

The limit when $N \rightarrow \infty$ is a **continuous time** (連續時間) process known as **standard Brownian motion** or **Wiener process**.

The value of this process at time r is denoted by $W(r)$ for $0 \leq r \leq 1$.

Definition:

Standard Brownian motion $W(r)$ denotes a continuous-time variable at time r and a stochastic function.

$W(r)$ for $r \in [0, 1]$ satisfies the following:

- i. $W(0) = 0$
- ii. For any time periods $0 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_k \leq 1$, $W(r_2) - W(r_1)$, $W(r_3) - W(r_2)$, \dots , $W(r_k) - W(r_{k-1})$ are independently multivariate normal with $W(s) - W(t) \sim N(0, s - t)$ for $s > t$.
- iii. $W(r)$ is continuous in r with probability 1.

An example:

$$\sigma W(r) \sim N(0, \sigma^2 r),$$

which denotes the Brownian motion with variance σ^2 .

Another example;

$$W(r)^2 \sim r \times \chi^2(1).$$

(c) Assume $\epsilon_t \sim \text{iid } (0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$. Define $X_T(r)$ for $r \in [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$X_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T} \\ \frac{\epsilon_1}{T}, & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T} \\ \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{T}, & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \cdots + \epsilon_T}{T}, & r = 1 \end{cases}$$

Let $[Tr]$ be the largest integer which is less than or equal to $T \times r$.

$$X_T(r) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t, \quad \sqrt{T} X_T(r) \longrightarrow N(0, r\sigma_\epsilon^2).$$

Note that

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t = \frac{[Tr]}{T} \frac{1}{[Tr]} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t,$$

$$\frac{[Tr]}{T} \longrightarrow r, \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{[Tr]}} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t \longrightarrow N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2),$$

$$\sqrt{T}X_T(r) = \frac{[Tr]}{T} \sqrt{\frac{T}{[Tr]}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{[Tr]}} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t, \quad \sqrt{\frac{T}{[Tr]}} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}.$$

Therefore, we obtain:

$$\sqrt{T}X_T(r) \longrightarrow N(0, r\sigma_\epsilon^2).$$

Moreover, we have the following results:

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}X_T(r)}{\sigma_\epsilon} \longrightarrow N(0, r) = W(r),$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}(X_T(r_2) - X_T(r_1))}{\sigma_\epsilon} \longrightarrow W(r_2) - W(r_1) = N(0, r_2 - r_1).$$

For example, consider:

$$X_T(1) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t.$$

Then,

$$\frac{\sqrt{T}X_T(1)}{\sigma_\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\sigma_\epsilon \sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t \xrightarrow{} W(1) = N(0, 1).$$

(d) Consider $y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$, $y_0 = 0$ and $\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2)$.

$X_T(r)$ is defined as follows:

$$X_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T}, \\ \frac{y_1}{T}, & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T}, \\ \frac{y_2}{T}, & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{y_{T-1}}{T}, & \frac{T-1}{T} \leq r < 1, \\ \frac{y_T}{T}, & r = 1. \end{cases}$$

Define $S_T(r)$ as follows:

$$S_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T}, \\ \frac{y_1^2}{T}, & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T}, \\ \frac{y_2^2}{T}, & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{y_{T-1}^2}{T}, & \frac{T-1}{T} \leq r < 1, \\ \frac{y_T^2}{T}, & r = 1. \end{cases}$$

To obtain $\int_0^1 X_T(r)dr$ and $\int_0^1 S_T(r)dr$, we compute a sum of rectangualrs as follows:

$$\int_0^1 X_T(r)dr \approx \frac{y_1}{T} \left(\frac{2}{T} - \frac{1}{T} \right) + \frac{y_2}{T} \left(\frac{3}{T} - \frac{2}{T} \right) + \dots + \frac{y_{T-1}}{T} \left(1 - \frac{T-1}{T} \right)$$

$$= \frac{y_1}{T^2} + \frac{y_2}{T^2} + \cdots + \frac{y_{T-1}}{T^2} = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 S_T(r) dr &\approx \frac{y_1^2}{T} \left(\frac{2}{T} - \frac{1}{T} \right) + \frac{y_2^2}{T} \left(\frac{3}{T} - \frac{2}{T} \right) + \cdots + \frac{y_{T-1}^2}{T} \left(1 - \frac{T-1}{T} \right) \\ &= \frac{y_1^2}{T^2} + \frac{y_2^2}{T^2} + \cdots + \frac{y_{T-1}^2}{T^2} = \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

We have already known that $\sqrt{T}X_T(r) \rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon W(r)$.

