Finally, replacing $\sigma^{2}$ by its consistent estimator $s^{2}$, it is known as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}} \longrightarrow N(0,1), \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s^{2}$ is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{2}=\frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\hat{\beta_{1}}-\hat{\beta_{2}} x_{i}\right)^{2}, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a consistent and unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2} . \longrightarrow$ Proved later.

Thus, using (16), in large sample we can construct the confidence interval and test the hypothesis.
［Review］Confidence Interval（信頼区間，区間推定））：
Suppose $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are iid with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2} . \longrightarrow$ No N assumption
From CLT，$\frac{\bar{X}-\mathrm{E}(\bar{X})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}(\bar{X})}}=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \rightarrow N(0,1)$ ．
Replacing $\sigma^{2}$ by $S^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$ ，we have：$\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0,1)$ ．
That is，for large $n$ ，

$$
P\left(-1.96<\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S / \sqrt{n}}<1.96\right)=0.95 \text {, i.e., } P\left(\bar{X}-1.96 \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}<\mu<\bar{X}+1.96 \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}\right)=0.95 .
$$

Note that 1.96 is obtained from the normal distribution table．
Then，replacing the estimators $\bar{X}$ and $S^{2}$ by the estimates $\bar{x}$ and $s^{2}$ ，we obtain the $95 \%$ confidence interval of $\mu$ as follows：

$$
\left(\bar{x}-1.96 \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}, \bar{x}+1.96 \frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}\right) .
$$

［End of Review］

Going back to OLS, we have:

$$
\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}} \longrightarrow N(0,1)
$$

Therefore,

$$
P\left(-2.576<\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}<2.576\right)=0.99
$$

i.e.,

$$
P\left(\hat{\beta}_{2}-2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}<\beta_{2}<\hat{\beta}_{2}+2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}\right)=0.99
$$

Note that 2.576 is 0.005 value of $N(0,1)$, which comes from the statistical table.
Thus, the $99 \%$ confidence interval of $\beta_{2}$ is:

$$
\left(\hat{\beta}_{2}-2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}, \hat{\beta}_{2}+2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}\right)
$$

where $\hat{\beta}_{2}$ and $s^{2}$ should be replaced by the observed data.
［Review］Testing the Hypothesis（仮説検定）：
Suppose that $X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are iid with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$ ．
From CLT，$\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0,1)$ ，where $S^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$ ，which is known as the unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$ ．
－The null hypothesis $H_{0}: \mu=\mu_{0}$ ，where $\mu_{0}$ is a fixed number．
－The alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \mu \neq \mu_{0}$
Under the null hypothesis，in large sample we have the following disribution：

$$
\frac{\bar{X}-\mu_{0}}{S / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0,1) .
$$

Replacing $\bar{X}$ and $S^{2}$ by $\bar{x}$ and $s^{2}$ ，compare $\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{s / \sqrt{n}}$ and $N(0,1)$ ．
$H_{0}$ is rejected at significance level 0.05 when $\left|\frac{\bar{x}-\mu_{0}}{s / \sqrt{n}}\right|>1.96$ ．
［End of Review］

In the case of OLS, the hypotheses are as follows:

- The null hypothesis $H_{0}: \beta_{2}=\beta_{2}^{*}$
- The alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \beta_{2} \neq \beta_{2}^{*}$

Under $H_{0}$, in large sample,

$$
\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}^{*}}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}} \sim N(0,1) .
$$

Replacing $\hat{\beta}_{2}$ and $s^{2}$ by the observed data, compare $\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}^{*}}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}$ and $N(0,1)$.
$H_{0}$ is rejected at significance level 0.05 when $\left|\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}^{*}}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}\right|>1.96$.

Exact Distribution of $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{2}$ : We have shown asymptotic normality of $\sqrt{n}\left(\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}\right)$, which is one of the large sample properties.

Now, we discuss the small sample properties of $\hat{\beta}_{2}$.
In order to obtain the distribution of $\hat{\beta}_{2}$ in small sample, the distribution of the error term has to be assumed.

