### 5.4 On Prior Distribution

### 5.4.1 Non-informative Prior

$$
f_{\theta}(\theta)=\text { const. }
$$

In this case, the posterior distribution is:

$$
f_{\theta \mid y}(\theta \mid y) \propto f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta),
$$

which is proportional to the likelihood function.

However, we have the case where the integration of prior diverges, i.e.,

$$
\int f_{\theta}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=\infty .
$$

In this case, $f_{\theta}(\theta)$ is called an improper prior.

### 5.4.2 Jeffreys' Prior

$$
f_{\theta}(\theta) \propto|J(\theta)|^{1 / 2}
$$

where

$$
J(\theta)=-\int \frac{\partial^{2} \log f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}} f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) \mathrm{d} y=-\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \log f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right),
$$

which is Fisher's information matrix.

### 5.5 Evaluation of Expectation

Posterior distribution $f_{\theta \mid y}(\theta \mid y)$

$$
\mathrm{E}(\theta \mid y)=\int \theta f_{\theta \mid y}(\theta \mid y) \mathrm{d} \theta=\frac{\int \theta f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}{\int f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta} .
$$

In the case where it is not easy to evaluate $\mathrm{E}(\theta \mid y)$, how do we do?
Bayesian Method = Evaluation of Integration (Too much to say?)

- Numerical Integration
- Monte Carlo Integration
- Random Number Generation from $f_{\theta \mid y}(\theta \mid y)$


### 5.5.1 Evaluation of Expectation: Numerical Integration

## Univariate Case: Consider integration of a function $f(x)$.

Suppose that $x$ is a scalar.

Let $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be $n$ nodes, which are sorted by order of size but not necessarily equal intervals between $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$.

Rectangular Approximation:

$$
\int f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) .
$$

Trapezoid Approximation:

$$
\int f(x) \mathrm{d} x \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2}\left(f\left(x_{i}\right)+f\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) .
$$

Bivariate Case: Consider integration of a function $f(x, y)$.

Suppose that both $x$ and $y$ are scalars.

Let $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be $n$ nodes, which are sorted by order of size not necessarily equal intervals between $x_{i-1}$ and $x_{i}$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, n$.

Let $y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{m}$ be $m$ nodes.

Rectangular Approximation:

$$
\iint f(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)\left(y_{j}-y_{j-1}\right)
$$

Trapezoid Approximation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \iint f(x \cdot y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \\
& \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{1}{4}\left(f\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)+f\left(x_{i}, y_{j-1}\right)+f\left(x_{i-1}, y_{j}\right)+f\left(x_{i-1}, y_{j-1}\right)\right)\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right)\left(y_{j}-y_{j-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Applying to Bayes Method (Rectangular Approximation):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}(\theta \mid y) & =\frac{\int \theta f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}{\int f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} f_{y \mid \theta}\left(y \mid \theta_{i}\right) f_{\theta}\left(\theta_{i}\right)\left(\theta_{i}-\theta_{i-1}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{y \mid \theta}\left(y \mid \theta_{i}\right) f_{\theta}\left(\theta_{i}\right)\left(\theta_{i}-\theta_{i-1}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} f_{y \mid \theta}\left(y \mid \theta_{i}\right) f_{\theta}\left(\theta_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{y \mid \theta}\left(y \mid \theta_{i}\right) f_{\theta}\left(\theta_{i}\right)}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \omega_{i}, \quad \text { for constant } \theta_{i}-\theta_{i-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{i}=\frac{f_{y \mid \theta}\left(y \mid \theta_{i}\right) f_{\theta}\left(\theta_{i}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{y \mid \theta}\left(y \mid \theta_{i}\right) f_{\theta}\left(\theta_{i}\right)}
$$

## Problem of Numerical Integration:

1. Choice of initial and terminal values $\Longrightarrow$ Truncation errors
2. Accumulation of computational errors by computer
3. Increase of computational burden for large dimension.
$\Longrightarrow k$ dimension, and $n$ nodes for each dimension $\Longrightarrow n^{k}$

## 5．5．2 Evaluation of Expectation：Monte Carlo Integration

Univariate Case：Consider integration of a function $f(x)$ ．

Suppose that $x$ is a scalar．

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be $n$ random draws generated from $g(x)$ ．

$$
\int f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} g(x) \mathrm{d} x=\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{g\left(x_{i}\right)} .
$$

$\Longrightarrow$ Importance Sampling（重点的サンプリング）

## Multivariate Case: Consider integration of a function $f(x)$.

Suppose that $x$ is a vector.

Let $x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}$ be $n$ random draws generated from $g(x)$.

$$
\int f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\int \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} g(x) \mathrm{d} x=\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)}{g\left(x_{i}\right)},
$$

which is exacly the same as the univariate case.

Computational burden: $\Longrightarrow \quad$ Univariate case: $n, \quad$ Multivariate case: $n$

Precision of integration ???
Especially, when $g(x)$ is not close to $f(x)$, approximation is prror.