Therefore,

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{T}X_T(r) dr \rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon \int_0^1 W(r) dr.$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{T^{3/2}} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t \rightarrow \sigma_\epsilon \int_0^1 W(r) dr.$$

From $S_T(r) \equiv (\sqrt{T}X_T(r))^2$,

$$S_T(r) \equiv (\sqrt{T}X_T(r))^2 \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2(W(r))^2,$$

which is called the continuous mapping theorem.

(*) **Continuous Mapping Theorem** (連續写像定理):

if $x_T \rightarrow x$ (convergence in distribution) and $g(\cdot)$ is a continuous function,
then $g(x_T) \rightarrow g(x)$ (convergence in distribution).

Therefore, we have the following result:

$$\int_0^1 S_T(r) dr \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr.$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2 \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr.$$

8. Asymptotic Distribution of AR(1) Model:

(a) $H_0 : y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ and $H_1 : y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $|\phi_1| < 1$

OLSE of ϕ_1 , denoted by $\hat{\phi}_1$, is given by:

$$\hat{\phi}_1 = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} y_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} = \phi_1 + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}$$

Using $\phi_1 = 1$ and some formulas shown above, we obtain:

$$T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) = \frac{T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} \longrightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2} ((W(1))^2 - 1)}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr}$$

Remember that

$$T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\epsilon^2 ((W(1))^2 - 1)$$

and

$$T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr,$$

where $(W(1))^2 = \chi^2(1)$.

We say that $\hat{\phi}_1$ is **super-consistent** (超一致性) or **T -consistent**.

Remember that when $|\phi_1| < 1$ we have $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\phi}_1 - \phi_1) \longrightarrow N(0, 1 - \phi_1^2)$, and in this case we say that $\hat{\phi}_1$ is **\sqrt{T} -consistent**.

Conventional t test statistic is given by:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s_{\phi}},$$

where

$$s_{\phi} = \left(s^2 / \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad s^2 = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\phi}_1 y_{t-1})^2.$$

Next, consider t statistic.

The t test statistic, denoted by t , is represented as follows:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s_\phi} = \frac{T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1)}{Ts_\phi}$$

The denominator is:

$$\begin{aligned} Ts_\phi &= \left(s^2 \left| \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \right| \right)^{1/2} \\ &\longrightarrow \left(\sigma_\epsilon^2 \left| \left(\sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \right) \right| \right)^{1/2} = \left(\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \right)^{-1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $s^2 \longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2$ is utilized.

Therefore, we have the following asymptotic distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s_{\phi}} \rightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2}((W(1))^2 - 1)}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr} \left/ \left(\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \right)^{-1/2} \right. \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{2}((W(1))^2 - 1)}{\left(\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \right)^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the distribution of the t statistic shown above is different from the t distribution.

(b) $H_0 : y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ and $H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $|\phi_1| < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ \hat{\phi}_1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_t \\ \sum y_{t-1} y_t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \phi_1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum \epsilon_t \\ \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

In the true model, $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $\phi_1 = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ \hat{\phi}_1 - 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum \epsilon_t \\ \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T) & O_p(T^{3/2}) \\ O_p(T^{3/2}) & O_p(T^2) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T^{1/2}) \\ O_p(T) \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

(*) For random variable x and constant k , $x = O_p(k)$ implies that x/k converges in distribution.

