

3 Multiple Regression Model (重回帰モデル)

Up to now, only one independent variable, i.e., x_i , is taken into the regression model. We extend it to more independent variables, which is called the **multiple regression model** (重回帰モデル).

We consider the following regression model:

$$y_i = \beta_1 x_{i,1} + \beta_2 x_{i,2} + \cdots + \beta_k x_{i,k} + u_i = (x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \cdots, x_{i,k}) \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix} + u_i = x_i \beta + u_i,$$

for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, where x_i and β denote a $1 \times k$ vector of the independent variables

and a $k \times 1$ vector of the unknown parameters to be estimated, which are given by:

$$x_i = (x_{i,1}, x_{i,2}, \dots, x_{i,k}), \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

$x_{i,j}$ denotes the i th observation of the j th independent variable.

The case of $k = 2$ and $x_{i,1} = 1$ for all i is exactly equivalent to (1).

Therefore, the matrix form above is a generalization of (1).

Writing all the equations for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we have:

$$y_1 = \beta_1 x_{1,1} + \beta_2 x_{1,2} + \dots + \beta_k x_{1,k} + u_1 = x_1 \beta + u_1,$$

$$y_2 = \beta_1 x_{2,1} + \beta_2 x_{2,2} + \dots + \beta_k x_{2,k} + u_2 = x_2 \beta + u_2,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$y_n = \beta_1 x_{n,1} + \beta_2 x_{n,2} + \dots + \beta_k x_{n,k} + u_n = x_n \beta + u_n,$$

which is rewritten as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,k} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & \cdots & x_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & x_{n,2} & \cdots & x_{n,k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_k \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \beta + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again, the above equation is compactly rewritten as:

$$y = X\beta + u, \tag{18}$$

where y , X and u are denoted by:

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,k} \\ x_{2,1} & x_{2,2} & \cdots & x_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n,1} & x_{n,2} & \cdots & x_{n,k} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Utilizing the matrix form (18), we derive the ordinary least squares estimator of β , denoted by $\hat{\beta}$.

In (18), replacing β by $\hat{\beta}$, we have the following equation:

$$y = X\hat{\beta} + e,$$

where e denotes a $n \times 1$ vector of the residuals.

The i th element of e is given by e_i .

The sum of squared residuals is written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\hat{\beta}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = e'e = (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}) = (y' - \hat{\beta}'X')(y - X\hat{\beta}) \\ &= y'y - y'X\hat{\beta} - \hat{\beta}'X'y + \hat{\beta}'X'X\hat{\beta} = y'y - 2y'X\hat{\beta} + \hat{\beta}'X'X\hat{\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, note that $\hat{\beta}'X'y = y'X\hat{\beta}$ because both are scalars.

To minimize $S(\hat{\beta})$ with respect to $\hat{\beta}$, we set the first derivative of $S(\hat{\beta})$ equal to zero, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial S(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \hat{\beta}} = -2X'y + 2X'X\hat{\beta} = 0.$$

Solving the equation above with respect to $\hat{\beta}$, the **ordinary least squares estimator (OLS, 最小自乘推定量)** of β is given by:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y. \quad (19)$$

Thus, the ordinary least squares estimator is derived in the matrix form.

(*) Remark

The second order condition for minimization:

$$\frac{\partial^2 S(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \hat{\beta} \partial \hat{\beta}'} = 2X'X$$

is a positive definite matrix.

Set $c = Xd$.

For any $d \neq 0$, we have $c'c = d'X'Xd > 0$.

Now, in order to obtain the properties of $\hat{\beta}$ such as mean, variance, distribution and so on, (19) is rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta} &= (X'X)^{-1}X'y = (X'X)^{-1}X'(X\beta + u) = (X'X)^{-1}X'X\beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u \\ &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u.\end{aligned}\tag{20}$$

Taking the expectation on both sides of (20), we have the following:

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = E(\beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u) = \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'E(u) = \beta,$$

because of $E(u) = 0$ by the assumption of the error term u_i .

Thus, unbiasedness of $\hat{\beta}$ is shown.

The variance of $\hat{\beta}$ is obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} V(\hat{\beta}) &= E((\hat{\beta} - \beta)(\hat{\beta} - \beta)') = E\left((X'X)^{-1}X'u((X'X)^{-1}X'u)'\right) \\ &= E((X'X)^{-1}X'uu'X(X'X)^{-1}) = (X'X)^{-1}X'E(uu')X(X'X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}X'X(X'X)^{-1} = \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The first equality is the definition of variance in the case of vector.

In the fifth equality, $E(uu') = \sigma^2 I_n$ is used, which implies that $E(u_i^2) = \sigma^2$ for all i and $E(u_i u_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

Remember that u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n are assumed to be mutually independently and identically distributed with mean zero and variance σ^2 .

Under normality assumption on the error term u , it is known that the distribution of $\hat{\beta}$ is given by:

$$\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}).$$

Proof:

First, when $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$, the moment-generating function, i.e., $\phi(\theta)$, is given by:

$$\phi(\theta) \equiv E(\exp(\theta'X)) = \exp\left(\theta'\mu + \frac{1}{2}\theta'\Sigma\theta\right)$$

$$\theta_u: n \times 1, \quad u: n \times 1, \quad \theta_\beta: k \times 1, \quad \hat{\beta}: k \times 1$$

The moment-generating function of u , i.e., $\phi_u(\theta_u)$, is:

$$\phi_u(\theta_u) \equiv E(\exp(\theta_u'u)) = \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta_u'\theta_u\right),$$

which is $N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$.

