

5. Partial Autocorrelation Function of MA(1) Process:

$$\phi_{1,1} = \rho(1) = \frac{\theta_1}{1 + \theta_1^2} \neq 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{2,1} \\ \phi_{2,2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(1) \\ \phi(2) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_{2,2} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & \rho(2) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{-\rho(1)^2}{1 - \rho(1)^2} = \frac{-\theta_1^2}{1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_1^4} \neq 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(2) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(2) & \rho(1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{3,1} \\ \phi_{3,2} \\ \phi_{3,3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(1) \\ \phi(2) \\ \phi(3) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_{3,3} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(2) \\ \rho(2) & \rho(1) & \rho(3) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(2) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(1) & 0 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(1) & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & 0 \\ 1 & \rho(1) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(1) & 1 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\rho(1)^3}{1 - 2\rho(1)^2} \neq 0$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(2) & \rho(3) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(2) \\ \rho(2) & \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(3) & \rho(2) & \rho(1) & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{4,1} \\ \phi_{4,2} \\ \phi_{4,3} \\ \phi_{4,4} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(1) \\ \phi(2) \\ \phi(3) \\ \phi(4) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_{4,4} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(2) & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(2) \\ \rho(2) & \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(3) \\ \rho(3) & \rho(2) & \rho(1) & \rho(4) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(2) & \rho(3) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) & \rho(2) \\ \rho(2) & \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(3) & \rho(2) & \rho(1) & 1 \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & 0 & \rho(1) \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(1) & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho(1) & 0 \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \rho(1) & 0 & 0 \\ \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(1) & 1 & \rho(1) \\ 0 & 0 & \rho(1) & 1 \end{vmatrix}} \neq 0$$

As a result, $\phi_{k,k} \neq 0$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots$

6. Likelihood Function of MA(1) Process:

The autocovariance functions are: $\gamma(0) = (1 + \theta_1^2)\sigma_\epsilon^2$, $\gamma(1) = \theta_1\sigma_\epsilon^2$, and $\gamma(\tau) = 0$ for $\tau = 2, 3, \dots$.

The joint distribution of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T is:

$$f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{T/2}} |V|^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} Y' V^{-1} Y\right)$$

where

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \sigma_\epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \theta_1^2 & \theta_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \theta_1 & 1 + \theta_1^2 & \theta_1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \theta_1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 + \theta_1^2 & \theta_1 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \theta_1 & 1 + \theta_1^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

7. **MA(1) +drift:** $y_t = \mu + \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1}$

Mean of MA(1) Process:

$$y_t = \mu + \theta(L)\epsilon_t,$$

where $\theta(L) = 1 + \theta_1 L$.

Taking the expectation,

$$\mathbb{E}(y_t) = \mu + \theta(L)\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t) = \mu.$$

Example: MA(2) Model: $y_t = \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2}$

1. Autocovariance Function of MA(2) Process:

$$\gamma(\tau) = \begin{cases} (1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2)\sigma_\epsilon^2, & \text{for } \tau = 0, \\ (\theta_1 + \theta_1\theta_2)\sigma_\epsilon^2, & \text{for } \tau = 1, \\ \theta_2\sigma_\epsilon^2, & \text{for } \tau = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. let $-1/\beta_1$ and $-1/\beta_2$ be two solutions of x from $\theta(x) = 0$.

For invertibility condition, both β_1 and β_2 should be less than one in absolute value.

Then, the MA(2) model is represented as:

$$y_t = \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2}$$

$$= (1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2) \epsilon_t$$

$$= (1 + \beta_1 L)(1 + \beta_2 L) \epsilon_t$$

AR(∞) representation of the MA(2) model is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_t &= \frac{1}{(1 + \beta_1 L)(1 + \beta_2 L)} y_t \\ &= \left(\frac{\beta_1 / (\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{1 + \beta_1 L} + \frac{-\beta_2 / (\beta_1 - \beta_2)}{1 + \beta_2 L} \right) y_t\end{aligned}$$

3. Likelihood Function:

$$f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{T/2}} |V|^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} Y' V^{-1} Y\right)$$

where

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_T \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \sigma_\epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 & \theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2 & \theta_2 & & 0 \\ \theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2 & 1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 & \theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2 & \ddots & \\ \theta_2 & \theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \theta_2 \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 & \theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2 \\ 0 & & \theta_2 & \theta_1 + \theta_1 \theta_2 & 1 + \theta_1^2 + \theta_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

4. **MA(2) +drift:** $y_t = \mu + \epsilon_t + \theta_1\epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2\epsilon_{t-2}$

Mean:

$$y_t = \mu + \theta(L)\epsilon_t,$$

where $\theta(L) = 1 + \theta_1L + \theta_2L^2$.