To change each element of the matrices to $O_p(1)$, we use the following

matrix:

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Multiplying the above matrix from the left, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ \hat{\phi}_1 - 1 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \end{pmatrix} = \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T) & O_p(T^{3/2}) \\ O_p(T^{3/2}) & O_p(T^2) \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \Gamma \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T^{1/2}) \\ O_p(T) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T) & O_p(T^{3/2}) \\ O_p(T^{3/2}) & O_p(T^2) \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1} \right)^{-1} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} O_p(T^{1/2}) \\ O_p(T) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma^{-1} \right)^{-1} \Gamma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum \epsilon_t \\ \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T^{-3/2} \sum y_{t-1} \\ T^{-3/2} \sum y_{t-1} & T^{-2} \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1/2} \sum \epsilon_t \\ T^{-1} \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Each matrix converges in distribution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & T^{-3/2} \sum y_{t-1} \\ T^{-3/2} \sum y_{t-1} & T^{-2} \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_\epsilon \int_0^1 W(r) dr \\ \sigma_\epsilon \int_0^1 W(r) dr & \sigma_\epsilon^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \int_0^1 W(r) dr \\ \int_0^1 W(r) dr & \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix}, \\
 & \begin{pmatrix} T^{-1/2} \sum \epsilon_t \\ T^{-1} \sum y_{t-1} \epsilon_t \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_\epsilon W(1) \\ \frac{1}{2} \sigma_\epsilon^2 ((W(1))^2 - 1) \end{pmatrix} = \sigma_\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W(1) \\ \frac{1}{2} ((W(1))^2 - 1) \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2} \hat{\alpha}_0 \\ T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \int_0^1 W(r) dr \\ \int_0^1 W(r) dr & \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \\
 & \quad \times \sigma_\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W(1) \\ \frac{1}{2} ((W(1))^2 - 1) \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1)$ converges to the following distribution:

$$T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \longrightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left((W(1))^2 - 1 \right) - W(1) \int_0^1 W(r) dr}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr - \left(\int_0^1 W(r) dr \right)^2}.$$

The t test statistic is:

$$t = \frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{(s_\phi^2)^{1/2}} = \frac{T(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1)}{(T^2 s_\phi^2)^{1/2}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} s_\phi^2 &= s^2 (0 \quad 1) \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y_{t-1} \\ \sum y_{t-1} & \sum y_{t-1}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ s^2 &= \frac{1}{T-2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \hat{\alpha}_0 - \hat{\phi}_1 y_{t-1})^2. \end{aligned}$$

The denominator $T^2 s_\phi^2$ converges in distribution as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} T^2 s_\phi^2 &\longrightarrow \sigma_\epsilon^2 (0 \quad 1) \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \int_0^1 W(r) dr \\ \int_0^1 W(r) dr & \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_\epsilon \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr - \left(\int_0^1 W(r) dr \right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the t test statistic converges to the following distribution:

$$t \rightarrow \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left((W(1))^2 - 1 \right) - W(1) \int_0^1 W(r) dr}{\left(\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr - \left(\int_0^1 W(r) dr \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}}.$$

(c) $H_0 : y_t = \alpha_0 + y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ and $H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $|\phi_1| < 1$

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2}(\hat{\alpha}_0 - \alpha_0) \\ T^{3/2}(\hat{\phi}_1 - 1) \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow N\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_\epsilon^2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{\alpha_0}{2} \\ \frac{\alpha_0}{2} & \frac{\alpha_0^2}{3} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

(abbr.)

(d) $H_0 : y_t = \alpha_0 + y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ and

$H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $|\phi_1| < 1$

(abbr.)

