Finally, replacing  $\sigma^2$  by its consistent estimator  $s^2$ , it is known as follows:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1), \tag{16}$$

where  $s^2$  is defined as:

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{1} - \hat{\beta}_{2} x_{i})^{2},$$
(17)

which is a consistent and unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .  $\longrightarrow$  Proved later.

Thus, using (16), in large sample we can construct the confidence interval and test the hypothesis.

#### [Review] Confidence Interval (信頼区間,区間推定)):

Suppose  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .  $\longrightarrow$  No N assumption From CLT,  $\frac{\overline{X} - E(\overline{X})}{\sqrt{V(\overline{X})}} = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1).$ 

Replacing 
$$\sigma^2$$
 by  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$ , we have:  $\frac{X - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1)$ .

That is, for large *n*,

$$P\left(-1.96 < \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} < 1.96\right) = 0.95, \text{ i.e., } P\left(\overline{X} - 1.96 \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \overline{X} + 1.96 \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right) = 0.95.$$

Note that 1.96 is obtained from the normal distribution table.

Then, replacing the estimators  $\overline{X}$  and  $S^2$  by the estimates  $\overline{x}$  and  $s^2$ , we obtain the 95% confidence interval of  $\mu$  as follows:

$$(\overline{x} - 1.96\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \ \overline{x} + 1.96\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}).$$

[End of Review]

Going back to OLS, we have:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1).$$

Therefore,

$$P\left(-2.576 < \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} < 2.576\right) = 0.99,$$

i.e.,

$$P(\hat{\beta}_2 - 2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} < \beta_2 < \hat{\beta}_2 + 2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}) = 0.99.$$

Note that 2.576 is 0.005 value of N(0, 1), which comes from the statistical table. Thus, the 99% confidence interval of  $\beta_2$  is:

$$(\hat{\beta}_2 - 2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}, \hat{\beta}_2 + 2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}),$$

where  $\hat{\beta}_2$  and  $s^2$  should be replaced by the observed data.

### [Review] Testing the Hypothesis (仮説検定):

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are iid with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . From CLT,  $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1)$ , where  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$ , which is known as the unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

- The null hypothesis  $H_0$ :  $\mu = \mu_0$ , where  $\mu_0$  is a fixed number.
- The alternative hypothesis  $H_1$ :  $\mu \neq \mu_0$

Under the null hypothesis, in large sample we have the following disribution:

$$\frac{\overline{X}-\mu_0}{S/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0,1).$$

Replacing  $\overline{X}$  and  $S^2$  by  $\overline{x}$  and  $s^2$ , compare  $\frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$  and N(0, 1).  $H_0$  is rejected at significance level 0.05 when  $\left|\frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}\right| > 1.96$ . [End of Review] In the case of OLS, the hypotheses are as follows:

- The null hypothesis  $H_0$ :  $\beta_2 = \beta_2^*$
- The alternative hypothesis  $H_1$ :  $\beta_2 \neq \beta_2^*$

Under  $H_0$ , in large sample,

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2^*}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Replacing  $\hat{\beta}_2$  and  $s^2$  by the observed data, compare  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2^*}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}$  and N(0, 1).  $H_0$  is rejected at significance level 0.05 when  $\left|\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2^*}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}\right| > 1.96$ . **Exact Distribution of**  $\hat{\beta}_2$ : We have shown asymptotic normality of  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)$ , which is one of the large sample properties.

Now, we discuss the small sample properties of  $\hat{\beta}_2$ .

In order to obtain the distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_2$  in small sample, the distribution of the error term has to be assumed.

Therefore, the extra assumption is that  $u_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ . Writing (13), again,  $\hat{\beta}_2$  is represented as:

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i u_i.$$

First, we obtain the distribution of the second term in the above equation.

