

Remark: The regression model with AR(1) error is:

$$y_t = x_t\beta + u_t, \quad u_t = \rho u_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2).$$

$$V(u) = \sigma^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \cdots & \rho^{n-1} \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \cdots & \rho^{n-2} \\ \rho^2 & \rho & 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho^{n-3} \\ \rho^3 & \rho^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \rho \\ \rho^{n-1} & \rho^{n-2} & \cdots & \rho^2 & \rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \sigma^2 \Omega, \quad \text{where } \sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{1 - \rho^2}.$$

where $\text{Cov}(u_i, u_j) = E(u_i u_j) = \sigma^2 \rho^{|i-j|}$, i.e., the i th row and j th column of Ω is $\rho^{|i-j|}$.

The regression model with AR(1) error is: $y = X\beta + u$, $u \sim N(0, \sigma^2\Omega)$.

There exists P which satisfies that $\Omega = PP'$, because ω is a positive definite matrix.

Multiply P^{-1} on both sides from the left.

$$P^{-1}y = P^{-1}X\beta + P^{-1}u \quad \Longrightarrow \quad y^* = X^*\beta + u^* \text{ and } u^* \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \quad \text{Apply OLS.}$$

$$y^* = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^* \\ y_2^* \\ \vdots \\ y_n^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1-\rho^2}y_1 \\ y_2 - \rho y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n - \rho y_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1-\rho^2} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ -\rho & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\rho & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\rho & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = P^{-1}y$$

$$X^* = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^* \\ x_2^* \\ \vdots \\ x_n^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1-\rho^2}x_1 \\ x_2 - \rho x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n - \rho x_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = P^{-1}X \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Check } P^{-1}\Omega P^{-1'} = aI_n, \\ \text{where } a \text{ is constant.}$$

9.6 MLE: Regression Model with Heteroscedastic Errors

In the case where the error term depends on the other exogenous variables, the regression model is written as follows:

$$y_i = x_i\beta + u_i, \quad u_i \sim \text{id } N(0, \sigma_i^2), \quad \sigma_i^2 = (z_i\alpha)^2.$$

The joint distribution of u_n, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_1 , denoted by $f_u(\cdot; \cdot)$, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \log f_u(u_n, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_1; \sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_n^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log f_u(u_i; \sigma_i^2) \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\sigma_i^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{u_i}{\sigma_i} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(z_i \alpha)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{u_i}{z_i \alpha} \right)^2$$

By the transformation of variables from u_n, u_{n-1}, \dots, u_1 to y_n, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_1 , the log-likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha, \beta; y_n, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_1) &= \log f_y(y_n, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_1; \alpha, \beta) \\ &= \log f_u(y_n - x_n \beta, y_{n-1} - x_{n-1} \beta, \dots, y_1 - x_1 \beta; \sigma_i^2) \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right| \\ &= -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(z_i \alpha)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i - x_i \beta}{z_i \alpha} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

\implies Maximize the above log-likelihood function with respect to β and α .

10 Asymptotic Theory

1. Definition: Convergence in Distribution (分布収束)

A series of random variables $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ have distribution functions F_1, F_2, \dots , respectively.

If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n = F,$$

then we say that a series of random variables X_1, X_2, \dots converges to F in distribution.

2. Consistency (一致性):

(a) **Definition: Convergence in Probability (確率收束)**

Let $\{Z_i : i = 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a series of random variables.

If the following holds,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P(|Z_i - \theta| < \epsilon) = 1,$$

for any positive ϵ , then we say that Z_i converges to θ in probability.

θ is called a **probability limit (確率極限)** of Z_i .

$$\text{plim } Z_i = \theta.$$

(b) Let $\hat{\theta}_i$ be an estimator of parameter θ .

If $\hat{\theta}_i$ converges to θ in probability, we say that $\hat{\theta}_i$ is a consistent estimator of θ .

3. Chebyshev's inequality:

For $g(X) \geq 0$,

$$P(g(X) \geq k) \leq \frac{E(g(X))}{k},$$

where k is a positive constant.