## Applying to Bayes Method:

$$
\mathrm{E}(\theta \mid y)=\frac{\int \theta f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}{\int f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}=\frac{\int \theta \frac{f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta)}{g(\theta)} g(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}{\int \frac{f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta)}{g(\theta)} g(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta}=\frac{(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \omega\left(\theta_{i}\right)}{(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega\left(\theta_{i}\right)},
$$

where

$$
\omega\left(\theta_{i}\right)=\frac{f_{y \mid \theta}\left(y \mid \theta_{i}\right) f_{\theta}\left(\theta_{i}\right)}{g\left(\theta_{i}\right)}
$$

Choice of $\boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ - One Solution: Define $l(\theta) \equiv f_{y \mid \theta}(y \mid \theta) f_{\theta}(\theta)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log l(\theta) \approx & \log l(\tilde{\theta})+\frac{1}{l(\tilde{\theta})} \frac{\partial l(\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta}(\theta-\tilde{\theta}) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(\theta-\tilde{\theta})^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{l(\tilde{\theta})^{2}} \frac{\partial l(\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial l(\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta^{\prime}}+\frac{1}{l(\tilde{\theta})} \frac{\partial^{2} l(\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)(\theta-\tilde{\theta}) \\
= & -\frac{1}{2}(\theta-\tilde{\theta})^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{l(\tilde{\theta})} \frac{\partial^{2} l(\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)(\theta-\tilde{\theta}), \quad \text { when } \tilde{\theta} \text { is a mode of } l(\theta) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $N\left(\tilde{\theta},\left(-\frac{1}{l(\tilde{\theta})} \frac{\partial^{2} l(\tilde{\theta})}{\partial \theta \partial \theta^{\prime}}\right)^{-1}\right)$ might be taken as the importance density $g(\theta)$.

### 5.5.3 Evaluation of Expectation: Random Number Generation

Generate random draws of $\theta$ from the posterior distribution $f_{\theta \mid y}(\theta \mid y)$.
Then, $(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}$ is taken as a consistent estimator of $\mathrm{E}(\theta \mid y)$, where $\theta_{i}$ indicates the $i$ th random draw generated from $f_{\theta \mid y}(\theta \mid y)$.

Note that $(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i} \longrightarrow \mathrm{E}(\theta \mid y)$ under the condition $(1 / n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}<\infty$.
Bayesian confidence interval, median, quntiles and so on are obtained by sorting $\theta_{1}$, $\theta_{2}, \cdots, \theta_{n}$ in order of size.
$\Longrightarrow$ Sampling methods

### 5.6 Sampling Method I: Random Number Generation

Note that a lot of distribution functions are introduced in Kotz, Balakrishman and Johnson (2000a, 2000b, 2000c, 2000d, 2000e).

The random draws discussed in this section are based on uniform random draws between zero and one.
5.6.1 Uniform Distribution: $U(0,1)$

Properties of Uniform Distribution: The most heuristic and simplest distribution is uniform.

The uniform distribution between zero and one is given by:

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { for } 0<x<1 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Mean, variance and the moment-generating function are given by:

$$
\mathrm{E}(X)=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \mathrm{~V}(X)=\frac{1}{12}, \quad \phi(\theta)=\frac{e^{\theta}-1}{\theta}
$$

Use L'Hospital's theorem to derive $\mathrm{E}(X)$ and $\mathrm{V}(X)$ using $\phi(\theta)$.
In the next section, we introduce an idea of generating uniform random draws, which in turn yield the other random draws by the transformation of variables, the inverse transform algorithm and so on.

Uniform Random Number Generators: It is no exaggeration to say that all the random draws are based on a uniform random number.

Once uniform random draws are generated, the various random draws such as exponential, normal, logistic, Bernoulli and other distributions are obtained by transforming the uniform random draws.

Thus, it is important to consider how to generate a uniform random number.
However, generally there is no way to generate exact uniform random draws. As shown in Ripley (1987) and Ross (1997), a deterministic sequence that appears at random is taken as a sequence of random numbers.

First，consider the following relation：

$$
m=k-[k / n] n
$$

where $k, m$ and $n$ are integers．
［ $k / n]$ denotes the largest integer less than or equal to the argument．
In Fortran 77，it is written as $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{k}$－ $\operatorname{int}(\mathrm{k} / \mathrm{n}) * \mathrm{n}$ ，where $0 \leq m<n$ ． $m$ indicates the remainder（余り）when $k$ is divided by $n$ ． $n$ is called the modulus（商）．

We define the right hand side in the equation above as：

$$
k-[k / n] n \equiv k \bmod n
$$

Then, using the modular arithmetic we can rewrite the above equation as follows:

$$
m=k \bmod n
$$

which is represented by: $m=\bmod (k, n)$ in Fortran 77 and $m=k \% n$ in $C$ language.
A basic idea of the uniform random draw is as follows.
Given $x_{i-1}, x_{i}$ is generated by:

$$
x_{i}=\left(a x_{i-1}+c\right) \bmod n
$$

where $0 \leq x_{i}<n$.
$a$ and $c$ are positive integers, called the multiplier and the increment, respectively.