9. The distributions of the t statistic: $\frac{\hat{\phi}_1 - 1}{s_{\phi}}$

***t* Distribution**

T	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
25	-2.49	-2.06	-1.71	-1.32	1.32	1.71	2.06	2.49
50	-2.40	-2.01	-1.68	-1.30	1.30	1.68	2.01	2.40
100	-2.36	-1.98	-1.66	-1.29	1.29	1.66	1.98	2.36
250	-2.34	-1.97	-1.65	-1.28	1.28	1.65	1.97	2.34
500	-2.33	-1.96	-1.65	-1.28	1.28	1.65	1.96	2.33
∞	-2.33	-1.96	-1.64	-1.28	1.28	1.64	1.96	2.33

(a) $H_0 : y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$

$H_1 : y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $\phi_1 < 1$ or $-1 < \phi_1$

T	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
25	-2.66	-2.26	-1.95	-1.60	0.92	1.33	1.70	2.16
50	-2.62	-2.25	-1.95	-1.61	0.91	1.31	1.66	2.08
100	-2.60	-2.24	-1.95	-1.61	0.90	1.29	1.64	2.03
250	-2.58	-2.23	-1.95	-1.62	0.89	1.29	1.63	2.01
500	-2.58	-2.23	-1.95	-1.62	0.89	1.28	1.62	2.00
∞	-2.58	-2.23	-1.95	-1.62	0.89	1.28	1.62	2.00

(b) $H_0 : y_t = y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$

$H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ for $\phi_1 < 1$ or $-1 < \phi_1$

T	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
25	-3.75	-3.33	-3.00	-2.63	-0.37	0.00	0.34	0.72
50	-3.58	-3.22	-2.93	-2.60	-0.40	-0.03	0.29	0.66
100	-3.51	-3.17	-2.89	-2.58	-0.42	-0.05	0.26	0.63
250	-3.46	-3.14	-2.88	-2.57	-0.42	-0.06	0.24	0.62
500	-3.44	-3.13	-2.87	-2.57	-0.43	-0.07	0.24	0.61
∞	-3.43	-3.12	-2.86	-2.57	-0.44	-0.07	0.23	0.60

$$(d) H_0 : y_t = \alpha_0 + y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$$

$$H_1 : y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 t + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \text{ for } \phi_1 < 1 \text{ or } -1 < \phi_1$$

T	0.010	0.025	0.050	0.100	0.900	0.950	0.975	0.990
25	-4.38	-3.95	-3.60	-3.24	-1.14	-0.80	-0.50	-0.15
50	-4.15	-3.80	-3.50	-3.18	-1.19	-0.87	-0.58	-0.24
100	-4.04	-3.73	-3.45	-3.15	-1.22	-0.90	-0.62	-0.28
250	-3.99	-3.69	-3.43	-3.13	-1.23	-0.92	-0.64	-0.31
500	-3.98	-3.68	-3.42	-3.13	-1.24	-0.93	-0.65	-0.32
∞	-3.96	-3.66	-3.41	-3.12	-1.25	-0.94	-0.66	-0.33

6.2 Serially Correlated Errors

Consider the case where the error term is serially correlated.

6.2.1 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test

Consider the following AR(p) model:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t \sim \text{iid}(0, \sigma_\epsilon^2),$$

which is rewritten as:

$$\phi(L)y_t = \epsilon_t.$$

When the above model has a unit root, we have $\phi(1) = 0$, i.e., $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_p = 1$.

The above AR(p) model is written as:

$$y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta y_{t-2} + \cdots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1} + \epsilon_t,$$

where $\rho = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_p$ and $\delta_j = -(\phi_{j+1} + \phi_{j+2} + \cdots + \phi_p)$.

The null and alternative hypotheses are:

$$H_0 : \rho = 1 \text{ (Unit root)},$$

$$H_1 : \rho < 1 \text{ (Stationary).}$$

Use the t test, where we have the same asymptotic distributions.

We can utilize the same tables as before.

Choose p by AIC or SBIC.

Use $N(0, 1)$ to test $H_0 : \delta_j = 0$ against $H_1 : \delta_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$.

Reference

Kurozumi (2008) “Economic Time Series Analysis and Unit Root Tests: Development and Perspective,” *Japan Statistical Society*, Vol.38, Series J, No.1, pp.39 – 57.

Download the above paper from:

http://ci.nii.ac.jp/vol_issue/nels/AA11989749/ISS0000426576_ja.html

6.3 Cointegration (共和分)

1. For a scalar y_t , when $(1 - L)^d y_t$ is stationary, we write $y_t \sim I(d)$.

When $\Delta y_t = y_t - y_{t-1}$ is stationary, we write $\Delta y_t \sim I(0)$ or $y_t \sim I(1)$.