Therefore, the extra assumption is that $u_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$.
Writing (13), again, $\hat{\beta}_{2}$ is represented as:

$$
\hat{\beta}_{2}=\beta_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i} .
$$

First, we obtain the distribution of the second term in the above equation.
［Review］Content of Special Lectures in Economics（Statistical Analysis）
Note that the moment－generating function（積率母関数，MGF）is given by $M(\theta) \equiv$ $\mathrm{E}(\exp (\theta X))=\exp \left(\mu \theta+\frac{1}{2} \sigma^{2} \theta^{2}\right)$ when $X \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$ ．
$X_{1}, X_{2}, \cdots, X_{n}$ are mutually independently distributed as $X_{i} \sim N\left(\mu_{i}, \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$ for $i=$ $1,2, \cdots, n$ ．

MGF of $X_{i}$ is $M_{i}(\theta) \equiv \mathrm{E}\left(\exp \left(\theta X_{i}\right)\right)=\exp \left(\mu_{i} \theta+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} \theta^{2}\right)$ ．
Consider the distribution of $Y=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}+b_{i} X_{i}\right)$ ，where $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ are constant．

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{y}(\theta) & \equiv \mathrm{E}(\exp (\theta Y))=\mathrm{E}\left(\exp \left(\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}+b_{i} X_{i}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left(\theta a_{i}\right) \mathrm{E}\left(\exp \left(\theta b_{i} X_{i}\right)\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left(\theta a_{i}\right) M_{i}\left(\theta b_{i}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp \left(\theta a_{i}\right) \exp \left(\mu_{i} \theta b_{i}+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}\left(\theta b_{i}\right)^{2}\right)=\exp \left(\theta \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}+b_{i} \mu_{i}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \theta^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that $Y \sim N\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}+b_{i} \mu_{i}\right), \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i}^{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$ ．
［End of Review］

Substitute $a_{i}=0, \mu_{i}=0, b_{i}=\omega_{i}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{2}=\sigma^{2}$.

Then, using the moment-generating function, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i}$ is distributed as:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i} \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore, $\hat{\beta}_{2}$ is distributed as:

$$
\hat{\beta}_{2}=\beta_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i} \sim N\left(\beta_{2}, \sigma^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}^{2}\right),
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}}{\sigma \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}^{2}}}=\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}}{\sigma / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}} \sim N(0,1),
$$

for any $n$.
[Review 1] $t$ Distribution:
$Z \sim N(0,1), V \sim \chi^{2}(k)$, and $Z$ is independent of $V$. Then, $\frac{Z}{\sqrt{V / k}} \sim t(k)$.

## [End of Review 1]

## [Review 2] $t$ Distribution:

Suppose that $X_{1}, X_{2} \cdots, X_{n}$ are mutually independently, identically and normally distributed with mean $\mu$ and variance $\sigma^{2}$.
$\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2} / n\right)$, i.e., $\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0,1)$.
Define $S^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{2}$, which is an unbiased estimator of $\sigma^{2}$.
It is known that $\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} \sim \chi^{2}(n-1)$ and $\bar{X}$ is independesnt of $S^{2}$. (The proof is skipped.)

Then, we obtain $\frac{\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}}{\sqrt{\frac{(n-1) S^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} /(n-1)}}=\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1)$.
As a result, replacing $\sigma^{2}$ by $S^{2}, \frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \sim t(n-1)$.
[End of Review 2]

## Back to OLS：

Replacing $\sigma^{2}$ by its estimator $s^{2}$ defined in（17），it is known that we have：

$$
\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}} \sim t(n-2)
$$

where $t(n-2)$ denotes $t$ distribution with $n-2$ degrees of freedom．

Thus，under normality assumption on the error term $u_{i}$ ，the $t(n-2)$ distribution is used for the confidence interval and the testing hypothesis in small sample．

Or，taking the square on both sides，

$$
\left(\frac{\hat{\beta}_{2}-\beta_{2}}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2}}}\right)^{2} \sim F(1, n-2)
$$

which will be proved later．
Before going to multiple regression model（重回帰モデル），

## 2 Some Formulas of Matrix Algebra

1．Let $A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 k} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{l 1} & a_{l 2} & \cdots & a_{l k}\end{array}\right)=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ ，
which is a $l \times k$ matrix，where $a_{i j}$ denotes $i$ th row and $j$ th column of $A$ ．
The transposed matrix（転置行列）of $A$ ，denoted by $A^{\prime}$ ，is defined as：
$A^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{l 1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{l 2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1 k} & a_{2 k} & \cdots & a_{l k}\end{array}\right)=\left[a_{j i}\right]$,
where the $i$ th row of $A^{\prime}$ is the $i$ th column of $A$ ．
2. $(A x)^{\prime}=x^{\prime} A^{\prime}$,
where $A$ and $x$ are a $l \times k$ matrix and a $k \times 1$ vector, respectively.
3. $a^{\prime}=a$,
where $a$ denotes a scalar.
4. $\frac{\partial a^{\prime} x}{\partial x}=a$,
where $a$ and $x$ are $k \times 1$ vectors.
5. $\frac{\partial x^{\prime} A x}{\partial x}=\left(A+A^{\prime}\right) x$,
where $A$ and $x$ are a $k \times k$ matrix and a $k \times 1$ vector, respectively.
Especially, when $A$ is symmetric,
$\frac{\partial x^{\prime} A x}{\partial x}=2 A x$.