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{E}(y_t) = \mu + \theta(L)\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t) = \mu$$

Example: MA(q) Model: $y_t = \epsilon_t + \theta_1\epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2\epsilon_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q\epsilon_{t-q}$

1. Mean of MA(q) Process:

$$E(y_t) = E(\epsilon_t + \theta_1\epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2\epsilon_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q\epsilon_{t-q}) = 0$$

2. Autocovariance Function of MA(q) Process:

$$\gamma(\tau) = \begin{cases} \sigma_\epsilon^2(\theta_0\theta_\tau + \theta_1\theta_{\tau+1} + \dots + \theta_{q-\tau}\theta_q) = \sigma_\epsilon^2 \sum_{i=0}^{q-\tau} \theta_i\theta_{\tau+i}, & \tau = 1, 2, \dots, q, \\ 0, & \tau = q+1, q+2, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta_0 = 1$.

3. MA(q) process is stationary.

4. MA(q) +drift: $y_t = \mu + \epsilon_t + \theta_1\epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2\epsilon_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q\epsilon_{t-q}$

Mean:

$$y_t = \mu + \theta(L)\epsilon_t,$$

where $\theta(L) = 1 + \theta_1L + \theta_2L^2 + \dots + \theta_qL^q$.

Therefore, we have:

$$\mathbb{E}(y_t) = \mu + \theta(L)\mathbb{E}(\epsilon_t) = \mu.$$

5.4 ARMA Model

ARMA (Autoregressive Moving Average, 自己回歸移動平均) Process

1. ARMA(p, q)

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \dots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t-q},$$

which is rewritten as:

$$\phi(L)y_t = \theta(L)\epsilon_t,$$

where $\phi(L) = 1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \dots - \phi_p L^p$ and $\theta(L) = 1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2 + \dots + \theta_q L^q$.

2. Likelihood Function:

The variance-covariance matrix of Y , denoted by V , has to be computed.

Example: ARMA(1,1) Process: $y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1}$

Obtain the autocorrelation coefficient.

The mean of y_t is to take the expectation on both sides.

$$E(y_t) = \phi_1 E(y_{t-1}) + E(\epsilon_t) + \theta_1 E(\epsilon_{t-1}),$$

where the second and third terms are zeros.

Therefore, we obtain:

$$E(y_t) = 0.$$

The autocovariance of y_t is to take the expectation, multiplying $y_{t-\tau}$ on both sides.

$$E(y_t y_{t-\tau}) = \phi_1 E(y_{t-1} y_{t-\tau}) + E(\epsilon_t y_{t-\tau}) + \theta_1 E(\epsilon_{t-1} y_{t-\tau}).$$

Each term is given by:

$$E(y_t y_{t-\tau}) = \gamma(\tau), \quad E(y_{t-1} y_{t-\tau}) = \gamma(\tau - 1),$$

$$E(\epsilon_t y_{t-\tau}) = \begin{cases} \sigma_\epsilon^2, & \tau = 0, \\ 0, & \tau = 1, 2, \dots, \end{cases} \quad E(\epsilon_{t-1} y_{t-\tau}) = \begin{cases} (\phi_1 + \theta_1)\sigma_\epsilon^2, & \tau = 0, \\ \sigma_\epsilon^2, & \tau = 1, \\ 0, & \tau = 2, 3, \dots \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we obtain;

$$\gamma(0) = \phi_1\gamma(1) + (1 + \phi_1\theta_1 + \theta_1^2)\sigma_\epsilon^2,$$

$$\gamma(1) = \phi_1\gamma(0) + \theta_1\sigma_\epsilon^2,$$

$$\gamma(\tau) = \phi_1\gamma(\tau - 1), \quad \tau = 2, 3, \dots$$

From the first two equations, $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$ are computed by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\phi_1 \\ -\phi_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(0) \\ \gamma(1) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_\epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \phi_1\theta_1 + \theta_1^2 \\ \theta_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma(0) \\ \gamma(1) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_\epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\phi_1 \\ -\phi_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \phi_1\theta_1 + \theta_1^2 \\ \theta_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi_1 \\ \phi_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \phi_1\theta_1 + \theta_1^2 \\ \theta_1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{1 - \phi_1^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 + 2\phi_1\theta_1 + \theta_1^2 \\ (1 + \phi_1\theta_1)(\phi_1 + \theta_1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus, the initial value of the autocorrelation coefficient is given by:

$$\rho(1) = \frac{(1 + \phi_1\theta_1)(\phi_1 + \theta_1)}{1 + 2\phi_1\theta_1 + \theta_1^2}.$$