[**Review**] Content of Special Lectures in Economics (Statistical Analysis) Note that the moment-generating function (積率母関数, MGF) is given by  $M(\theta) \equiv E(\exp(\theta X)) = \exp(\mu\theta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\theta^2)$  when  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .

 $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are mutually independently distributed as  $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

MGF of  $X_i$  is  $M_i(\theta) \equiv E(\exp(\theta X_i)) = \exp(\mu_i \theta + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i^2 \theta^2)$ .

Consider the distribution of  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i + b_i X_i)$ , where  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  are constant.

$$M_{y}(\theta) \equiv E(\exp(\theta Y)) = E(\exp(\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i} + b_{i}X_{i})))$$
  

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\theta a_{i})E(\exp(\theta b_{i}X_{i})) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\theta a_{i})M_{i}(\theta b_{i})$$
  

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp(\theta a_{i})\exp(\mu_{i}\theta b_{i} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{i}^{2}(\theta b_{i})^{2}) = \exp(\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i} + b_{i}\mu_{i}) + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\sigma_{i}^{2}),$$
  
which implies that  $Y \sim N(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{i} + b_{i}\mu_{i}), \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2}\sigma_{i}^{2}).$   
[End of Review]

Substitute  $a_i = 0$ ,  $\mu_i = 0$ ,  $b_i = \omega_i$  and  $\sigma_i^2 = \sigma^2$ .

Then, using the moment-generating function,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i u_i$  is distributed as:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i u_i \sim N(0, \ \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2).$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\beta}_2$  is distributed as:

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i u_i \sim N(\beta_2, \ \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2),$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{\sigma \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{\sigma / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \sim N(0, 1),$$

for any *n*.

#### [Review 1] *t* Distribution:

 $Z \sim N(0, 1), V \sim \chi^2(k)$ , and Z is independent of V. Then,  $\frac{Z}{\sqrt{V/k}} \sim t(k)$ . [End of Review 1]

#### [Review 2] *t* Distribution:

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are mutually independently, identically and normally distributed with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

$$\overline{X} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2/n)$$
, i.e.,  $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$ .  
Define  $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$ , which is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .  
It is known that  $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$  and  $\overline{X}$  is independent of  $S^2$ . (The proof is skipped.)

Then, we obtain 
$$\frac{\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}} / (n-1)} = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1).$$
  
As a result, replacing  $\sigma^2$  by  $S^2$ ,  $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1).$   
[End of Review 2]

Back to OLS:

Replacing  $\sigma^2$  by its estimator  $s^2$  defined in (17), it is known that we have:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \sim t(n-2),$$

where t(n-2) denotes t distribution with n-2 degrees of freedom.

Thus, under normality assumption on the error term  $u_i$ , the t(n - 2) distribution is used for the confidence interval and the testing hypothesis in small sample.

Or, taking the square on both sides,

$$\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}\right)^2 \sim F(1, n-2),$$

which will be proved later.

Before going to multiple regression model (重回帰モデル),

## 2 Some Formulas of Matrix Algebra

1. Let 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{l1} & a_{l2} & \cdots & a_{lk} \end{pmatrix} = [a_{ij}],$$

which is a  $l \times k$  matrix, where  $a_{ij}$  denotes *i*th row and *j*th column of A.

The transposed matrix (転置行列) of A, denoted by A', is defined as:

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{l1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{l2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1k} & a_{2k} & \cdots & a_{lk} \end{pmatrix} = [a_{ji}],$$

where the *i*th row of A' is the *i*th column of A.

2. (Ax)' = x'A',

where A and x are a  $l \times k$  matrix and a  $k \times 1$  vector, respectively.

3. a' = a,

where *a* denotes a scalar.

4. 
$$\frac{\partial a'x}{\partial x} = a$$
,

where *a* and *x* are  $k \times 1$  vectors.

5. 
$$\frac{\partial x'Ax}{\partial x} = (A + A')x,$$

where A and x are a  $k \times k$  matrix and a  $k \times 1$  vector, respectively.

