

2. Equation 1 (Test of Serial Correlation \rightarrow Lagrange Multiplier Test)

Equation 2 is:

$$\text{@RES}_t = \rho \text{@RES}_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2),$$

where $\text{@RES}_t = \text{RCONS}_t - \hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 \text{RYD}_t$, and $\hat{\beta}_1$ and $\hat{\beta}_2$ are OLSEs.

The null hypothesis is $H_0 : \rho = 0$

@RES(-1)	.950693	.053301	17.8362	[.000]
----------	---------	---------	---------	--------

Therefore, the Lagrange multiplier test statistic is $17.8362^2 = 318.13 > 6.635$.

$H_0 : \rho = 0$ is rejected.

3. Equation 3 (Test of Serial Correlation \rightarrow Wald Test)

Equation 3 is:

$$\text{RCONS}_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{RYD}_t + u_t, \quad u_t = \rho u_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$$

The null hypothesis is $H_0 : \rho = 0$

RHO	.945025	.045843	20.6143	[.000]
-----	---------	---------	---------	--------

The Wald test statistics is $20.6143^2 = 424.95$, which is compared with $\chi^2(1)$.

4. Equation 1 vs. NONLINEAR LEAST SQUARES (Choice of Functional Form – linear):

NONLINEAR LEAST SQUARES estimates:

$$\text{RCONS}_t = a1 + a2 \frac{\text{RYD}_t^{a3} - 1}{a3} + u_t.$$

When $a3 = 1$, we have:

$$\text{RCONS}_t = (a1 - a2) + a2\text{RYD}_t + u_t,$$

which is equivalent to Equation 1.

The null hypothesis is $H_0 : a3 = 1$, where $G = 1$.

- MLE with $a_3 = 1$ MLE (Equation 1)

Log of likelihood function = -431.289

- MLE without $a_3 = 1$ (NONLINEAR LEAST SQUARES)

Log of likelihood function = -414.362

The likelihood ratio test statistic is given by:

$$-2 \log(\lambda) = -2(-431.289 - (-414.362)) = 33.854.$$

The 1% upper probability point of $\chi^2(1)$ is 6.635.

$$33.854 > 6.635$$

$H_0 : a_3 = 1$ is rejected.

Therefore, the functional form of the regression model is not linear.

5. Equation 4 vs. NONLINEAR LEAST SQUARES (Choice of Functional Form – log-linear):

In NONLINEAR LEAST SQUARES, i.e.,

$$\text{RCONS}_t = a_1 + a_2 \frac{\text{RYD}_t^{a_3} - 1}{a_3} + u_t,$$

if $a_3 = 0$, we have:

$$\text{RCONS}_t = a_1 + a_2 \log(\text{RYD}_t) + u_t,$$

which is equivalent to Equation 3.

The null hypothesis is $H_0 : a_3 = 0$, where $G = 1$.

- MLE with $a_3 = 0$ (Equation 3)

Log of likelihood function = -495.418

- MLE without $a_3 = 0$ (NONLINEAR LEAST SQUARES)

Log of likelihood function = -414.362

The likelihood ratio test statistic is:

$$-2 \log(\lambda) = -2(-495.418 - (-414.362)) = 162.112 > 6.635.$$

Therefore, $H_0 : a_3 = 0$ is rejected.

As a result, the functional form of the regression model is not log-linear, either.

6. Equation 1 vs. Equation 5 (Simultaneous Test of Serial Correlation and Linear Function):

Equation 5 is:

$$\text{RCONS}_t = a_1 + a_2 \frac{\text{RYD}_t^{a_3} - 1}{a_3} + u_t, \quad u_t = \rho u_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{iid } N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$$

The null hypothesis is $H_0 : a_3 = 1, \rho = 0$

Restricted MLE \implies Equation 1

Unrestricted MLE \implies Equation 4

Remark: In Lines 14–16 of PROGRAM, we have estimated Equation 4, given $a_3 = 0.00, 0.01, 0.02, \dots$.

As a result, $a_3 = 1.15$ gives us the maximum log-likelihood.

The likelihood ratio test statistic is:

$$-2 \log(\lambda) = -2(-431.289 - (-383.807)) = 94.964.$$

$-2 \log(\lambda) \sim \chi^2(2)$ in this case.

The 1% upper probability point of $\chi^2(2)$ is 9.210.

$$94.964 > 9.210$$

$H_0 : a_3 = 1, \rho = 0$ is rejected.

Equation 3 vs. Equation 5 vs. (Taking into account serially correlated errors, Choice of Functional Form – linear):

The null hypothesis is $H_0 : a_3 = 1, \rho = 0$

From Equation 3,

$$\text{Log likelihood} = -385.419$$

From Equation 5,

$$\text{Log likelihood} = -383.807$$

$$2(-383.807 - (-385.419)) = 3.224 < 6.635.$$

$H_0 : a_3 = 1$ is not rejected, given $\rho \neq 0$.