We have:

$$\rho(\tau) = \phi_1\rho(\tau - 1).$$

ARMA(p, q) +drift:

$$y_t = \mu + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \cdots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t-q}.$$

Mean of ARMA(p, q) Process: $\phi(L)y_t = \mu + \theta(L)\epsilon_t$,

where $\phi(L) = 1 - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \cdots - \phi_p L^p$ and $\theta(L) = 1 + \theta_1 L + \theta_2 L^2 + \cdots + \theta_q L^q$.

$$y_t = \phi(L)^{-1}\mu + \phi(L)^{-1}\theta(L)\epsilon_t.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{E}(y_t) = \phi(L)^{-1}\mu + \phi(L)^{-1}\theta(L)\text{E}(\epsilon_t) = \phi(1)^{-1}\mu = \frac{\mu}{1 - \phi_1 - \phi_2 - \cdots - \phi_p}.$$

5.5 ARIMA Model

Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA, 自己回歸和分移動平均) Model

ARIMA(p, d, q) Process

$$\phi(L)\Delta^d y_t = \theta(L)\epsilon_t,$$

where $\Delta^d y_t = \Delta^{d-1}(1 - L)y_t = \Delta^{d-1}y_t - \Delta^{d-1}y_{t-1} = (1 - L)^d y_t$ for $d = 1, 2, \dots$, and

$$\Delta^0 y_t = y_t.$$

5.6 SARIMA Model

Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Process:

1. SARIMA(p, d, q)

$$\phi(L)\Delta^d \Delta_s y_t = \theta(L)\epsilon_t,$$

where

$$\Delta_s y_t = (1 - L^s)y_t = y_t - y_{t-s}.$$

$s = 4$ when y_t denotes quarterly date and $s = 12$ when y_t represents monthly data.

5.7 Optimal Prediction

1. AR(p) Process: $y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t$

(a) Define:

$$E(y_{t+k}|Y_t) = y_{t+k|t},$$

where Y_t denotes all the information available at time t .

Taking the conditional expectation of $y_{t+k} = \phi_1 y_{t+k-1} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t+k-p} + \epsilon_{t+k}$ on both sides,

$$y_{t+k|t} = \phi_1 y_{t+k-1|t} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t+k-p|t},$$

where $y_{s|t} = y_s$ for $s \leq t$.

(b) Optimal prediction is given by solving the above differential equation.

2. MA(q) Process: $y_t = \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t-q}$

(a) Let $\hat{\epsilon}_T, \hat{\epsilon}_{T-1}, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_1$ be the estimated errors.

(b) $y_{t+k} = \epsilon_{t+k} + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t+k-1} + \cdots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t+k-q}$

(c) Therefore,

$$y_{t+k|t} = \epsilon_{t+k|t} + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t+k-1|t} + \dots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t+k-q|t},$$

where $\epsilon_{s|t} = 0$ for $s > t$ and $\epsilon_{s|t} = \hat{\epsilon}_s$ for $s \leq t$.

3. ARMA(p, q) Process: $y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t-q}$

(a) $y_{t+k} = \phi_1 y_{t+k-1} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t+k-p} + \epsilon_{t+k} + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t+k-1} + \dots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t+k-q}$

(b) Optimal prediction is:

$$y_{t+k|t} = \phi_1 y_{t+k-1|t} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t+k-p|t} + \epsilon_{t+k|t} + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t+k-1|t} + \dots + \theta_q \epsilon_{t+k-q|t},$$

where $y_{s|t} = y_s$ and $\epsilon_{s|t} = \hat{\epsilon}_s$ for $s \leq t$, and $\epsilon_{s|t} = 0$ for $s > t$.

5.8 Identification

1. Based on AIC or SBIC given d, s , we obtain p, q .

We choose p and q , where AIC or SBIC is minimized.

- (a) AIC (Akaike's Information Criterion)

$$\text{AIC} = -2 \log(\text{likelihood}) + 2k,$$

where $k = p + q$, which is the number of parameters estimated.

- (b) SBIC (Shwarz's Bayesian Information Criterion)

$$\text{SBIC} = -2 \log(\text{likelihood}) + k \log T,$$

where T denotes the number of observations.

