

(c) Assume $\epsilon_t \sim \text{iid}(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$. Define $X_T(r)$ for $r \in [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$X_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T} \\ \frac{\epsilon_1}{T}, & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T} \\ \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{T}, & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_T}{T}, & r = 1 \end{cases}$$

Let $[Tr]$ be the largest integer which is less than or equal to $T \times r$.

$$X_T(r) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t, \quad \sqrt{T}X_T(r) \longrightarrow N(0, r\sigma_\epsilon^2).$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t = \frac{[Tr]}{T} \frac{1}{[Tr]} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t,$$

$$\frac{[Tr]}{T} \longrightarrow r, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{[Tr]}} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2),$$

$$\sqrt{T}X_T(r) = \frac{[Tr]}{T} \sqrt{\frac{T}{[Tr]}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{[Tr]}} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t, \quad \sqrt{\frac{T}{[Tr]}} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\sqrt{T}X_T(r) \longrightarrow N(0, r\sigma_\epsilon^2).$$

Moreover, we have the following results:

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}X_T(r)}{\sigma_\epsilon} \longrightarrow N(0, r) = W(r),$$
$$\frac{\sqrt{T}(X_T(r_2) - X_T(r_1))}{\sigma_\epsilon} \longrightarrow W(r_2) - W(r_1) = N(0, r_2 - r_1).$$

For example, consider:

$$X_T(1) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t.$$

Then,

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}X_T(1)}{\sigma_\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon \sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t \longrightarrow W(1) = N(0, 1).$$

(d) Consider $y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$, $y_0 = 0$ and $\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$.

$X_T(r)$ is defined as follows:

$$X_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T}, \\ \frac{y_1}{T}, & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T}, \\ \frac{y_2}{T}, & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{y_{T-1}}{T}, & \frac{T-1}{T} \leq r < 1, \\ \frac{y_T}{T}, & r = 1. \end{cases}$$

Define $S_T(r)$ as follows:

$$S_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T}, \\ \frac{y_1^2}{T}, & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T}, \\ \frac{y_2^2}{T}, & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{y_{T-1}^2}{T}, & \frac{T-1}{T} \leq r < 1, \\ \frac{y_T^2}{T}, & r = 1. \end{cases}$$

To obtain $\int_0^1 X_T(r)dr$ and $\int_0^1 S_T(r)dr$, we compute a sum of rectangles as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 X_T(r)dr &\approx \frac{y_1}{T} \left(\frac{2}{T} - \frac{1}{T} \right) + \frac{y_2}{T} \left(\frac{3}{T} - \frac{2}{T} \right) + \cdots + \frac{y_{T-1}}{T} \left(1 - \frac{T-1}{T} \right) \\ &= \frac{y_1}{T^2} + \frac{y_2}{T^2} + \cdots + \frac{y_{T-1}}{T^2} = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 S_T(r)dr &\approx \frac{y_1^2}{T} \left(\frac{2}{T} - \frac{1}{T} \right) + \frac{y_2^2}{T} \left(\frac{3}{T} - \frac{2}{T} \right) + \cdots + \frac{y_{T-1}^2}{T} \left(1 - \frac{T-1}{T} \right) \\ &= \frac{y_1^2}{T^2} + \frac{y_2^2}{T^2} + \cdots + \frac{y_{T-1}^2}{T^2} = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2.\end{aligned}$$

We have already known that $\sqrt{T}X_T(r) \rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon W(r)$.

Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{T}X_T(r)dr \rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon \int_0^1 W(r)dr.$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{T^{3/2}} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t \rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon \int_0^1 W(r)dr.$$

From $S_T(r) \equiv \left(\sqrt{T} X_T(r) \right)^2$,

$$S_T(r) \equiv \left(\sqrt{T} X_T(r) \right)^2 \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 (W(r))^2,$$

which is called the continuous mapping theorem.

(*) Continuous Mapping Theorem (連続写像定理):

if $x_T \longrightarrow x$ (convergence in distribution) and $g(\cdot)$ is a continuous function, then $g(x_T) \longrightarrow g(x)$ (convergence in distribution).

Therefore, we have the following result:

$$\int_0^1 S_T(r) dr \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr.$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2 \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr.$$

8. Asymptotic Distribution of AR(1) Model:

(a) $H_0 : y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ and $H_1 : y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $|\phi_1| < 1$

OLSE of ϕ_1 , denoted by $\hat{\phi}_1$, is given by:

$$\hat{\phi}_1 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} y_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} = \phi_1 + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}$$

Using $\phi_1 = 1$ and some formulas shown above, we obtain:

$$T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) = \frac{T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} \longrightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2} ((W(1))^2 - 1)}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr}$$

Remember that

$$T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\epsilon^2 ((W(1))^2 - 1)$$

and

$$T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr,$$

where $(W(1))^2 = \chi^2(1)$.