The generator above have to be started by an initial value，which is called the seed． $u_{i}=x_{i} / n$ is regarded as a uniform random number between zero and one． This generator is called the linear congruential generator（線形合同法）． Especially，when $c=0$ ，the generator is called the multiplicative linear congru－ ential generator．
This method was proposed by Lehmer in 1948 （see Lehmer，1951）．
If $n, a$ and $c$ are properly chosen，the period of the generator is $n$ ．
However，when they are not chosen very carefully，there may be a lot of serial correlation among the generated values．

Therefore, the performance of the congruential generators depend heavily on the choice of $(a, c)$.

There is a great amount of literature on uniform random number generation. See, for example, Fishman (1996), Gentle (1998), Kennedy and Gentle (1980), Law and Kelton (2000), Niederreiter (1992), Ripley (1987), Robert and Casella (1999), Rubinstein and Melamed (1998), Thompson (2000) and so on for the other congruential generators.

However, we introduce only two uniform random number generators.
Wichmann and Hill (1982 and corrigendum, 1984) describe a combination of three
congruential generators for 16-bit computers.
The generator is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{i}=171 x_{i-1} \bmod 30269 \\
& y_{i}=172 y_{i-1} \bmod 30307 \\
& z_{i}=170 z_{i-1} \bmod 30323
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
u_{i}=\left(\frac{x_{i}}{30269}+\frac{y_{i}}{30307}+\frac{z_{i}}{30323}\right) \bmod 1 .
$$

We need to set three seeds, i.e., $x_{0}, y_{0}$ and $z_{0}$, for this random number generator.
$u_{i}$ is regarded as a uniform random draw within the interval between zero and one. The period is of the order of $10^{12}$ (more precisely the period is $6.95 \times 10^{12}$ ).

The source code of this generator is given by urnd16(ix, iy,iz,rn), where ix, iy and iz are seeds and rn represents the uniform random number between zero and one.


```
1: subroutine urnd16(ix,iy,iz,rn)
2: C
3: c Input:
4: c ix, iy, iz: Seeds
5: c Output:
```

```
c rn: Uniform Random Draw U(0,1)
C
1 ix=mod( 171*ix,30269 )
    iy=mod( 172*iy,30307 )
    iz=mod( 170*iz,30323)
    rn=ix/30269.+iy/30307.+iz/30323.
    rn=rn-int(rn)
    if( rn.le.0 ) go to 1
    return
    end
```

We exclude one in Line 12 and zero in Line 13 from rn.
That is, $0<\mathrm{rn}<1$ is generated in urnd16(ix,iy,iz,rn).
Zero and one in the uniform random draw sometimes cause the complier errors in
programming, when the other random draws are derived based on the transformation of the uniform random variable.

De Matteis and Pagnutti (1993) examine the Wichmann-Hill generator with respect to the higher order autocorrelations in sequences, and conclude that the WichmannHill generator performs well.
For 32-bit computers, L'Ecuyer (1988) proposed a combination of $k$ congruential generators that have prime moduli $n_{j}$, such that all values of $\left(n_{j}-1\right) / 2$ are relatively prime, and with multipliers that yield full periods.

Let the sequence from $j$ th generator be $x_{j, 1}, x_{j, 2}, x_{j, 3}, \cdots$.

Consider the case where each individual generator $j$ is a maximum-period multiplicative linear congruential generator with modulus $n_{j}$ and multiplier $a_{j}$, i.e.,

$$
x_{j, i} \equiv a_{j} x_{j, i-1} \bmod n_{j} .
$$

Assuming that the first generator is a relatively good one and that $n_{1}$ is fairly large, we form the $i$ th integer in the sequence as:

$$
x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^{j-1} x_{j, i} \bmod \left(n_{1}-1\right),
$$

where the other moduli $n_{j}, j=2,3, \cdots, k$, do not need to be large.

The normalization takes care of the possibility of zero occurring in this sequence:

$$
u_{i}= \begin{cases}\frac{x_{i}}{n_{1}}, & \text { if } x_{i}>0 \\ \frac{n_{1}-1}{n_{1}}, & \text { if } x_{i}=0\end{cases}
$$

As for each individual generator $j$, note as follows.
Define $q=[n / a]$ and $r \equiv n \bmod a$, i.e., $n$ is decomposed as $n=a q+r$, where $r<a$.
Therefore, for $0<x<n$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a x \bmod n & =(a x-[x / q] n) \bmod n \\
& =(a x-[x / q](a q+r)) \bmod n
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =(a(x-[x / q] q)-[x / q] r) \bmod n \\
& =(a(x \bmod q)-[x / q] r) \bmod n
\end{aligned}
$$

Practically, L'Ecuyer (1988) suggested combining two multiplicative congruential generators, where $k=2,\left(a_{1}, n_{1}, q_{1}, r_{1}\right)=(40014,2147483563,53668,12211)$ and $\left(a_{2}, n_{2}, q_{2}, r_{2}\right)=(40692,2147483399,52774,3791)$ are chosen.

