245

Note:

- (1) $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$,
- (2) $-\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \rightarrow -\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} E \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\hat{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} I(\theta) \right)$,
- (3) $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow N\left(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} I(\theta) \right)\right)$.

(b) Under $H_0 : h(\theta) = 0$,

$$-2(\log L(\tilde{\theta}) - \log L(\hat{\theta})) \rightarrow \chi^2(G).$$

Remember that $h(\tilde{\theta}) = 0$ is always satisfied.

For proof, see Theil (1971, p.396).

247

4. All of W , LM and LR are asymptotically distributed as $\chi^2(G)$ random variables under the null hypothesis $H_0 : h(\theta) = 0$.

5. Under some conditions, we have $W \geq LR \geq LM$. See Engle (1981) "Wald, Likelihood and Lagrange Multiplier Tests in Econometrics," Chap. 13 in *Handbook of Econometrics*, Vol.2, Grilliches and Intriligator eds, North-Holland.

248