We say that $\hat{\phi}_1$ is **super-consistent** (超一致性) or **T-consistent**.

Remember that when $|\phi_1| < 1$ we have $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1) \longrightarrow N(0, 1 - \phi_1^2)$,

and in this case we say that $\hat{\phi}_1$ is **\sqrt{T} -consistent**.

Conventional t test statistic is given by:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s_\phi},$$

where

$$s_\phi = \left(s^2 / \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad s^2 = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\phi}_1 y_{t-1})^2.$$

Next, consider t statistic.

The t test statistic, denoted by t , is represented as follows:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s_\phi} = \frac{T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1)}{T s_\phi}$$

The denominator is:

$$\begin{aligned} T s_\phi &= \left(s^2 / \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\rightarrow \left(\sigma_\epsilon^2 / \left(\sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \right) \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \right)^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s^2 \rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2$ is utilized.

Therefore, we have the following asymptotic distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} t = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s_\phi} &\longrightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left((W(1))^2 - 1 \right)}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr} \left/ \left(\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \right)^{-1/2} \right. \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left((W(1))^2 - 1 \right)}{\left(\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \right)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the distribution of the t statistic shown above is different from the t distribution.

(b) $H_0 : y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ and $H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $|\phi_1| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ \hat{\phi}_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_t \\ \sum y_{t-1} y_t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \phi_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum \epsilon_t \\ \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

In the true model, $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $\phi_1 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ \hat{\phi}_1 - 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum \epsilon_t \\ \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T) & O_p(T^{3/2}) \\ O_p(T^{3/2}) & O_p(T^2) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T^{1/2}) \\ O_p(T) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

(*) For random variable x and constant k , $x = O_p(k)$ implies that x/k converges in distribution.

To change each element of the matrices to $O_p(1)$, we use the following matrix:

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying the above matrix from the left, we obtain the following:

$$\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ \hat{\phi}_1 - 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \end{pmatrix} = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T) & O_p(T^{3/2}) \\ O_p(T^{3/2}) & O_p(T^2) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T^{1/2}) \\ O_p(T) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T) & O_p(T^{3/2}) \\ O_p(T^{3/2}) & O_p(T^2) \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1} \right)^{-1} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T^{1/2}) \\ O_p(T) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \left(\Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1} \right)^{-1} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum \epsilon_t \\ \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T^{-3/2} \sum y_{t-1} \\ T^{-3/2} \sum y_{t-1} & T^{-2} \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1/2} \sum \epsilon_t \\ T^{-1} \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

Each matrix converges in distribution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T^{-3/2} \sum y_{t-1} \\ T^{-3/2} \sum y_{t-1} & T^{-2} \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix} &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_\epsilon \int_0^1 W(r) dr \\ \sigma_\epsilon \int_0^1 W(r) dr & \sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \int_0^1 W(r) dr \\ \int_0^1 W(r) dr & \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1/2} \sum \epsilon_t \\ T^{-1} \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix} &\longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\epsilon W(1) \\ \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\epsilon^2 ((W(1))^2 - 1) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W(1) \\ \frac{1}{2} ((W(1))^2 - 1) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2}\hat{\alpha}_0 \\ T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \int_0^1 W(r)dr \\ \int_0^1 W(r)dr & \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ \times \sigma_\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W(1) \\ \frac{1}{2}((W(1))^2 - 1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Finally, $T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1)$ converges to the following distribution:

$$T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \longrightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left((W(1))^2 - 1 \right) - W(1) \int_0^1 W(r) dr}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr - \left(\int_0^1 W(r) dr \right)^2}.$$

The t test statistic is:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{(s_\phi^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1)}{(T^2 s_\phi^2)^{1/2}},$$

where

$$s_\phi^2 = s^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$s^2 = \frac{1}{T-2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\alpha}_0 - \hat{\phi}_1 y_{t-1})^2.$$

The denominator $T^2 s_\phi^2$ converges in distribution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^2 s_\phi^2 &\rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \int_0^1 W(r) dr \\ \int_0^1 W(r) dr & \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr - \left(\int_0^1 W(r) dr \right)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the t test statistic converges to the following distribution:

$$t \longrightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left((W(1))^2 - 1 \right) - W(1) \int_0^1 W(r) dr}{\left(\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr - \left(\int_0^1 W(r) dr \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}}.$$

(c) $H_0 : y_t = \alpha_0 + y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ and $H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $|\phi_1| < 1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2}(\hat{\alpha}_0 - \alpha_0) \\ T^{3/2}(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow N \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_\epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha_0}{2} & \frac{\alpha_0^2}{3} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

(abbr.)