Two seeds are required to implement the generator.
The source code is shown in urnd(ix,iy,rn), where $i x$ and iy are inputs, i.e., seeds, and $r n$ is an output, i.e., a uniform random number between zero and one.

## __urnd(ix,iy,rn)

```
            subroutine urnd(ix,iy,rn)
C
Input:
            ix, iy: Seeds
Output:
            rn: Uniform Random Draw U(0,1)
            1 kx=ix/53668
            ix=40014*(ix-kx*53668)-kx*12211
            if(ix.lt.0) ix=ix+2147483563
            ky=iy/52774
            iy=40692*(iy-ky*52774)-ky*3791
            if(iy.lt.0) iy=iy+2147483399
            rn=ix-iy
            if( rn.lt.1.) rn=rn+2147483562
            rn=rn*4.656613e-10
```

```
19: if( rn.le.0.) go to 1
20: C
21: return
22: end
```

The period of the generator proposed by L'Ecuyer (1988) is of the order of $10^{18}$ (more precisely $2.31 \times 10^{18}$ ), which is quite long and practically long enough.

L'Ecuyer (1988) presents the results of both theoretical and empirical tests, where the above generator performs well.

Furthermore, L'Ecuyer (1988) gives an additional portable generator for 16-bit computers.

Also, see L'Ecuyer(1990, 1998).
To improve the length of period, the above generator proposed by L'Ecuyer (1988) is combined with the shuffling method suggested by Bays and Durham (1976), and it is introduced as ran2 in Press, Teukolsky, Vetterling and Flannery (1992a, 1992b).

However, from relatively long period and simplicity of the source code, hereafter the subroutine urnd(ix, iy,rn) is utilized for the uniform random number generation method, and we will obtain various random draws based on the uniform random draws.

### 5.6.2 Transforming $U(0,1)$ : Continuous Type

In this section, we focus on a continuous type of distributions, in which density functions are derived from the uniform distribution $U(0,1)$ by transformation of variables.

Normal Distribution: $N(0,1)$ : The normal distribution with mean zero and variance one, i.e, the standard normal distribution, is represented by:

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}},
$$

for $-\infty<x<\infty$.

Mean, variance and the moment-generating function are given by:

$$
\mathrm{E}(X)=0, \quad \mathrm{~V}(X)=1, \quad \phi(\theta)=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \theta^{2}\right)
$$

The normal random variable is constructed using two independent uniform random variables.

This transformation is well known as the Box-Muller (1958) transformation and is shown as follows.

Let $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ be uniform random variables between zero and one.
Suppose that $U_{1}$ is independent of $U_{2}$.

Consider the following transformation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{1}=\sqrt{-2 \log \left(U_{1}\right)} \cos \left(2 \pi U_{2}\right) \\
& X_{2}=\sqrt{-2 \log \left(U_{1}\right)} \sin \left(2 \pi U_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have $-\infty<X_{1}<\infty$ and $-\infty<X_{2}<\infty$ when $0<U_{1}<1$ and $0<U_{2}<1$.
Then, the inverse transformation is given by:

$$
u_{1}=\exp \left(-\frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{2}\right), \quad u_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \arctan \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}} .
$$

We perform transformation of variables in multivariate cases.

From this transformation, the Jacobian is obtained as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J & =\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-x_{1} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\right) & -x_{2} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{-x_{2}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}} & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{x_{1}}{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f_{x}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ be the joint density of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ and $f_{u}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ be the joint density of $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$.

Since $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are assumed to be independent, we have the following:

$$
f_{u}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=1,
$$

where $f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $f_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)$ are the density functions of $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$, respectively.
Note that $f_{1}\left(u_{1}\right)=f_{2}\left(u_{2}\right)=1$ because $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are uniform random variables between zero and one.

Accordingly, the joint density of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{x}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) & =|J| f_{u}\left(\exp \left(-\frac{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}{2}\right), \frac{1}{2 \pi} \arctan \frac{x_{2}}{x_{1}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} x_{1}^{2}\right) \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} x_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a product of two standard normal distributions.

Thus, $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are mutually independently distributed as normal random variables with mean zero and variance one.

See Hogg and Craig (1995, pp. 177 - 178).
The source code of the standard normal random number generator shown above is given by snrnd(ix,iy,rn).

subroutine snrnd(ix,iy,rn)
2: C
3: c Use "snrnd(ix,iy,rn)"
4: $c$ together with "urnd(ix,iy,rn)".

6: c Input:

```
7: c ix, iy: Seeds
8: c Output:
9: c rn: Standard Normal Random Draw N(0,1)
10: C
        pi= 3.1415926535897932385
        call urnd(ix,iy,rn1)
        call urnd(ix,iy,rn2)
        rn=sqrt(-2.0*log(rn1))*sin(2.0*pi*rn2)
        return
        end
```

snrnd(ix,iy,rn) should be used together with the uniform random number generator urnd(ix,iy,rn) shown in Section 5.6 .1 (p.267).
rn in snrnd(ix, iy,rn) corresponds to $X_{2}$.