2. Definition of Cointegration:

Suppose that each series in a $g \times 1$ vector y_t is $I(1)$, i.e., each series has unit root, and that a linear combination of each series (i.e, $a'y_t$ for a nonzero vector a) is $I(0)$, i.e., stationary.

Then, we say that y_t has a cointegration.

3. Example:

Suppose that $y_t = (y_{1,t}, y_{2,t})'$ is the following vector autoregressive process:

$$y_{1,t} = \gamma y_{2,t} + \epsilon_{1,t},$$

$$y_{2,t} = y_{2,t-1} + \epsilon_{2,t}.$$

Then,

$$\Delta y_{1,t} = \gamma \epsilon_{2,t} + \epsilon_{1,t} - \epsilon_{1,t-1}, \quad (\text{MA}(1) \text{ process}),$$

$$\Delta y_{2,t} = \epsilon_{2,t},$$

where both $y_{1,t}$ and $y_{2,t}$ are $I(1)$ processes.

The linear combination $y_{1,t} - \gamma y_{2,t}$ is $I(0)$.

In this case, we say that $y_t = (y_{1,t}, y_{2,t})'$ is cointegrated with $a = (1, -\gamma)$.

$a = (1, -\gamma)$ is called the **cointegrating vector** (共和分ベクトル), which is not unique. Therefore, the first element of a is set to be one.

4. Suppose that $y_t \sim I(1)$ and $x_t \sim I(1)$.

For the regression model $y_t = x_t\beta + u_t$, OLS does not work well if we do not have the β which satisfies $u_t \sim I(0)$.

\implies **Spurious regression** (見せかけの回帰)

5. Suppose that $y_t \sim I(1)$, y_t is a $g \times 1$ vector and $y_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}$. $y_{2,t}$ is a $k \times 1$ vector, where $k = g - 1$.

Consider the following regression model:

$$y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t, \quad t = 1, 2, \dots, T.$$

OLSE is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y'_{2,t} \\ \sum y_{2,t} & \sum y_{2,t} y'_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_{1,t} \\ \sum y_{1,t} y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, consider testing the null hypothesis $H_0 : R\gamma = d$, where R is a $G \times k$

matrix ($G \leq k$) and r is a $G \times 1$ vector. G denotes the number of the linear restrictions.

The F statistic, denoted by F , is given by:

$$F = \frac{1}{G} (R\hat{\gamma} - d)' \begin{pmatrix} s^2 & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y'_{2,t} \\ \sum y_{2,t} & \sum y_{2,t}y'_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R' \end{pmatrix}^{-1} (R\hat{\gamma} - d),$$

where

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{T-g} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_{1,t} - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\gamma}' y_{2,t})^2.$$

When we have the γ such that $y_{1,t} - \gamma y_{2,t}$ is stationary, OLSE of γ , i.e., $\hat{\gamma}$, is not statistically equal to zero.

When the sample size T is large enough, H_0 is rejected by the F test.

6. Phillips, P.C.B. (1986) “Understanding Spurious Regressions in Econometrics,” *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol.33, pp.95 – 131.

Consider a $g \times 1$ vector y_t whose first difference is described by:

$$\Delta y_t = \Psi(L)\epsilon_t = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \Psi_s \epsilon_{t-s},$$

for ϵ_t an i.i.d. $g \times 1$ vector with mean zero, variance $E(\epsilon_t \epsilon_t') = PP'$, and finite fourth moments and where $\{s\Psi_s\}_{s=0}^{\infty}$ is absolutely summable.

Let $k = g - 1$ and $\Lambda = \Psi(1)P$.

Partition y_t as $y_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Lambda\Lambda'$ as $\Lambda\Lambda' = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma'_{21} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where $y_{1,t}$ and Σ_{11} are scalars, $y_{2,t}$ and Σ_{21} are $k \times 1$ vectors, and Σ_{22} is a $k \times k$ matrix.

