

Going back to Panel Data:

8.8 Hausman's Specification Error (特定化誤差) Test

Regression model:

$$y = X\beta + u, \quad y : n \times 1, \quad X : n \times k, \quad \beta : k \times 1, \quad u : n \times 1.$$

Suppose that X is stochastic.

If $E(u|X) = 0$, OLSE $\hat{\beta}$ is unbiased because of $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u$ and $E((X'X)^{-1}X'u) = 0$.

However, If $E(u|X) \neq 0$, OLSE $\hat{\beta}$ is biased and inconsistent.

Therefore, we need to check if X is correlated with u or not.

\Rightarrow **Hausman's Specification Error Test**

The null and alternative hypotheses are:

- H_0 : X and u are independent, i.e., $\text{Cov}(X, u) = 0$,
- H_1 : X and u are not independent.

Suppose that we have two estimators $\hat{\beta}_0$ and $\hat{\beta}_1$, which have the following properties:

- $\hat{\beta}_0$ is consistent and efficient under H_0 , but is not consistent under H_1 ,
- $\hat{\beta}_1$ is consistent under both H_0 and H_1 , but is not efficient under H_0 .

Under the conditions above, we have the following test statistic:

$$(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0)' \left(\text{V}(\hat{\beta}_1) - \text{V}(\hat{\beta}_0) \right)^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0) \longrightarrow \chi^2(k).$$

Example: $\hat{\beta}_0$ is OLS, while $\hat{\beta}_1$ is IV such as 2SLS.

Hausman, J.A. (1978) "Specification Tests in Econometrics," *Econometrica*, Vol.46, No.6, pp.1251–1271.

8.9 Choice of Fixed Effect Model or Random Effect Model

8.9.1 The Case where X is Correlated with u — Review

The standard regression model is given by:

$$y = X\beta + u, \quad u \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$$

OLS is:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u.$$

If X is not correlated with u , i.e., $E(X'u) = 0$, we have the result: $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$.

However, if X is correlated with u , i.e., $E(X'u) \neq 0$, we have the result: $E(\hat{\beta}) \neq \beta$.

$\implies \hat{\beta}$ is biased.

Assume that in the limit we have the followings:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{1}{n}X'X\right)^{-1} &\longrightarrow M_{xx}^{-1}, \\ \frac{1}{n}X'u &\longrightarrow M_{xu} \neq 0 \text{ when } X \text{ is correlated with } u.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, even in the limit,

$$\text{plim } \hat{\beta} = \beta + M_{xx}^{-1}M_{xu} \neq \beta,$$

which implies that $\hat{\beta}$ is not a consistent estimator of β .

Thus, in the case where X is correlated with u , OLSE $\hat{\beta}$ is neither unbiased nor consistent.

8.9.2 Fixed Effect Model or Random Effect Model

Usually, in the random effect model, we can consider that v_i is correlated with X_{it} .

[Reason:]

v_i includes the unobserved variables in the i th individual, i.e., ability, intelligence, and so on.

X_{it} represents the observed variables in the i th individual, i.e., income, assets, and so on.

The unobserved variables v_i are related to the observed variables X_{it} .

Therefore, we consider that v_i is correlated with X_{it} .

Thus, in the case of the random effect model, usually we cannot use OLS or GLS.

In order to use the random effect model, we need to test whether v_i is uncorrelated with X_{it} .

Apply Hausman's test.

- H_0 : X_{it} and e_{it} are independent (\longrightarrow Use the random effect model),
- H_1 : X_{it} and e_{it} are not independent (\longrightarrow Use the fixed effect model),

where $e_{it} = v_i + u_{it}$.

Example of Panel Data:

Production Function of Prefectures from 2001 to 2010.

pref: 都道府県 (通し番号 1~47)

year: 年度 (2001~2010 年)

y : 県内総生産 (支出側、実質: 固定基準年方式), 出所: 県民経済計算 (平成 13 年度 - 平成 24 年度) (93SNA, 平成 17 年基準計数)

k : 都道府県別民間資本ストック (平成 12 暦年価格, 年度末, 国民経済計算ベース 平成 23 年 3 月時点) 一期前 (2000~2009 年)

l : 県内就業者数, 出所: 県民経済計算 (平成 13 年度 - 平成 24 年度) (93SNA, 平成 17 年基準計数)

```
. tsset pref year
      panel variable:  pref (strongly balanced)
      time variable:  year, 2001 to 2010
                   delta: 1 unit
```

```
. gen ly=log(y)
. gen lk=log(k)
. gen ll=log(l)
. reg ly lk ll
```

| Source | SS | df | MS | Number of obs = | 470 |
|----------|------------|-----|------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Model | 316.479302 | 2 | 158.239651 | F(2, 467) | =19374.95 |
| Residual | 3.81409572 | 467 | .008167229 | Prob > F | = 0.0000 |
| | | | | R-squared | = 0.9881 |
| | | | | Adj R-squared | = 0.9880 |
| Total | 320.293398 | 469 | .682928354 | Root MSE | = .09037 |

| ly | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | P> t | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|-------|----------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| lk | .0941587 | .0081273 | 11.59 | 0.000 | .0781881 | .1101294 |
| ll | .9976399 | .0102641 | 97.20 | 0.000 | .9774703 | 1.017809 |
| _cons | .5970719 | .0773137 | 7.72 | 0.000 | .4451461 | .7489978 |

```
. xtreg ly lk ll,fe
```

```
Fixed-effects (within) regression
Group variable: pref
```

```
Number of obs = 470
Number of groups = 47
```


overall = 0.9787

max = 10

corr(u_i, X) = 0 (assumed)

Wald chi2(2) = 3875.75
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

| ly | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | P> z | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|---------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| lk | .2457767 | .0153094 | 16.05 | 0.000 | .2157708 | .2757827 |
| ll | .8105099 | .0220256 | 36.80 | 0.000 | .7673406 | .8536793 |
| _cons | .8332015 | .2411141 | 3.46 | 0.001 | .3606265 | 1.305776 |
| sigma_u | .081609 | | | | | |
| sigma_e | .03561437 | | | | | |
| rho | .8400205 | (fraction of variance due to u_i) | | | | |

. hausman fixed

| | ---- Coefficients ---- | | | |
|----|------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| | (b) fixed | (B) . | (b-B) Difference | sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E. |
| lk | .2329208 | .2457767 | -.0128559 | .020057 |
| ll | .3268537 | .8105099 | -.4836562 | .0780167 |

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtreg
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

```
chi2(2) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)
          =      144.66
Prob>chi2 =      0.0000
```