Conventionally, one of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ is taken as the random number which we use. Here, $X_{1}$ is excluded from consideration. snrnd(ix, iy, rn) includes the sine, which takes a lot of time computationally. Therefore, to avoid computation of the sine, various algorithms have been invented (Ahrens and Dieter (1988), Fishman (1996), Gentle (1998), Marsaglia, MacLaren and Bray (1964) and so on).

Standard Normal Probabilities When $X \sim N(0,1)$, we have the case where we want to approximate $p$ such that $p=F(x)$ given $x$, where $F(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f(t) \mathrm{d} t=$
$P(X<x)$.
Adams (1969) reports that

$$
P(X>x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} t^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{x+} \frac{1}{x+} \frac{2}{x+} \frac{3}{x+} \frac{4}{x+} \cdots\right)
$$

for $x>0$, where the form in the parenthesis is called the continued fraction, which is defined as follows:

$$
\frac{a_{1}}{x_{1}+} \frac{a_{2}}{x_{2}+} \frac{a_{3}}{x_{3}+} \cdots=\frac{a_{1}}{x_{1}+\frac{a_{2}}{x_{2}+\frac{a_{3}}{x_{3}+\cdots}}}
$$

A lot of approximations on the continued fraction shown above have been proposed.

See Kennedy and Gentle (1980), Marsaglia (1964) and Marsaglia and Zaman (1994).
Here, we introduce the following approximation (see Takeuchi (1989)):

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(X>x) & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}\left(b_{1} t+b_{2} t^{2}+b_{3} t^{3}+b_{4} t^{4}+b_{5} t^{5}\right), \quad t=\frac{1}{1+a_{0} x} \\
a_{0} & =0.2316419, \quad b_{1}=0.319381530, \quad b_{2}=-0.356563782 \\
b_{3} & =1.781477937, \quad b_{4}=-1.821255978, \quad b_{5}=1.330274429
\end{aligned}
$$

In snprob ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p}$ ) below, $P(X<x)$ is shown.
That is, p up to Line 19 is equal to $P(X>x)$ in $\operatorname{snprob}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p})$.
In Line $20, P(X<x)$ is obtained.
——— $\operatorname{snprob}(x, p)$
subroutine $\operatorname{snprob}(x, p)$
C
c Input:
c $\quad \mathrm{x}: \mathrm{N}(0,1)$ Percent Point
: C Output:
c p: Probability corresponding to x
pi= 3.1415926535897932385
$\mathrm{a} 0=0.2316419$
$\mathrm{b} 1=0.319381530$
b2=-0.356563782
b3 $=1.781477937$
b4=-1.821255978
b5 $=1.330274429$
C

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{abs}(\mathrm{x}) \\
& \mathrm{t}=1.0 /\left(1.0+\mathrm{a} 0^{*} \mathrm{z}\right) \\
& \mathrm{pr}=\exp \left(-.5 * \mathrm{z}^{*} \mathrm{z}\right) / \operatorname{sqrt}(2.0 * \mathrm{pi})
\end{aligned}
$$

```
p=pr*t*(b1+t*(b2+t*(b3+t*(b4+b5*t))))
if(x.gt.0.0) p=1.0-p
return
end
```

The maximum error of approximation of $p$ is $7.5 \times 10^{-8}$, which practically gives us enough precision.

Standard Normal Percent Points When $X \sim N(0,1)$, we approximate $x$ such that $p=F(x)$ given $p$, where $F(x)$ indicates the standard normal cumulative distribution function, i.e., $F(x)=P(X<x)$, and $p$ denotes probability.

As shown in Odeh and Evans (1974), the approximation of a percent point is of the form:

$$
x=y+\frac{S_{4}(y)}{T_{4}(y)}=y+\frac{p_{0}+p_{1} y+p_{2} y^{2}+p_{3} y^{3}+p_{4} y^{4}}{q_{0}+q_{1} y+q_{2} y^{2}+q_{3} y^{3}+q_{4} y^{4}},
$$

where $y=\sqrt{-2 \log (p)}$.
$S_{4}(y)$ and $T_{4}(y)$ denote polynomials degree 4.
The source code is shown in $\operatorname{snperpt}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{x})$, where $x$ is obtained within $10^{-20}<$ $p<1-10^{-20}$.

```
                snperpt(p,x)
            subroutine snperpt(p,x)
C
Input:
    p: Probability
        (err<p<1-err, where err=1e-20)
Output:
    x: N(0,1) Percent Point corresponding to p
        p0=-0.322232431088
        p1=-1.0
        p2=-0.342242088547
        p3=-0.204231210245e-1
        p4=-@.453642210148e-4
        qQ = 0.993484626060e-1
        q1= 0.588581570495
```

```
16:
17:
18:
19:
20:
21:
22:
23:
24:
25:
26:
27:
```

```
    q2=0.531103462366
```

