8 Generalized Least Squares Method (GLS, 一般化最小自乘法)

- 1. Regression model: $y = X\beta + u$, $u \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \Omega)$
- 2. Heteroscedasticity (不等分散,不均一分散)

$$\sigma^2 \Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \sigma_n^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

First-Order Autocorrelation (一階の自己相関,系列相関)

In the case of time series data, the subscript is conventionally given by t, not i.

 $u_{t} = \rho u_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t}, \qquad \epsilon_{t} \sim \text{ iid } N(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2})$ $\sigma^{2} \Omega = \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{1 - \rho^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & \rho^{2} & \cdots & \rho^{n-1} \\ \rho & 1 & \rho & \cdots & \rho^{n-2} \\ \rho^{2} & \rho & 1 & \cdots & \rho^{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho^{n-1} & \rho^{n-2} & \rho^{n-3} & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $V(u_{t}) = \sigma^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2}}{1 - \rho^{2}}$

3. The Generalized Least Squares (GLS, 一般化最小二乗法) estimator of β ,

denoted by *b*, solves the following minimization problem:

$$\min_{b} (y - Xb)' \Omega^{-1}(y - Xb)$$

The GLSE of β is:

$$b = (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Omega^{-1}y$$

4. In general, when Ω is symmetric, Ω is decomposed as follows.

$$\Omega = A'\Lambda A$$

 Λ is a diagonal matrix, where the diagonal elements of Λ are given by the eigen values.

A is a matrix consisting of eigen vectors.

When Ω is a positive definite matrix, all the diagonal elements of Λ are positive.

5. There exists P such that $\Omega = PP'$ (i.e., take $P = A' \Lambda^{1/2}$). $\implies P^{-1} \Omega P'^{-1} = I_n$

Multiply P^{-1} on both sides of $y = X\beta + u$.

We have:

$$y^{\star} = X^{\star}\beta + u^{\star},$$

where $y^{\star} = P^{-1}y$, $X^{\star} = P^{-1}X$, and $u^{\star} = P^{-1}u$.

The variance of u^* is:

$$V(u^{\star}) = V(P^{-1}u) = P^{-1}V(u)P'^{-1} = \sigma^2 P^{-1}\Omega P'^{-1} = \sigma^2 I_n$$

because $\Omega = PP'$, i.e., $P^{-1}\Omega P'^{-1} = I_n$.

Accordingly, the regression model is rewritten as:

$$y^{\star} = X^{\star}\beta + u^{\star}, \qquad u^{\star} \sim (0, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

119

Apply OLS to the above model.

Let *b* be as estimator of β from the above model.

That is, the minimization problem is given by:

$$\min_{b} (y^{\star} - X^{\star}b)'(y^{\star} - X^{\star}b),$$

which is equivalent to:

$$\min_{b} (y - Xb)' \Omega^{-1}(y - Xb).$$

Solving the minimization problem above, we have the following estimator:

$$b = (X^{\star'}X^{\star})^{-1}X^{\star'}y^{\star}$$
$$= (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Omega^{-1}y,$$

120

which is called GLS (Generalized Least Squares) estimator.

b is rewritten as follows:

$$b = \beta + (X^{\star'}X^{\star})^{-1}X^{\star'}u^{\star} = \beta + (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Omega^{-1}u$$

The mean and variance of *b* are given by:

E(b) = β,
V(b) =
$$\sigma^2 (X^* X^*)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (X' \Omega^{-1} X)^{-1}$$
.

6. Suppose that the regression model is given by:

$$y = X\beta + u, \qquad u \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \Omega).$$

In this case, when we use OLS, what happens?

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u$$

121

$$\mathbf{V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} X' \Omega X (X'X)^{-1}$$

Compare GLS and OLS.

(a) Expectation:

$$E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$$
, and $E(b) = \beta$

Thus, both $\hat{\beta}$ and b are unbiased estimator.

(b) Variance:

$$V(\hat{\beta}) = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} X' \Omega X (X'X)^{-1}$$
$$V(b) = \sigma^2 (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}$$

Which is more efficient, OLS or GLS?.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) - \mathbf{V}(b) &= \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} X' \Omega X (X'X)^{-1} - \sigma^2 (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 \Big((X'X)^{-1} X' - (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1} X'\Omega^{-1} \Big) \Omega \\ &\times \Big((X'X)^{-1} X' - (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1} X'\Omega^{-1} \Big)' \\ &= \sigma^2 A \Omega A' \end{aligned}$$

 Ω is the variance-covariance matrix of *u*, which is a positive definite matrix.