Suppose that $\Lambda\Lambda'$ is nonsingular, and define $\sigma_1^2 = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma'_{21}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$.

Let L_{22} denote the Cholesky factor of Σ_{22}^{-1} , i.e., L_{22} is the lower triangular matrix satisfying $\Sigma_{22}^{-1} = L_{22}L'_{22}$.

Then, (a) – (c) hold.

(a) OLSEs of α and γ in the regression model $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma'y_{2,t} + u_t$, denoted by $\hat{\alpha}_T$ and $\hat{\gamma}_T$, are characterized by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{-1/2}\hat{\alpha}_T \\ \hat{\gamma}_T - \Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 h_1 \\ \sigma_1 L_{22} h_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \int_0^1 W_2(r)' dr \\ \int_0^1 W_2(r) dr & \int_0^1 W_2(r)W_2(r)' dr \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 W_1(r) dr \\ \int_0^1 W_2(r)W_1(r) dr \end{pmatrix},$$

where $W_1(r)$ and $W_2(r)$ denote scalar and g -dimensional standard Brownian motions, and $W_1(r)$ is independent of $W_2(r)$.

(b) The sum of squared residuals, denoted by $\text{RSS}_T = \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2$, satisfies

$$T^{-2}\text{RSS}_T \longrightarrow \sigma_1^2 H,$$

where

$$H = \int_0^1 (W_1(r))^2 dr - \left(\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^1 W_1(r) dr \\ \int_0^1 W_2(r) W_1(r) dr \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1}.$$

(c) The F test satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}F &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{G}(\sigma_1 R^* h_2 - d^*)' \\ &\times \left(\sigma_1^2 H \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \int_0^1 W_2(r)' dr \\ \int_0^1 W_2(r) dr & \int_0^1 W_2(r) W_2^*(r)' dr \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R^* \end{pmatrix}' \right)^{-1} \\ &\times (\sigma_1 R^* h_2 - d^*), \end{aligned}$$

where $R^* = RL_{22}$ and $d^* = d - R\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$.

(a) indicates that OLSE $\hat{\gamma}_T$ is not consistent.

(b) indicates that $s^2 = \frac{1}{T-g} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2$ diverges.

(c) indicates that F diverges.

⇒ **Spurious regression** (見せかけの回帰)

7. Resolution for Spurious Regression:

Suppose that $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma'y_{2,t} + u_t$ is a spurious regression.

(1) Estimate $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma'y_{2,t} + \phi y_{1,t-1} + \delta y_{2,t-1} + u_t$.

Then, $\hat{\gamma}_T$ is \sqrt{T} -consistent, and the t test statistic goes to the standard normal distribution under $H_0 : \gamma = 0$.

(2) Estimate $\Delta y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' \Delta y_{2,t} + u_t$. Then, $\hat{\alpha}_T$ and $\hat{\beta}_T$ are \sqrt{T} -consistent, and the t test and F test make sense.

(3) Estimate $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma'y_{2,t} + u_t$ by the Cochrane-Orcutt method, assuming that u_t is the first-order serially correlated error.

Usually, choose (2).

However, there are two exceptions.

- (i) The true value of ϕ in (1) above is not one, i.e., less than one.
- (ii) $y_{1,t}$ and $y_{2,t}$ are the cointegrated processes.

In these two cases, taking the first difference leads to the misspecified regression.

8. Cointegrating Vector:

Suppose that each element of y_t is $I(1)$ and that $a'y_t$ is $I(0)$.

a is called a **cointegrating vector** (共和分ベクトル), which is not unique.

Set $z_t = a'y_t$, where z_t is scalar, and a and y_t are $g \times 1$ vectors.

For $z_t \sim I(0)$ (i.e., stationary),

$$T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T z_t^2 = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (a' y_t)^2 \longrightarrow E(z_t^2).$$

For $z_t \sim I(1)$ (i.e., nonstationary, i.e., a is not a cointegrating vector),

$$T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^T (a' y_t)^2 \longrightarrow \lambda^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr,$$

where $W(r)$ denotes a standard Brownian motion and λ^2 indicates variance of $(1 - L)z_t$.