4. **Example:** For a random variable X , set $g(X) = (X - \mu)'(X - \mu)$, $E(X) = \mu$

and $\text{Var}(X) = \Sigma$.

Then, we have the following inequality:

$$P((X - \mu)'(X - \mu) \geq k) \leq \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{k}.$$

Note as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E((X - \mu)'(X - \mu)) &= E(\text{tr}((X - \mu)'(X - \mu))) = E(\text{tr}((X - \mu)(X - \mu)')) \\ &= \text{tr}(E((X - \mu)(X - \mu)')) = \text{tr}(\Sigma). \end{aligned}$$

5. Example 1 (Univariate Case):

Suppose that $X_i \sim (\mu, \sigma^2)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then, the sample average \bar{X} is a consistent estimator of μ .

Proof:

Note that $g(\bar{X}) = (\bar{X} - \mu)^2$, $\epsilon^2 = k$, $E(g(\bar{X})) = V(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$.

Use Chebyshev's inequality.

If $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P(|\bar{X} - \mu| \geq \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for any } \epsilon.$$

That is, for any ϵ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{X} - \mu| < \epsilon) = 1$$

6. Example 2 (Multivariate Case):

Suppose that $X_i \sim (\mu, \Sigma)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Then, the sample average \bar{X} is a consistent estimator of μ .

Proof:

Note that $g(\bar{X}) = (\bar{X} - \mu)'(\bar{X} - \mu)$, $\epsilon^2 = k$, $E(g(\bar{X})) = V(\bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n}\Sigma$.

Use Chebyshev's inequality.

If $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$P((\bar{X} - \mu)'(\bar{X} - \mu) \geq k) \leq \frac{\text{tr}(\Sigma)}{nk} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for any positive } k.$$

That is, for any positive k ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P((\bar{X} - \mu)'(\bar{X} - \mu) < k) = 1$$

7. Some Formulas:

Let X_n and Y_n be the random variables which satisfy $\text{plim } X_n = c$ and $\text{plim } Y_n = d$. Then,

(a) $\text{plim } (X_n + Y_n) = c + d$

(b) $\text{plim } X_n Y_n = cd$

(c) $\text{plim } X_n / Y_n = c/d$ for $d \neq 0$

(d) $\text{plim } g(X_n) = g(c)$ for a function $g(\cdot)$

\implies **Slutsky's Theorem** (スルツキー一定理)

8. Central Limit Theorem (中心極限定理)

Univariate Case: X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are mutually independently and identically distributed as $X_i \sim (\mu, \sigma^2)$.

Then,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - E(\bar{X})}{\sqrt{\bar{X}}} = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1),$$

which implies

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma^2).$$

Multivariate Case: X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are mutually independently and identically distributed as $X_i \sim (\mu, \Sigma)$.

Then,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \longrightarrow N(0, \Sigma)$$

9. Central Limit Theorem (Generalization)

X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are mutually independently and identically distributed as $X_i \sim (\mu, \Sigma_i)$.

Then,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu) \longrightarrow N(0, \Sigma),$$

where

$$\Sigma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma_i \right).$$

10. **Definition:** Let $\hat{\theta}_n$ be a consistent estimator of θ .

Suppose that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$ converges to $N(0, \Sigma)$ in distribution.

Then, we say that $\hat{\theta}_n$ has an **asymptotic distribution** (漸近分布): $N(\theta, \Sigma/n)$.

11. **Definition:** We say that $\hat{\theta}_n$ is consistent uniformly asymptotically normal, when the following three conditions are satisfied:

(a) $\hat{\theta}_n$ is consistent,

(b) $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta)$ converges to $N(0, \Sigma)$ in distribution,

(c) Uniform convergence.

12. **Definition:** Suppose that $\hat{\theta}_n$ and $\tilde{\theta}_n$ are consistent, uniformly, asymptotically normal, and that the asymptotic variances are given by Σ/n and Ω/n .