Thus, if serial correlation is taken into account, the regression model is linear.

14 Time Series Analysis (時系列分析)

14.1 Introduction

Textbooks

- ・ J.D. Hamilton (1994) *Econometric Analysis*
沖本・井上訳 (2006) 『時系列解析(上・下)』
- ・ A.C. Harvey (1981) *Time Series Models*
国友・山本訳 (1985) 『時系列モデル入門』
- ・ 沖本竜義 (2010) 『経済・ファイナンスデータの計量時系列分析』

1. **Stationarity** (定常性) :

Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T be time series data.

(a) **Weak Stationarity** (弱定常性) :

$$E(y_t) = \mu,$$

$$E((y_t - \mu)(y_{t-\tau} - \mu)) = \gamma(\tau), \quad \tau = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The first and second moments depend on time difference, not time itself.

(b) **Strong Stationarity (強定常性) :**

Let $f(y_{t_1}, y_{t_2}, \dots, y_{t_r})$ be the joint distribution of $y_{t_1}, y_{t_2}, \dots, y_{t_r}$.

$$f(y_{t_1}, y_{t_2}, \dots, y_{t_r}) = f(y_{t_1+\tau}, y_{t_2+\tau}, \dots, y_{t_r+\tau})$$

All the moments are same for all τ .

2. **Auto-covariance Function (自己共分散関数) :**

$$E((y_t - \mu)(y_{t-\tau} - \mu)) = \gamma(\tau), \quad \tau = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$\gamma(\tau) = \gamma(-\tau)$$

3. **Auto-correlation Function** (自己相関関数) :

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{E((y_t - \mu)(y_{t-\tau} - \mu))}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(y_t)} \sqrt{\text{Var}(y_{t-\tau})}} = \frac{\gamma(\tau)}{\gamma(0)}$$

Note that $\text{Var}(y_t) = \text{Var}(y_{t-\tau}) = \gamma(0)$.

4. **Sample Mean** (標本平均) :

$$\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t$$

5. **Sample Auto-covariance** (標本自己共分散) :

$$\hat{\gamma}(\tau) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=\tau+1}^T (y_t - \hat{\mu})(y_{t-\tau} - \hat{\mu})$$

6. **Correlogram** (コレログラム, or 標本自己相関関数) :

$$\hat{\rho}(\tau) = \frac{\hat{\gamma}(\tau)}{\hat{\gamma}(0)}$$

7. **Lag Operator** (ラグ作要素) :

$$L^\tau y_t = y_{t-\tau}, \quad \tau = 1, 2, \dots$$

8. **Likelihood Function** (尤度関数) — Innovation Form :

The joint distribution of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T is written as:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T) &= f(y_T | y_{T-1}, \dots, y_1) f(y_{T-1}, \dots, y_1) \\ &= f(y_T | y_{T-1}, \dots, y_1) f(y_{T-1} | y_{T-2}, \dots, y_1) f(y_{T-2}, \dots, y_1) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= f(y_T | y_{T-1}, \dots, y_1) f(y_{T-1} | y_{T-2}, \dots, y_1) \cdots f(y_2 | y_1) f(y_1) \\ &= f(y_1) \prod_{t=2}^T f(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the log-likelihood function is given by:

$$\log f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T) = \log f(y_1) + \sum_{t=2}^T \log f(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1).$$

Under the normality assumption, $f(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1)$ is given by the normal distribution with conditional mean $E(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1)$ and conditional variance $\text{Var}(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1)$.

14.2 Autoregressive Model (自己回帰モデル or AR モデル)

1. AR(p) Model :

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t,$$

which is rewritten as:

$$\phi(L)y_t = \epsilon_t,$$

where

$$\phi(L) = 1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \cdots - \phi_p L^p.$$

2. **Stationarity (定常性) :**

Suppose that all the p solutions of x from $\phi(x) = 0$ are real numbers

When the p solutions are greater than one, y_t is stationary.

Suppose that the p solutions include imaginary numbers.

When the p solutions are outside unit circle, y_t is stationary.

Example: AR(1) Model: $y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$

1. The stationarity condition is: the solution of $\phi(x) = 1 - \phi_1 x = 0$, i.e., $x = 1/\phi_1$, is greater than one in absolute value, or equivalently, $-1 < \phi_1 < 1$.