    q2=0.531103462366
    q3=0.103537752850
    q3=0.103537752850
    q4=0.385607006340e-2
    q4=0.385607006340e-2
    ps=p
    ps=p
    if( ps.gt.0.5 ) ps=1.0-ps
    if( ps.gt.0.5 ) ps=1.0-ps
    if( ps.eq.0.5 ) x=0.0
    if( ps.eq.0.5 ) x=0.0
    y=sqrt( -2.0*log(ps) )
    y=sqrt( -2.0*log(ps) )
    x=y+((((y*p4+p3)*y+p2)*y+p1)*y+p0)
    x=y+((((y*p4+p3)*y+p2)*y+p1)*y+p0)
    & / ((((y*q4+q3)*y+q2)*y+q1)*y+q0)
    & / ((((y*q4+q3)*y+q2)*y+q1)*y+q0)
    if( p.lt.0.5 ) x=-x
    if( p.lt.0.5 ) x=-x
    return
    return
    end
    ```
    end
```

The maximum error of approximation of $x$ is $1.5 \times 10^{-8}$ if the function is evaluated in double precision and $1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ if it is evaluated in single precision.

The approximation of the form $x=y+S_{2}(y) / T_{3}(y)$ by Hastings (1955) gives a maximum error of $4.5 \times 10^{-4}$.

To improve accuracy of the approximation, Odeh and Evans (1974) proposed the algorithm above.

Normal Distribution: $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ : The normal distribution denoted by $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ is represented as follows:

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \sigma^{2}}} e^{-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(x-\mu)^{2}},
$$

for $-\infty<x<\infty$.
$\mu$ is called a location parameter and $\sigma^{2}$ is a scale parameter.
Mean, variance and the moment-generating function of the normal distribution $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ are given by:

$$
\mathrm{E}(X)=\mu, \quad \mathrm{V}(X)=\sigma^{2}, \quad \phi(\theta)=\exp \left(\mu \theta+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \theta^{2}\right)
$$

When $\mu=0$ and $\sigma^{2}=1$ are taken, the above density function reduces to the standard normal distribution in Section 5.6.2.
$X=\sigma Z+\mu$ is normally distributed with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$, when $Z \sim N(0,1)$. Therefore, the source code is represented by nrnd(ix, iy, ave, var, rn), where ave and var correspond to $\mu$ and $\sigma^{2}$, respectively.
__nrnd(ix,iy,ave,var,rn)

```
            subroutine nrnd(ix,iy,ave,var,rn)
Use "nrnd(ix,iy,ave,var,rn)"
together with "urnd(ix,iy,rn)"
    and "snrnd(ix,iy,rn)".
Input:
    ix, iy: Seeds
    ave: Mean
    var: Variance
Output:
    rn: Normal Random Draw N(ave,var)
        call snrnd(ix,iy,rn1)
        rn=ave+sqrt(var)*rn1
```

C
return
end

```
nrnd(ix,iy, ave, var,rn) should be used together with urnd(ix,iy,rn) on p. 267 and snrnd ( \(\mathrm{ix}, \mathrm{iy}, \mathrm{rn}\) ) on p.276. It is possible to replace snrnd (ix, iy,rn) by snrnd2(ix,iy,rn) or snrnd3(ix,iy,rn).

\section*{Exponential Distribution: The exponential distribution with parameter \(\beta\) is} written as:
\[
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\beta} e^{-\frac{x}{\beta}}, & \text { for } 0<x<\infty, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\]
for \(\beta>0\).
\(\beta\) indicates a scale parameter.
Mean, variance and the moment-generating function are obtained as follows:
\[
\mathrm{E}(X)=\beta, \quad \mathrm{V}(X)=\beta^{2}, \quad \phi(\theta)=\frac{1}{1-\beta \theta} .
\]

The relation between the exponential random variable the uniform random variable is shown as follows:

When \(U \sim U(0,1)\), consider the following transformation:
\[
X=-\beta \log (U)
\]

Then, \(X\) is an exponential distribution with parameter \(\beta\).
Because the transformation is given by \(u=\exp (-x / \beta)\), the Jacobian is:
\[
J=\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} x}=-\frac{1}{\beta} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\beta} x\right) .
\]

By transforming the variables, the density function of \(X\) is represented as:
\[
f(x)=|J| f_{u}\left(\exp \left(-\frac{1}{\beta} x\right)\right)=\frac{1}{\beta} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\beta} x\right),
\]
where \(f(\cdot)\) and \(f_{u}(\cdot)\) denote the probability density functions of \(X\) and \(U\), respectively.

Note that \(0<x<\infty\) because of \(x=-\beta \log (u)\) and \(0<u<1\).
Thus, the exponential distribution with parameter \(\beta\) is obtained from the uniform random draw between zero and one.

exprnd(ix,iy, beta, rn) should be used together with urnd(ix,iy,rn) on p.267.
When \(\beta=2\), the exponential distribution reduces to the chi-square distribution with 2 degrees of freedom.