6．Let $A$ and $B$ be $k \times k$ matrices，and $I_{k}$ be a $k \times k$ identity matrix（単位行列） （one in the diagonal elements and zero in the other elements）．

When $A B=I_{k}, B$ is called the inverse matrix（逆行列）of $A$ ，denoted by $B=A^{-1}$ ．

That is，$A A^{-1}=A^{-1} A=I_{k}$ ．

7．Let $A$ be a $k \times k$ matrix and $x$ be a $k \times 1$ vector．
If $A$ is a positive definite matrix（正値定符号行列），for any $x$ except for $x=0$ we have：

$$
x^{\prime} A x>0 .
$$

If $A$ is a positive semidefinite matrix（非負値定符号行列），for any $x$ except for $x=0$ we have：

$$
x^{\prime} A x \geq 0
$$

If $A$ is a negative definite matrix（負値定符号行列），for any $x$ except for $x=0$ we have：

$$
x^{\prime} A x<0
$$

If $A$ is a negative semidefinite matrix（非正値定符号行列），for any $x$ except for $x=0$ we have：

$$
x^{\prime} A x \leq 0 .
$$

Trace，Rank and etc．：$\quad A: k \times k, \quad B: n \times k, \quad C: k \times n$.
1．The trace（トレース）of $A$ is： $\operatorname{tr}(A)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i i}$ ，where $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ ．
2．The rank（ランク，階数）of $A$ is the maximum number of linearly independent column（or row）vectors of $A$ ，which is denoted by $\operatorname{rank}(A)$ ．

3．If $A$ is an idempotent matrix（べき等行列），$A=A^{2}$ ．
4．If $A$ is an idempotent and symmetric matrix，$A=A^{2}=A^{\prime} A$ ．
5．$A$ is idempotent if and only if the eigen values of $A$ consist of 1 and 0 ．
6．If $A$ is idempotent， $\operatorname{rank}(A)=\operatorname{tr}(A)$ ．
7． $\operatorname{tr}(B C)=\operatorname{tr}(C B)$

## Distributions in Matrix Form：

1．Let $X, \mu$ and $\Sigma$ be $k \times 1, k \times 1$ and $k \times k$ matrices．
When $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ ，the density function of $X$ is given by：

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{k / 2}|\Sigma|^{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right) .
$$

$\mathrm{E}(X)=\mu$ and $\mathrm{V}(X)=\mathrm{E}\left((X-\mu)(X-\mu)^{\prime}\right)=\Sigma$
The moment-generating function: $\phi(\theta)=\mathrm{E}\left(\exp \left(\theta^{\prime} X\right)\right)=\exp \left(\theta^{\prime} \mu+\frac{1}{2} \theta^{\prime} \Sigma \theta\right)$
(*) In the univariate case, when $X \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, the density function of $X$ is:

$$
f(x)=\frac{1}{\left(2 \pi \sigma^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}}(x-\mu)^{2}\right)
$$

2. If $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$, then $(X-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-1}(X-\mu) \sim \chi^{2}(k)$.

Note that $\quad X^{\prime} X \sim \chi^{2}(k)$ when $X \sim N\left(0, I_{k}\right)$.
3. $X: n \times 1, \quad Y: m \times 1, \quad X \sim N\left(\mu_{x}, \Sigma_{x}\right), \quad Y \sim N\left(\mu_{y}, \Sigma_{y}\right)$
$X$ is independent of $Y$, i.e., $\mathrm{E}\left(\left(X-\mu_{x}\right)\left(Y-\mu_{y}\right)^{\prime}\right)=0$ in the case of normal random variables.

$$
\frac{\left(X-\mu_{x}\right)^{\prime} \Sigma_{x}^{-1}\left(X-\mu_{x}\right) / n}{\left(Y-\mu_{y}\right)^{\prime} \Sigma_{y}^{-1}\left(Y-\mu_{y}\right) / m} \sim F(n, m)
$$

4. If $X \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2} I_{n}\right)$ and $A$ is a symmetric idempotent $n \times n$ matrix of rank $G$, then $X^{\prime} A X / \sigma^{2} \sim \chi^{2}(G)$.

Note that $X^{\prime} A X=(A X)^{\prime}(A X)$ and $\operatorname{rank}(A)=\operatorname{tr}(A)$ because $A$ is idempotent.
5. If $X \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2} I_{n}\right), A$ and $B$ are symmetric idempotent $n \times n$ matrices of rank $G$ and $K$, and $A B=0$, then

$$
\frac{X^{\prime} A X}{G \sigma^{2}} / \frac{X^{\prime} B X}{K \sigma^{2}}=\frac{X^{\prime} A X / G}{X^{\prime} B X / K} \sim F(G, K)
$$