If a is not a cointegrating vector, $T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T z_t^2$ diverges.

⇒ We can obtain a consistent estimate of a cointegrating vector by minimizing $\sum_{t=1}^T z_t^2$ with respect to a , where a normalization condition on a has to be imposed.

The estimator of the a including the normalization condition is super-consistent (T -consistent).

Stock, J.H. (1987) “Asymptotic Properties of Least Squares Estimators of Cointegrating Vectors,” *Econometrica*, Vol.55, pp.1035 – 1056.

Proposition:

Let $y_{1,t}$ be a scalar, $y_{2,t}$ be a $k \times 1$ vector, and $(y_{1,t}, y'_{2,t})'$ be a $g \times 1$ vector, where $g = k + 1$.

Consider the following model:

$$y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma'y_{2,t} + u_{1,t}$$

$$\Delta y_{2,t} = u_{2,t}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{1,t} \\ u_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \Psi(L)\epsilon_t$$

ϵ_t is a $g \times 1$ i.i.d. vector with $E(\epsilon_t) = 0$ and $E(\epsilon_t \epsilon'_t) = PP'$.

OLSE is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y'_{2,t} \\ \sum y_{2,t} & \sum y_{2,t} y'_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_{1,t} \\ \sum y_{1,t} y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define λ_1 , which is a $g \times 1$ vector, and Λ_2 , which is a $k \times g$ matrix, as follows:

$$\Psi(1) P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1' \\ \Lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have the following results:

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) \\ T(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \left(\Lambda_2 \int W(r) dr \right)' \\ \Lambda_2 \int W(r) dr & \Lambda_2 \left(\int (W(r))(W(r))' dr \right) \Lambda_2' \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1' W(1) \\ \Lambda_2 \left(\int W(r) (dW(r))' \right) \lambda_1 + \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} E(u_{2,t} u_{1,t+\tau}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$W(r)$ denotes a g -dimensional standard Brownian motion.

- 1) OLSE of the cointegrating vector is consistent even though u_t is serially correlated.
- 2) The consistency of OLSE implies that $T^{-1} \sum \hat{u}_t^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2$.
- 3) Because $T^{-1} \sum (y_{1,t} - \bar{y}_1)^2$ goes to infinity, a coefficient of determination, R^2 , goes to one.

6.4 Testing Cointegration

6.4.1 Engle-Granger Test

$$y_t \sim I(1)$$

$$y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$$

- $u_t \sim I(0) \implies$ Cointegration

- $u_t \sim I(1) \implies$ Spurious Regression

Estimate $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$ by OLS, and obtain \hat{u}_t .

Estimate $\hat{u}_t = \rho \hat{u}_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta \hat{u}_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta \hat{u}_{t-2} + \dots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta \hat{u}_{t-p+1} + e_t$ by OLS.

ADF Test:

- $H_0 : \rho = 1$ (Spurious Regression)
- $H_1 : \rho < 1$ (Cointegration)

⇒ **Engle-Granger Test**

For example, see Engle and Granger (1987), Phillips and Ouliaris (1990) and Hansen (1992).

Asymmptotic Distribution of Residual-Based ADF Test for Cointegration

# of Regressors, excluding constant	(a) Regressors have no drift				(b) Some regressors have drift			
	1%	2.5%	5%	10%	1%	2.5%	5%	10%
1	-3.96	-3.64	-3.37	-3.07	-3.96	-3.67	-3.41	-3.13
2	-4.31	-4.02	-3.77	-3.45	-4.36	-4.07	-3.80	-3.52
3	-4.73	-4.37	-4.11	-3.83	-4.65	-4.39	-4.16	-3.84
4	-5.07	-4.71	-4.45	-4.16	-5.04	-4.77	-4.49	-4.20
5	-5.28	-4.98	-4.71	-4.43	-5.36	-5.02	-4.74	-4.46

J.D. Hamilton (1994), *Time Series Analysis*, p.766.