Gamma Distribution: \(G(\alpha, \beta)\) : The gamma distribution with parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\), denoted by \(G(\alpha, \beta)\), is represented as follows:
\[
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\beta^{\alpha} \Gamma(\alpha)} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{x}{\beta}}, & \text { for } 0<x<\infty \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\]
for \(\alpha>0\) and \(\beta>0\), where \(\alpha\) is called a shape parameter and \(\beta\) denotes a scale parameter.
\(\Gamma(\cdot)\) is called the gamma function, which is the following function of \(\alpha\) :
\[
\Gamma(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-x} \mathrm{~d} x
\]

The gamma function has the following features:
\[
\Gamma(\alpha+1)=\alpha \Gamma(\alpha), \quad \Gamma(1)=1, \quad \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=2 \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi} .
\]

Mean, variance and the moment-generating function are given by:
\[
\mathrm{E}(X)=\alpha \beta, \quad \mathrm{V}(X)=\alpha \beta^{2}, \quad \phi(\theta)=\frac{1}{(1-\beta \theta)^{\alpha}} .
\]

The gamma distribution with \(\alpha=1\) is equivalent to the exponential distribution shown in Section 5.6.2.

This fact is easily checked by comparing both moment-generating functions.
Now, utilizing the uniform random variable, the gamma distribution with parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) are derived as follows.

The derivation shown in this section deals with the case where \(\alpha\) is a positive integer, i.e., \(\alpha=1,2,3, \cdots\).

The random variables \(Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \cdots, Z_{\alpha}\) are assumed to be mutually independently distributed as exponential random variables with parameter \(\beta\), which are shown in

Section 5.6.2.
Define \(X=\sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} Z_{i}\).
Then, \(X\) has distributed as a gamma distribution with parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\), where \(\alpha\) should be an integer, which is proved as follows:
\[
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{x}(\theta) & =\mathrm{E}\left(e^{\theta X}\right)=\mathrm{E}\left(e^{\theta \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} Z_{i}}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \mathrm{E}\left(e^{\theta Z_{i}}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \phi_{i}(\theta)=\prod_{i=1}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{1-\beta \theta} \\
& =\frac{1}{(1-\beta \theta)^{\alpha}},
\end{aligned}
\]
where \(\phi_{x}(\theta)\) and \(\phi_{i}(\theta)\) represent the moment-generating functions of \(X\) and \(Z_{i}\), respectively.

Thus, sum of the \(\alpha\) exponential random variables yields the gamma random variable with parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\).

Therefore, the source code which generates gamma random numbers is shown in gammarnd(ix, iy, alpha, beta,rn).
```

                gammarnd(ix,iy,alpha,beta,rn)
    subroutine gammarnd(ix,iy,alpha,beta,rn)
    3: c Use "gammarnd(ix,iy,alpha,beta,rn)"
4: c together with "exprnd(ix,iy,beta,rn)"
and "urnd(ix,iy,rn)".
Input:

```
2. C

gammarnd(ix,iy,alpha, beta,rn) is utilized together with urnd(ix,iy,rn) on p. 267 and exprnd(ix,iy,rn) on p. 292.

As pointed out above, \(\alpha\) should be an integer in the source code.
When \(\alpha\) is large, we have serious problems computationally in the above algorithm, because \(\alpha\) exponential random draws have to be generated to obtain one gamma random draw with parameters \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\).

When \(\alpha=k / 2\) and \(\beta=2\), the gamma distribution reduces to the chi-square distribution with \(k\) degrees of freedom.

Chi-Square Distribution: \(\chi^{2}(k)\) : The chi-square distribution with \(k\) degrees of freedom, denoted by \(\chi^{2}(k)\), is written as follows:
\[
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2^{k / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} x^{\frac{k}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} x}, & \text { for } 0<x<\infty, \\ 0, & \text { otherwise },\end{cases}
\]
where \(k\) is a positive integer.
The chi-square distribution is equivalent to the gamma distribution with \(\beta=2\) and \(\alpha=k / 2\).

The chi-square distribution with \(k=2\) reduces to the exponential distribution with \(\beta=2\), shown in Section 5.6.2.

Mean, variance and the moment-generating function are given by:
\[
\mathrm{E}(X)=k, \quad \mathrm{~V}(X)=2 k, \quad \phi(\theta)=\frac{1}{(1-2 \theta)^{k / 2}} .
\]
\(F\) Distribution: \(F(m, n)\) : The \(F\) distribution with \(m\) and \(n\) degrees of freedom, denoted by \(F(m, n)\), is represented as:
\[
f(x)= \begin{cases}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{m+n}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{m}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}\left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^{\frac{m}{2}} x^{\frac{m}{2}-1}\left(1+\frac{m}{n} x\right)^{-\frac{m+n}{2}}, & \text { for } 0<x<\infty \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\]
where \(m\) and \(n\) are positive integers.

Mean and variance are given by:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}(X)=\frac{n}{n-2}, \quad \text { for } n>2, \\
& \mathrm{~V}(X)=\frac{2 n^{2}(m+n-2)}{m(n-2)^{2}(n-4)}, \quad \text { for } n>4 .
\end{aligned}
\]

The moment-generating function of \(F\) distribution does not exist.
One \(F\) random variable is derived from two chi-square random variables.
Suppose that \(U\) and \(V\) are independently distributed as chi-square random variables, i.e., \(U \sim \chi^{2}(m)\) and \(V \sim \chi^{2}(n)\).