2. Rewriting the AR(1) model,

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \\&= \phi_1^2 y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} \\&= \phi_1^3 y_{t-3} + \epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \phi_1^2 \epsilon_{t-2} \\&\vdots \\&= \phi_1^s y_{t-s} + \epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_1^{s-1} \epsilon_{t-s+1}.\end{aligned}$$

As s is large, ϕ_1^s approaches zero. \implies Stationarity condition

3. For stationarity, $y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ is rewritten as:

$$y_t = \epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \phi_1^2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \dots$$

4. Mean and Variance of AR(1) process, μ

$$\begin{aligned}\mu &= E(y_t) = E(\epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \phi_1^2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \dots) \\ &= E(\epsilon_t) + \phi_1 E(\epsilon_{t-1}) + \phi_1^2 E(\epsilon_{t-2}) + \dots = 0\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(0) &= V(y_t) = V(\epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \phi_1^2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \dots) \\ &= V(\epsilon_t) + V(\phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1}) + V(\phi_1^2 \epsilon_{t-2}) + \dots \\ &= \sigma^2 + \phi_1^2 \sigma^2 + \phi_1^4 \sigma^2 + \dots = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi_1^2}\end{aligned}$$

5. Autocovariance and autocorrelation functions of the AR(1) process:

Rewriting the AR(1) process, we have:

$$y_t = \phi_1^\tau y_{t-\tau} + \epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \phi_1^{\tau-1} \epsilon_{t-\tau+1}.$$

Therefore, the autocovariance function of AR(1) process is:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\tau) &= E((y_t - \mu)(y_{t-\tau} - \mu)) = E(y_t y_{t-\tau}) \\ &= E\left((\phi_1^\tau y_{t-\tau} + \epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \phi_1^{\tau-1} \epsilon_{t-\tau+1})y_{t-\tau}\right) \\ &= \phi_1^\tau E(y_{t-\tau} y_{t-\tau}) + E(\epsilon_t y_{t-\tau}) + \phi_1 E(\epsilon_{t-1} y_{t-\tau}) + \cdots + \phi_1^{\tau-1} E(\epsilon_{t-\tau+1} y_{t-\tau}) \\ &= \phi_1^\tau \gamma(0). \end{aligned}$$

The autocorrelation function of AR(1) process is:

$$\rho(\tau) = \frac{\gamma(\tau)}{\gamma(0)} = \phi_1^\tau.$$

6. Another solution:

Multiply $y_{t-\tau}$ on both sides of the AR(1) process and take the expectation:

$$E(y_t y_{t-\tau}) = \phi_1 E(y_{t-1} y_{t-\tau}) + E(\epsilon_t y_{t-\tau})$$

$$\gamma(\tau) = \begin{cases} \phi_1 \gamma(\tau - 1), & \text{for } \tau \neq 0, \\ \phi_1 \gamma(\tau - 1) + \sigma^2, & \text{for } \tau = 0. \end{cases}$$

Using $\gamma(\tau) = \gamma(-\tau)$, $\gamma(\tau)$ for $\tau = 0$ is given by:

$$\gamma(0) = \phi_1\gamma(1) + \sigma^2 = \phi_1^2\gamma(0) + \sigma^2.$$

Note that $\gamma(1) = \phi_1\gamma(0)$.

Therefore, $\gamma(0)$ is given by:

$$\gamma(0) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi_1^2}$$

7. Estimation of AR(1) model:

(a) Likelihood function

$$\begin{aligned}\log f(y_T, \dots, y_1) &= \log f(y_1) + \sum_{t=2}^T \log f(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi_1^2}\right) - \frac{1}{\sigma^2/(1 - \phi_1^2)} y_1^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{T-1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{T-1}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=2}^T (y_t - \phi_1 y_{t-1})^2\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{T}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{T}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1}{1 - \phi_1^2}\right) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2/(1 - \phi_1^2)} y_1^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=2}^T (y_t - \phi_1 y_{t-1})^2
\end{aligned}$$

Note as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
f(y_1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2/(1 - \phi_1^2)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2/(1 - \phi_1^2)} y_1^2\right) \\
f(y_t|y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_t - \phi_1 y_{t-1})^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \log f(y_T, \dots, y_1)}{\partial \sigma^2} = -\frac{T}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4/(1-\phi_1^2)} y_1^2 + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} \sum_{t=2}^T (y_t - \phi_1 y_{t-1})^2 = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \log f(y_T, \dots, y_1)}{\partial \phi_1} = -\frac{\phi_1}{1-\phi_1^2} + \frac{\phi_1}{\sigma^2} y_1^2 + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=2}^T (y_t - \phi_1 y_{t-1}) y_{t-1} = 0$$

The MLE of ϕ_1 and σ^2 satisfies the above two equations.

$$\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{T} \left((1 - \tilde{\phi}_1^2) y_1^2 + \sum_{t=2}^T (y_t - \tilde{\phi}_1 y_{t-1})^2 \right)$$

$$\tilde{\phi}_1 = \frac{\sum_{t=2}^T y_t y_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=2}^T y_{t-1}^2} + \left(\tilde{\phi}_1 y_1^2 - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}^2 \tilde{\phi}_1}{1 - \tilde{\phi}_1^2} \right) / \sum_{t=2}^T y_{t-1}^2$$