2. From the sample autocorrelation coefficient function $\hat{\rho}(k)$ and the partial auto-correlation coefficient function $\hat{\phi}_{k,k}$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$, we obtain p, d, q, s .

	AR(p) Process	MA(q) Process
Autocorrelation Function	Gradually decreasing $\rho(k) = 0,$ $k = q + 1, q + 2, \dots$	
Partial Autocorrelation Function	$\phi(k, k) = 0,$ $k = p + 1, p + 2, \dots$	Gradually decreasing

(a) Compute $\Delta_s y_t$ to remove seasonality.

Compute the autocovariance functions of $\Delta_s y_t$.

If the autocovariance functions have period s , we take $(1 - L^s)$, again.

(b) Determine the order of difference.

Compute the partial autocovariance functions every time.

If the autocovariance functions decrease as τ is large, go to the next step.

(c) Determine the order of AR terms (i.e., p).

Compute the partial autocovariance functions every time.

The partial autocovariance functions are close to zero after some τ , go to the next step.

- (d) Determine the order of MA terms (i.e., q).

Compute the autocovariance functions every time.

If the autocovariance functions are randomly around zero, end of the procedure.

5.9 Example of SARIMA using Consumption Data

Construct SARIMA model using monthly and seasonally unadjusted consumption expenditure data and STATA12.

Estimation Period: Jan., 1970 — Dec., 2012 ($T = 516$)

```
. gen time=_n  
. tsset time  
    time variable: time, 1 to 516  
          delta: 1 unit  
. corrgram expend
```

LAG	AC	PAC	Q	Prob>Q	-1 [Autocorrelation]	0 [Partial Autocor]	1 [Autocor]
1	0.8488	0.8499	373.88	0.0000	-----	-----	-----
2	0.8231	0.3858	726.18	0.0000	-----	---	---
3	0.8716	0.5266	1122	0.0000	-----	---	---
4	0.8706	0.4025	1517.6	0.0000	-----	---	---
5	0.8498	0.3447	1895.3	0.0000	-----	--	--
6	0.8085	0.0074	2237.9	0.0000	-----	-	-
7	0.8378	0.1528	2606.5	0.0000	-----	-	-

8	0.8460	0.1467	2983	0.0000							
9	0.8342	0.3006	3349.9	0.0000							
10	0.7735	-0.1518	3666	0.0000							
11	0.7852	-0.1185	3992.3	0.0000							
12	0.9234	0.9442	4444.5	0.0000							
13	0.7754	-0.5486	4764.1	0.0000							
14	0.7482	-0.3248	5062.1	0.0000							
15	0.7963	-0.2392	5400.5	0.0000							

```
. gen dexp=expend-l.expend
(1 missing value generated)
```

```
. corrgram dexp
```

LAG	AC	PAC	Q	Prob>Q	-1 [Autocorrelation]	0 [Partial Autocor]	1 [Autocor]
1	-0.4316	-0.4329	96.485	0.0000	---		---
2	-0.2546	-0.5441	130.13	0.0000	--		---
3	0.1721	-0.4091	145.53	0.0000	-		---
4	0.0667	-0.3459	147.85	0.0000			---
5	0.0715	-0.0036	150.52	0.0000			---
6	-0.2428	-0.1489	181.36	0.0000	-		---
7	0.0711	-0.1400	184.01	0.0000			---
8	0.0668	-0.2900	186.36	0.0000			---
9	0.1704	0.1681	201.64	0.0000	-		---
10	-0.2485	0.1306	234.21	0.0000	-		---
11	-0.4293	-0.9305	331.56	0.0000	--		---
12	0.9773	0.6768	837.12	0.0000	--		---
13	-0.4152	0.3778	928.56	0.0000	--		---
14	-0.2583	0.2688	964.03	0.0000	--		---
15	0.1712	0.0406	979.63	0.0000	-		---

```
. gen sdex=dexp-112.dexp  
(13 missing values generated)
```

```
. corrgram sdex
```