Then, it is shown that \(X=\frac{U / m}{V / n}\) has a \(F\) distribution with \((m, n)\) degrees of freedom.
\(t\) Distribution: \(t(k)\) : The \(t\) distribution (or Student's \(t\) distribution) with \(k\) degrees of freedom, denoted by \(t(k)\), is given by:
\[
f(x)=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{k \pi}}\left(1+\frac{x^{2}}{k}\right)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}},
\]
for \(-\infty<x<\infty\), where \(k\) does not have to be an integer but conventionally it is a positive integer.

When \(k\) is small, the \(t\) distribution has fat tails.
The \(t\) distribution with \(k=1\) is equivalent to the Cauchy distribution.
As \(k\) goes to infinity, the \(t\) distribution approaches the standard normal distribution,
i.e., \(t(\infty)=N(0,1)\), which is easily shown by using the definition of \(e\), i.e.,
\[
\left(1+\frac{x^{2}}{k}\right)^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}=\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right)^{-\frac{h x^{2}+1}{2}}=\left(\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right)^{h}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}}\left(1+\frac{1}{h}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow e^{-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}},
\]
where \(h=k / x^{2}\) is set and \(h\) goes to infinity (equivalently, \(k\) goes to infinity).
Thus, a kernel of the \(t\) distribution is equivalent to that of the standard normal distribution.

Therefore, it is shown that as \(k\) is large the \(t\) distribution approaches the standard normal distribution.

Mean and variance of the \(t\) distribution with \(k\) degrees of freedom are obtained as:
\[
\mathrm{E}(X)=0, \quad \text { for } k>1
\]
\[
\mathrm{V}(X)=\frac{k}{k-2}, \quad \text { for } k>2
\]

In the case of the \(t\) distribution, the moment-generating function does not exist, because all the moments do not necessarily exist.

For the \(t\) random variable \(X\), we have the fact that \(\mathrm{E}\left(X^{p}\right)\) exists when \(p\) is less than k.

Therefore, all the moments exist only when \(k\) is infinity.
One \(t\) random variable is obtained from chi-square and standard normal random variables.

Suppose that \(Z \sim N(0,1)\) is independent of \(U \sim \chi^{2}(k)\).

Then, \(X=Z / \sqrt{U / k}\) has a \(t\) distribution with \(k\) degrees of freedom.
Marsaglia (1984) gives a very fast algorithm for generating \(t\) random draws, which is based on a transformed acceptance/rejection method, which will be discussed later.

\subsection*{5.6.3 Inverse Transform Method}

In Section 5.6.2, we have introduced the probability density functions which can be derived by transforming the uniform random variables between zero and one.

In this section, the probability density functions obtained by the inverse transform method are presented and the corresponding random number generators are shown.

The inverse transform method is represented as follows.
Let \(X\) be a random variable which has a cumulative distribution function \(F(\cdot)\).
When \(U \sim U(0,1), F^{-1}(U)\) is equal to \(X\).

The proof is obtained from the following fact:
\[
P(X<x)=P\left(F^{-1}(U)<x\right)=P(U<F(x))=F(x)
\]

In other words, let \(u\) be a random draw of \(U\), where \(U \sim U(0,1)\), and \(F(\cdot)\) be a distribution function of \(X\).

When we perform the following inverse transformation:
\[
x=F^{-1}(u),
\]
\(x\) implies the random draw generated from \(F(\cdot)\).

The inverse transform method shown above is useful when \(F(\cdot)\) can be computed easily and the inverse distribution function, i.e., \(F^{-1}(\cdot)\), has a closed form.

For example, recall that \(F(\cdot)\) cannot be obtained explicitly in the case of the normal distribution because the integration is included in the normal cumulative distribution (conventionally we approximate the normal cumulative distribution when we want to evaluate it).

If no closed form of \(F^{-1}(\cdot)\) is available but \(F(\cdot)\) is still computed easily, an iterative method such as the Newton-Raphson method can be applied.
Define \(k(x)=F(x)-u\).

The first order Taylor series expansion around \(x=x^{*}\) is:
\[
0=k(x) \approx k\left(x^{*}\right)+k^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\left(x-x^{*}\right)
\]

Then, we obtain:
\[
x=x^{*}-\frac{k\left(x^{*}\right)}{k^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)}=x^{*}-\frac{F\left(x^{*}\right)-u}{f\left(x^{*}\right)} .
\]

Replacing \(x\) and \(x^{*}\) by \(x^{(i)}\) and \(x^{(i-1)}\), we have the following iteration:
\[
x^{(i)}=x^{(i-1)}-\frac{F\left(x^{(i-1)}\right)-u}{f\left(x^{(i-1)}\right)},
\]
for \(i=1,2, \cdots\).

The convergence value of \(x^{(i)}\) is taken as a solution of equation \(u=F(x)\).

Thus, based on \(u\), a random draw \(x\) is derived from \(F(\cdot)\).

However, we should keep in mind that this procedure takes a lot of time computationally, because we need to repeat the convergence computation shown above as many times as we want to generate.```