Especially, when A is symmetric,

$$\frac{\partial x'Ax}{\partial x} = 2Ax.$$

6. Let *A* and *B* be  $k \times k$  matrices, and  $I_k$  be a  $k \times k$  **identity matrix** (単位行列) (one in the diagonal elements and zero in the other elements).

When  $AB = I_k$ , B is called the **inverse matrix** (逆行列) of A, denoted by  $B = A^{-1}$ .

That is,  $AA^{-1} = A^{-1}A = I_k$ .

7. Let *A* be a  $k \times k$  matrix and *x* be a  $k \times 1$  vector.

If *A* is a **positive definite matrix** (正値定符号行列), for any *x* except for x = 0 we have:

If *A* is a **positive semidefinite matrix** (非負値定符号行列), for any *x* except for x = 0 we have:

$$x'Ax \ge 0$$

44

# If *A* is a **negative definite matrix** (負値定符号行列), for any *x* except for x = 0 we have:

If *A* is a **negative semidefinite matrix** (非正値定符号行列), for any *x* except for x = 0 we have:

 $x'Ax \leq 0.$ 

**Trace, Rank and etc.:**  $A: k \times k$ ,  $B: n \times k$ ,  $C: k \times n$ .

1. The trace 
$$( \vdash \lor \neg \neg)$$
 of A is: tr(A) =  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{ii}$ , where  $A = [a_{ij}]$ .

2. The **rank** (ランク, 階数) of *A* is the maximum number of linearly independent column (or row) vectors of *A*, which is denoted by rank(A).

- 3. If A is an **idempotent matrix** (べき等行列),  $A = A^2$ .
- 4. If *A* is an idempotent and symmetric matrix,  $A = A^2 = A'A$ .
- 5. *A* is idempotent if and only if the eigen values of *A* consist of 1 and 0.
- 6. If A is idempotent, rank(A) = tr(A).
- 7. tr(BC) = tr(CB)

#### **Distributions in Matrix Form:**

1. Let *X*,  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  be  $k \times 1$ ,  $k \times 1$  and  $k \times k$  matrices.

When  $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , the density function of X is given by:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{k/2} |\Sigma|^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)' \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$$

$$E(X) = \mu$$
 and  $V(X) = E((X - \mu)(X - \mu)') = \Sigma$ 

The moment-generating function:  $\phi(\theta) = E(\exp(\theta' X)) = \exp(\theta' \mu + \frac{1}{2}\theta' \Sigma \theta)$ 

(\*) In the univariate case, when  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , the density function of X is:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(x-\mu)^2\right).$$

2. If  $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , then  $(X - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim \chi^2(k)$ .

Note that  $X'X \sim \chi^2(k)$  when  $X \sim N(0, I_k)$ .

3. X:  $n \times 1$ , Y:  $m \times 1$ , X ~  $N(\mu_x, \Sigma_x)$ , Y ~  $N(\mu_y, \Sigma_y)$ 

X is independent of Y, i.e.,  $E((X - \mu_x)(Y - \mu_y)') = 0$  in the case of normal random variables.

$$\frac{(X - \mu_x)' \Sigma_x^{-1} (X - \mu_x)/n}{(Y - \mu_y)' \Sigma_y^{-1} (Y - \mu_y)/m} \sim F(n, m)$$

4. If  $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$  and *A* is a symmetric idempotent  $n \times n$  matrix of rank *G*, then  $X'AX/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(G)$ .

Note that X'AX = (AX)'(AX) and rank(A) = tr(A) because A is idempotent.

If X ~ N(0, σ<sup>2</sup>I<sub>n</sub>), A and B are symmetric idempotent n × n matrices of rank G and K, and AB = 0, then

$$\frac{X'AX}{G\sigma^2} \Big| \frac{X'BX}{K\sigma^2} = \frac{X'AX/G}{X'BX/K} \sim F(G, K).$$