LAG	AC	PAC	Q	Prob>Q	-1 [Autocorrelation]	0 [Partial Autocor]	1 [Autocor]
1	-0.4752	-0.4753	114.28	0.0000	---	---	---
2	-0.0244	-0.3235	114.58	0.0000		---	---
3	0.1163	-0.0759	121.46	0.0000			
4	-0.1246	-0.1365	129.37	0.0000			-
5	0.0341	-0.1016	129.96	0.0000			
6	-0.0151	-0.1136	130.08	0.0000			
7	-0.0395	-0.1413	130.88	0.0000			
8	0.1123	0.0092	137.35	0.0000			
9	-0.0664	-0.0100	139.62	0.0000			
10	0.0168	0.0069	139.76	0.0000			
11	0.1642	0.2422	153.68	0.0000			
12	-0.3888	-0.2469	231.9	0.0000	---	-	
13	0.2242	-0.1205	257.96	0.0000		-	
14	-0.0147	-0.0941	258.07	0.0000			
15	-0.0708	-0.0591	260.68	0.0000			

```
. arima sdex, ar(1,2) ma(1)
```

```
(setting optimization to BHHH)  
Iteration 0: log likelihood = -5107.4608  
Iteration 1: log likelihood = -5102.391
```

Iteration 2: log likelihood = -5099.9071
 Iteration 3: log likelihood = -5099.4216
 Iteration 4: log likelihood = -5099.2463
 (switching optimization to BFGS)
 Iteration 5: log likelihood = -5099.2361
 Iteration 6: log likelihood = -5099.2346
 Iteration 7: log likelihood = -5099.2346
 Iteration 8: log likelihood = -5099.2346

ARIMA regression

Sample: 14 - 516 Number of obs = 503
 Log likelihood = -5099.235 Wald chi2(3) = 973.93
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

		OPG					
	sdex	Coef.	Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
sdex	_cons	-15.64573	59.17574	-0.26	0.791	-131.628	100.3366
<hr/>							
ARMA							
ar	L1.	.1271774	.0581883	2.19	0.029	.0131304	.2412244
	L2.	.1009983	.053626	1.88	0.060	-.0041068	.2061034
ma	L1.	-.8343264	.0419364	-19.90	0.000	-.9165202	-.7521326
	/sigma	6111.128	139.0105	43.96	0.000	5838.673	6383.584

Note: The test of the variance against zero is one sided, and the two-sided confidence interval is truncated at zero.

```
. estat ic
```

Model	Obs	ll(null)	ll(model)	df	AIC	BIC
.	503	.	-5099.235	5	10208.47	10229.57

Note: N=Obs used in calculating BIC; see [R] BIC note

6 Unit Root (单位根) and Cointegration (共和分)

6.1 Unit Root (单位根) Test (Dickey-Fuller (DF) Test)

1. Why is a unit root problem important?

(a) Economic variables increase over time in general.

One of the assumptions of OLS is stationarity on y_t and x_t .

This assumption implies that $\frac{1}{T}X'X$ converges to a fixed matrix as T is large.

That is, asymptotic normality of OLS estimator does not hold.

(b) In nonstationary time series, the unit root is the most important.

In the case of unit root, OLSE of the first-order autoregressive coefficient is consistent.

OLSE is \sqrt{T} -consistent in the case of stationary AR(1) process, but OLSE is T -consistent in the case of nonstationary AR(1) process.

- (c) A lot of economic variables increase over time.

It is important to check an economic variable is trend stationary (i.e., $y_t = a_0 + a_1 t + \epsilon_t$) or difference stationary (i.e., $y_t = b_0 + y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$).

Consider k -step ahead prediction for both cases.

$$(\text{Trend Stationarity}) \quad y_{t+k|t} = a_0 + a_1(t + k)$$

$$(\text{Difference Stationarity}) \quad y_{t+k|t} = b_0k + y_t$$

2. The Case of $|\phi_1| < 1$:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2), \quad y_0 = 0, \quad t = 1, \dots, T$$

Then, OLSE of ϕ_1 is:

$$\hat{\phi}_1 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}y_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}.$$

In the case of $|\phi_1| < 1$,

$$\hat{\phi}_1 = \phi_1 + \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}\epsilon_t}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} \longrightarrow \phi_1 + \frac{E(y_{t-1}\epsilon_t)}{E(y_{t-1}^2)} = \phi_1.$$

Note as follows:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}\epsilon_t \longrightarrow E(y_{t-1}\epsilon_t) = 0.$$

By the central limit theorem,

$$\frac{\bar{y\epsilon} - E(\bar{y\epsilon})}{\sqrt{V(\bar{y\epsilon})}} \rightarrow N(0, 1)$$

where

$$\bar{y\epsilon} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t.$$

$$E(\bar{y}\epsilon) = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(\bar{y}\epsilon) &= V\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t\right) = E\left(\left(\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t\right)^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{T^2} E\left(\sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{s=1}^T y_{t-1} y_{s-1} \epsilon_t \epsilon_s\right) = \frac{1}{T^2} E\left(\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \epsilon_t^2\right) = \frac{1}{T} \sigma_\epsilon^2 \gamma(0). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\bar{y}\epsilon}{\sqrt{\sigma_\epsilon^2 \gamma(0)/T}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon \sqrt{\gamma(0)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \longrightarrow N(0, 1),$$

which is rewritten as:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2 \gamma(0)).$$

Using $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \rightarrow E(y_{t-1}^2) = \gamma(0)$, we have the following asymptotic distribution:

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1) = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} \rightarrow N\left(0, \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{\gamma(0)}\right) = N\left(0, 1 - \phi_1^2\right).$$

Note that $\gamma(0) = \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{1 - \phi_1^2}$.