(d) $H_0 : y_t = \alpha_0 + y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ and

$H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $|\phi_1| < 1$

(abbr.)

9. The distributions of the t statistic: $\frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s_\phi}$

t Distribution

T	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
25	-2.49	-2.06	-1.71	-1.32	1.32	1.71	2.06	2.49
50	-2.40	-2.01	-1.68	-1.30	1.30	1.68	2.01	2.40
100	-2.36	-1.98	-1.66	-1.29	1.29	1.66	1.98	2.36
250	-2.34	-1.97	-1.65	-1.28	1.28	1.65	1.97	2.34
500	-2.33	-1.96	-1.65	-1.28	1.28	1.65	1.96	2.33
∞	-2.33	-1.96	-1.64	-1.28	1.28	1.64	1.96	2.33

(a) $H_0 : y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$

$H_1 : y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $\phi_1 < 1$ or $-1 < \phi_1$

T	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
25	-2.66	-2.26	-1.95	-1.60	0.92	1.33	1.70	2.16
50	-2.62	-2.25	-1.95	-1.61	0.91	1.31	1.66	2.08
100	-2.60	-2.24	-1.95	-1.61	0.90	1.29	1.64	2.03
250	-2.58	-2.23	-1.95	-1.62	0.89	1.29	1.63	2.01
500	-2.58	-2.23	-1.95	-1.62	0.89	1.28	1.62	2.00
∞	-2.58	-2.23	-1.95	-1.62	0.89	1.28	1.62	2.00

$$(b) H_0 : y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

$$H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \text{ for } \phi_1 < 1 \text{ or } -1 < \phi_1$$

T	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
25	-3.75	-3.33	-3.00	-2.63	-0.37	0.00	0.34	0.72
50	-3.58	-3.22	-2.93	-2.60	-0.40	-0.03	0.29	0.66
100	-3.51	-3.17	-2.89	-2.58	-0.42	-0.05	0.26	0.63
250	-3.46	-3.14	-2.88	-2.57	-0.42	-0.06	0.24	0.62
500	-3.44	-3.13	-2.87	-2.57	-0.43	-0.07	0.24	0.61
∞	-3.43	-3.12	-2.86	-2.57	-0.44	-0.07	0.23	0.60

$$(d) H_0 : y_t = \alpha_0 + y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

$$H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \text{ for } \phi_1 < 1 \text{ or } -1 < \phi_1$$

T	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
25	-4.38	-3.95	-3.60	-3.24	-1.14	-0.80	-0.50	-0.15
50	-4.15	-3.80	-3.50	-3.18	-1.19	-0.87	-0.58	-0.24
100	-4.04	-3.73	-3.45	-3.15	-1.22	-0.90	-0.62	-0.28
250	-3.99	-3.69	-3.43	-3.13	-1.23	-0.92	-0.64	-0.31
500	-3.98	-3.68	-3.42	-3.13	-1.24	-0.93	-0.65	-0.32
∞	-3.96	-3.66	-3.41	-3.12	-1.25	-0.94	-0.66	-0.33

15.2 Serially Correlated Errors

Consider the case where the error term is serially correlated.

15.2.1 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test

Consider the following AR(p) model:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{iid}(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2),$$

which is rewritten as:

$$\phi(L)y_t = \epsilon_t.$$

When the above model has a unit root, we have $\phi(1) = 0$, i.e., $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_p = 1$.

The above AR(p) model is written as:

$$y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta y_{t-2} + \dots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1} + \epsilon_t,$$

where $\rho = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_p$ and $\delta_j = -(\phi_{j+1} + \phi_{j+2} + \dots + \phi_p)$.

The null and alternative hypotheses are:

$$H_0 : \rho = 1 \text{ (Unit root),}$$

$$H_1 : \rho < 1 \text{ (Stationary).}$$

Use the t test, where we have the same asymptotic distributions.

We can utilize the same tables as before.

Choose p by AIC or SBIC.

Use $N(0, 1)$ to test $H_0 : \delta_j = 0$ against $H_1 : \delta_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$.

Reference

Kurozumi (2008) “Economic Time Series Analysis and Unit Root Tests: Development and Perspective,” *Japan Statistical Society*, Vol.38, Series J, No.1, pp.39 – 57.

Download the above paper from:

http://ci.nii.ac.jp/vol_issue/nels/AA11989749/ISS0000426576_ja.html