Therefore, except for $\Omega = I_n$, $A\Omega A'$ is also a positive definite matrix.

This implies that $V(\hat{\beta}_i) - V(b_i) > 0$ for the *i*th element of β . Accordingly, *b* is more efficient than $\hat{\beta}$.

7. If $u \sim N(0, \sigma^2 \Omega)$, then $b \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2 (X' \Omega^{-1} X)^{-1})$.

Consider testing the hypothesis $H_0: R\beta = r$.

$$R: G \times k, \quad \operatorname{rank}(R) = G \le k.$$
$$Rb \sim N(R\beta, \sigma^2 R(X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}R').$$

Therefore, the following quadratic form is distributed as:

$$\frac{(Rb-r)'(R(X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(Rb-r)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(G)$$

8. Because $(y^* - X^*b)'(y^* - X^*b)/\sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n-k)$, we obtain:

$$\frac{(y-Xb)'\Omega^{-1}(y-Xb)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-k)$$

9. Furthermore, from the fact that *b* is independent of y - Xb, the following *F* distribution can be derived:

$$\frac{(Rb-r)'(R(X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(Rb-r)/G}{(y-Xb)'\Omega^{-1}(y-Xb)/(n-k)} \sim F(G,n-k)$$

10. Let *b* be the unrestricted GLSE and \tilde{b} be the restricted GLSE.

Their residuals are given by e and \tilde{u} , respectively.

$$e = y - Xb,$$
 $\tilde{u} = y - X\tilde{b}$

Then, the *F* test statistic is written as follows:

$$\frac{(\tilde{u}'\Omega^{-1}\tilde{u}-e'\Omega^{-1}e)/G}{e'\Omega^{-1}e/(n-k)}\sim F(G,n-k)$$

8.1 Example: Mixed Estimation (Theil and Goldberger Model)

A generalization of the restricted OLS \implies Stochastic linear restriction:

$$r = R\beta + v, \qquad E(v) = 0 \text{ and } V(v) = \sigma^2 \Psi$$
$$y = X\beta + u, \qquad E(u) = 0 \text{ and } V(u) = \sigma^2 I_n$$

Using a matrix form,

$$\binom{y}{r} = \binom{X}{R}\beta + \binom{u}{v}, \qquad E\binom{u}{v} = \binom{0}{0} \text{ and } V\binom{u}{v} = \sigma^2\binom{I_n}{0} \Psi$$

For estimation, we do not need normality assumption.

Applying GLS, we obtain:

$$b = \left((X' - R') \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ R \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \left((X' - R') \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ r \end{pmatrix} \right)$$
$$= \left(X'X + R'\Psi^{-1}R \right)^{-1} \left(X'y + R'\Psi^{-1}r \right).$$

Mean and Variance of *b*: *b* is rewritten as follows:

$$b = \left(\begin{pmatrix} X' & R' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ R \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \left(\begin{pmatrix} X' & R' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ r \end{pmatrix} \right)$$
$$= \beta + \left(\begin{pmatrix} X' & R' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X \\ R \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, the mean and variance are given by:

$$E(b) = \beta \implies b \text{ is unbiased.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(b) &= \sigma^2 \left((X' - R') \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0\\ 0 & \Psi \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} X\\ R \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 \big(X'X + R' \Psi^{-1} R \big)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

9 Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE, 最尤法)

→ **Review**

1. The distribution function of $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is $f(x; \theta)$, where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\theta = (\mu, \Sigma)$.

Note that *X* is a vector of random variables and *x* is a vector of their realizations (i.e., observed data).

Likelihood function $L(\cdot)$ is defined as $L(\theta; x) = f(x; \theta)$.

Note that $f(x; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i; \theta)$ when X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are mutually independently and identically distributed.

The maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of θ is θ such that:

$$\max_{\theta} L(\theta; X). \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \max_{\theta} \log L(\theta; X).$$

MLE satisfies the following two conditions:

(a)
$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

(b) $\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}$ is a negative definite matrix.

2. Fisher's information matrix (フィッシャーの情報行列) is defined as:

$$I(\theta) = -\mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\Big),$$

where we have the following equality:

$$-\mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\Big) = \mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta'}\Big) = \mathrm{V}\Big(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\Big)$$