The moment-generating function of $\hat{\beta}$, i.e., $\phi_{\beta}(\theta_{\beta})$, is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi_{\beta}(\theta_{\beta}) &\equiv E\left(\exp(\theta'_{\beta}\hat{\beta})\right) = E\left(\exp(\theta'_{\beta}\beta + \theta'_{\beta}(X'X)^{-1}X'u)\right) \\
 &= \exp(\theta'_{\beta}\beta)E\left(\exp(\theta'_{\beta}(X'X)^{-1}X'u)\right) = \exp(\theta'_{\beta}\beta)\phi_u\left(\theta'_{\beta}(X'X)^{-1}X'\right) \\
 &= \exp(\theta'_{\beta}\beta) \exp\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta'_{\beta}(X'X)^{-1}\theta_{\beta}\right) = \exp\left(\theta'_{\beta}\beta + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}\theta'_{\beta}(X'X)^{-1}\theta_{\beta}\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the normal distribution with mean β and variance $\sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}$.

Note that $\theta_u = X(X'X)^{-1}\theta_{\beta}$.

QED

Taking the j th element of $\hat{\beta}$, its distribution is given by:

$$\hat{\beta}_j \sim N(\beta_j, \sigma^2 a_{jj}), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{\sigma \sqrt{a_{jj}}} \sim N(0, 1),$$

where a_{jj} denotes the j th diagonal element of $(X'X)^{-1}$.

Replacing σ^2 by its estimator s^2 , we have the following t distribution:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{s \sqrt{a_{jj}}} \sim t(n - k),$$

where $t(n - k)$ denotes the t distribution with $n - k$ degrees of freedom.

[Review] Trace (トレース):

1. $A: n \times n$, $\text{tr}(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$, where a_{ij} denotes an element in the i th row and the j th column of a matrix A .
2. a : scalar (1×1), $\text{tr}(a) = a$
3. $A: n \times k$, $B: k \times n$, $\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)$
4. $\text{tr}(X(X'X)^{-1}X') = \text{tr}((X'X)^{-1}X'X) = \text{tr}(I_k) = k$
5. When X is a square matrix of random variables, $E(\text{tr}(AX)) = \text{tr}(AE(X))$

End of Review

s^2 is taken as follows:

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2 = \frac{1}{n-k} e'e = \frac{1}{n-k} (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}),$$

which leads to an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

Proof:

Substitute $y = X\beta + u$ and $\hat{\beta} = \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u$ into $e = y - X\hat{\beta}$.

$$\begin{aligned} e &= y - X\hat{\beta} = X\beta + u - X(\beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u) \\ &= u - X(X'X)^{-1}X'u = (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')u \end{aligned}$$

$I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$ is idempotent and symmetric, because we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X') &= I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X', \\ (I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')' &= I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'. \end{aligned}$$

s^2 is rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 s^2 &= \frac{1}{n-k} e'e = \frac{1}{n-k} ((I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')u)'(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')u \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-k} u'(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')'(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')u \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-k} u'(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')u
 \end{aligned}$$

Take the expectation of $u'(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')u$ and note that $\text{tr}(a) = a$ for a scalar a .

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(s^2) &= \frac{1}{n-k} E\left(\text{tr}\left(u'(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')u\right)\right) = \frac{1}{n-k} E\left(\text{tr}\left((I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')uu'\right)\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-k} \text{tr}\left((I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')E(uu')\right) = \frac{1}{n-k} \sigma^2 \text{tr}\left((I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')I_n\right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-k} \sigma^2 \text{tr}(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X') = \frac{1}{n-k} \sigma^2 (\text{tr}(I_n) - \text{tr}(X(X'X)^{-1}X')) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-k} \sigma^2 (\text{tr}(I_n) - \text{tr}((X'X)^{-1}X'X)) = \frac{1}{n-k} \sigma^2 (\text{tr}(I_n) - \text{tr}(I_k)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{n-k} \sigma^2 (n-k) = \sigma^2
 \end{aligned}$$

→ s^2 is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

Note that we do not need normality assumption for unbiasedness of s^2 .

[Review]

- $X'X \sim \chi^2(n)$ for $X \sim N(0, I_n)$.
- $(X - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (X - \mu) \sim \chi^2(n)$ for $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$.
- $\frac{X'X}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n)$ for $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$.
- $\frac{X'AX}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(G)$, where $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ and A is a symmetric idempotent $n \times n$ matrix of rank $G \leq n$.

Remember that $G = \text{Rank}(A) = \text{tr}(A)$ when A is symmetric and idempotent.

[End of Review]

Under normality assumption for u , the distribution of s^2 is:

$$\frac{(n-k)s^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{u'(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X')u}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(\text{tr}(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X'))$$

Note that $\text{tr}(I_n - X(X'X)^{-1}X') = n - k$, because

$$\text{tr}(I_n) = n$$

$$\text{tr}(X(X'X)^{-1}X') = \text{tr}((X'X)^{-1}X'X) = \text{tr}(I_k) = k$$

Asymptotic Normality (without normality assumption on u): Using the central limit theorem, without normality assumption we can show that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, under the condition of $\frac{1}{n}X'X \rightarrow M$ we have the following result:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_j - \beta_j}{s \sqrt{a_{jj}}} \rightarrow N(0, 1),$$

where M denotes a $k \times k$ constant matrix.

Thus, we can construct the confidence interval and the testing procedure, using the t distribution under the normality assumption or the normal distribution without the normality assumption.