3. In the case of $\phi_1 = 1$, as expected, we have:

$$\sqrt{T}(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \rightarrow 0.$$

That is, $\hat{\phi}_1$ has the distribution which converges in probability to $\phi_1 = 1$ (i.e., degenerated distribution).

Is this true?

4. The Case of $\phi_1 = 1$: \implies Random Walk Process

$y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ with $y_0 = 0$ is written as:

$$y_t = \epsilon_t + \epsilon_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t-2} + \cdots + \epsilon_1.$$

Therefore, we can obtain:

$$y_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2 t).$$

The variance of y_t depends on time t . $\implies y_t$ is nonstationary.

5. Remember that $\hat{\phi}_1 = \phi_1 + \frac{\sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{\sum y_{t-1}^2}$.

(a) First, consider the numerator $\sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t$.

We have $y_t^2 = (y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t)^2 = y_{t-1}^2 + 2y_{t-1}\epsilon_t + \epsilon_t^2$.

Therefore, we obtain:

$$y_{t-1}\epsilon_t = \frac{1}{2}(y_t^2 - y_{t-1}^2 - \epsilon_t^2).$$

Taking into account $y_0 = 0$, we have:

$$\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}\epsilon_t = \frac{1}{2}y_T^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t^2.$$

Divided by $\sigma_\epsilon^2 T$ on both sides, we have the following:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon^2 T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}\epsilon_t = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_T}{\sigma_\epsilon \sqrt{T}} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2 T} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t^2.$$

From $y_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2 t)$, we obtain the following result:

$$\left(\frac{y_T}{\sigma_\epsilon \sqrt{T}} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2(1).$$

Moreover, the second term is derived from:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t^2 \rightarrow E(\epsilon_t^2) = \sigma_\epsilon^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon^2 T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_T}{\sigma_\epsilon \sqrt{T}} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma_\epsilon^2 T} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (\chi^2(1) - 1).$$

(b) Next, consider $\sum y_{t-1}^2$.

$$E\left(\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2\right) = \sum_{t=1}^T E(y_{t-1}^2) = \sum_{t=1}^T \sigma_\epsilon^2(t-1) = \sigma_\epsilon^2 \frac{T(T-1)}{2}.$$

Thus, we obtain the following result:

$$\frac{1}{T^2} E\left(\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2\right) \rightarrow \text{a fixed value, i.e., } \frac{\sigma_\epsilon^2}{2}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \longrightarrow \text{a distribution.}$$

6. Summarizing the results up to now, $T(\hat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1)$, not $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1)$, has limiting distribution in the case of $\phi_1 = 1$.

$$T(\hat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1) = \frac{(1/T) \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{(1/T^2) \sum y_{t-1}^2} \longrightarrow \text{a distribution.}$$

7. Basic Concepts of Random Walk Process:

- (a) Model: $y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad y_0 = 0, \quad \epsilon_t \sim N(0, 1).$

Then,

$$y_t = \epsilon_t + \epsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \epsilon_1.$$

Therefore,

$$y_t \sim N(0, t).$$

\implies Nonstationary Process (i.e., variance depends on time t .)

Difference between y_s and y_t ($s > t$) is:

$$y_s - y_t = \epsilon_s + \epsilon_{s-1} + \cdots + \epsilon_{t+2} + \epsilon_{t+1}.$$

The distribution of $y_s - y_t$ is:

$$y_s - y_t \sim N(0, s - t).$$

(b) Rewrite as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \\ &= y_{t-1} + e_{1,t} + e_{2,t} + \cdots + e_{N,t}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_t = e_{1,t} + e_{2,t} + \cdots + e_{N,t}$.

$e_{1,t}, e_{2,t}, \dots, e_{N,t}$ are iid with $e_{i,t} \sim N(0, 1/N)$.

That is, suppose that there are N subperiods between time t and time $t+1$.