If $\Omega - \Sigma$ is positive semidefinite, $\hat{\theta}_n$ is **asymptotically more efficient** (漸近的)

に有効) than $\tilde{\theta}_n$.

13. **Definition:** If a consistent, uniformly, asymptotically normal estimator is asymptotically more efficient than any other consistent, uniformly, asymptotically normal estimators, we say that the consistent, uniformly, asymptotically normal estimator is asymptotically efficient (漸近の有効).
14. The sufficient condition for an asymptotically efficient and consistent, uniformly, asymptotically normal estimator is that the asymptotic variance is equivalent to Cramer-Rao lower bound.

15. X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are random variables with density function $f(x; \theta)$.

Let $\hat{\theta}_n$ be a maximum likelihood estimator of θ .

Then, under some regularity conditions. $\hat{\theta}_n$ is a consistent estimator of θ and the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$ is given by: $N\left(0, \lim\left(\frac{I(\theta)}{n}\right)^{-1}\right)$.

16. Regularity Conditions:

(a) The domain of X_i does not depend on θ .

(b) There exists at least third-order derivative of $f(x; \theta)$ with respect to θ , and their derivatives are finite.

17. Thus, MLE is

- (i) consistent,
- (ii) asymptotically normal, and
- (iii) asymptotically efficient.

18. **Slutsky's Theorem**

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be a consistent estimator of θ .

Then, $g(\hat{\theta})$ is also a consistent estimator of $g(\theta)$, where $g(\cdot)$ is a well-defined continuous function.

19. Invariance of Maximum Likelihood Estimation (最尤法の不変性)

Let $\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k$ be maximum likelihood estimators of $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$.

Consider the following one-to-one transformation:

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_1(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k), \alpha_2 = \alpha_2(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k), \dots, \alpha_k = \alpha_k(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k)$$

Then, MLEs of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$ are given by:

$$\hat{\alpha}_1 = \alpha_1(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k), \hat{\alpha}_2 = \alpha_2(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k), \dots, \hat{\alpha}_k = \alpha_k(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2, \dots, \hat{\theta}_k).$$

11 Consistency and Asymptotic Normality of OLSE

Regression model:

$$y = X\beta + u, \quad u \sim (0, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

Consistency:

1. Let $\hat{\beta}_n = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ be the OLS with sample size n .

Consistency: As n is large, $\hat{\beta}_n$ converges to β .

2. Assume the stationarity assumption for X , i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{n}X'X \longrightarrow M_{xx}.$$

Then, we have the following result:

$$\frac{1}{n}X'u \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof:

According to Chebyshev's inequality, for $g(Z) \geq 0$,

$$P(g(Z) \geq k) \leq \frac{E(g(Z))}{k},$$

where k is a positive constant.

Set $g(Z) = Z'Z$, and $Z = \frac{1}{n}X'u$.

Apply Chebyshev's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}X'u\right)' \frac{1}{n}X'u\right) &= \frac{1}{n^2}E(u'XX'u) = \frac{1}{n^2}E(\text{tr}(u'XX'u)) = \frac{1}{n^2}E(\text{tr}(XX'uu')) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2}\text{tr}(XX'E(uu')) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2}\text{tr}(XX') = \frac{\sigma^2}{n^2}\text{tr}(X'X) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\text{tr}\left(\frac{1}{n}X'X\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$P\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}X'u\right)' \frac{1}{n}X'u \geq k\right) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{nk}\text{tr}\left(\frac{1}{n}X'X\right) \longrightarrow 0 \times \text{tr}(M_{xx}) = 0$$

Note that from the assumption,

$$\frac{1}{n}X'X \longrightarrow M_{xx}.$$

Therefore, we have:

$$\left(\frac{1}{n}X'u\right)' \frac{1}{n}X'u \longrightarrow 0,$$

which implies:

$$\frac{1}{n}X'u \longrightarrow 0,$$

because $\left(\frac{1}{n}X'u\right)' \frac{1}{n}X'u$ indicates a quadratic form.