9.2 Serially Correlated Errors

Consider the case where the error term is serially correlated.

9.2.1 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Test

Consider the following AR(p) model:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t, \qquad \epsilon_t \sim iid(0, \sigma_{\epsilon}^2),$$

which is rewritten as: $\phi(L)y_t = \epsilon_t$.

When the above model has a unit root, we have $\phi(1) = 0$, i.e., $\phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_p = 1$.

The above AR(p) model is written as:

$$y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta y_{t-2} + \dots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1} + \epsilon_t,$$

where
$$\rho = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \dots + \phi_p$$
 and $\delta_i = -(\phi_{i+1} + \phi_{i+2} + \dots + \phi_p)$.

The null and alternative hypotheses are:

$$H_0: \rho = 1$$
 (Unit root),

$$H_1: \rho < 1$$
 (Stationary).

Use the *t* test, where we have the same asymptotic distributions.

We can utilize the same tables as before.

Choose *p* by AIC or SBIC.

Use N(0, 1) to test H_0 : $\delta_j = 0$ against H_1 : $\delta_j \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, p - 1$.

Reference

Kurozumi (2008) "Economic Time Series Analysis and Unit Root Tests: Development and Perspective," *Japan Statistical Society*, Vol.38, Series J, No.1, pp.39 – 57.

Download the above paper from:

http://ci.nii.ac.jp/vol_issue/nels/AA11989749/ISS0000426576_ja.html

Example of ADF Test

. gen time=_n

. tsset time

time variable: time, 1 to 516 delta: 1 unit

. gen sexpend=expend-l12.expend
(12 missing values generated)

. corrgram sexpend

					-1 0 1	-1 0 1
LAG	AC	PAC	Q	Prob>Q	[Autocorrelation]	[Partial Autocor]
1	0.7177	0.7184	261.14	0.0000		
2	0.7036	0.3895	512.6	0.0000	j	ļ
3	0.7031	0.2817	764.23	0.0000		
4	0.6366	0.0456	970.94	0.0000		
5	0.6413	0.1116	1181.1	0.0000		
6	0.6267	0.0815	1382.2	0.0000		
7	0.6208	0.0972	1580	0.0000		
8	0.6384	0.1286	1789.5	0.0000		-
9	0.5926	-0.0205	1970.5	0.0000		
10	0.5847	-0.0014	2146.9	0.0000		
11	0.5658	-0.0185	2312.6	0.0000		
12	0.4529	-0.2570	2418.9	0.0000		
13	0.5601	0.2318	2581.8	0.0000		-
14	0.5393	0.1095	2733.2	0.0000		
15	0.5277	0.0850	2878.4	0.0000		I

. varsoc d.sexpend, exo(1.sexpend) maxlag(25)

Sele Samp	ction-order le: 39 - 5					Number of	obs =	= 478
lag	LL LL	LR	df	p	FPE	AIC	HQIC	SBIC
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	-4917.7 -4878.69 -4858.95 -4858.46 -4855.44 -4855.54 -4851.58 -4847.61 -4847.51 -4847.51 -4847.51 -4847.64 -4813.38 -4813.38 -4813.38 -4810.57 -4806.12 -4804.6 -4797.33 -4794.2 -4793.42 -4793.42	78.013 39.481 .97673 6.0461 3.1904 4.5304 7.942 .20154 .00096 .16024 32.094 25.6341 3.321 1.2007 5.6184 3.7539 5.1557 3.0319 2.7e-05 14.542 6.2571* 1.1533		0.000 0.000 0.323 0.014 0.074 0.033 0.005 0.653 0.975 0.689 0.000 0.018 0.068 0.273 0.018 0.053 0.053 0.023 0.023 0.096 0.000	5.1e+07 4.3e+07 4.0e+07 4.0e+07 4.0e+07 4.0e+07 4.0e+07 3.9e+07 3.9e+07 3.9e+07 3.5e+07	20.5845 20.4255 20.3471 20.3492 20.33407 20.3383 20.3205 20.3243 20.3243 20.3243 20.2694 20.2195 20.2119 20.2195 20.2119 20.2108 20.2091 20.1996 20.1998 20.1998 20.1998 20.1688 20.1688 20.1688 20.1608 20.1608 20.1608	20.3614 20.3608 20.3664 20.3664 20.3663 20.3664 20.3514 20.3586 20.3662 20.3735 20.2633 20.2645 20.2645 20.2647 20.2628 20.2647 20.2628 20.2647 20.2628 20.2647 20.2628 20.2647 20.2628 20.2647 20.2628 20.2647 20.2628 20.2633	20.6019 20.4516 20.382 20.3928 20.3931 20.3993 20.4027 20.399 20.4115 20.4244 20.3427 20.3828 20.3416* 20.3427 20.3828 20.3457 20.3653 20.3653 20.3653 20.3653 20.3653 20.3694 20.3694 20.3788 20.3788 20.3788 20.3788 20.3788 20.3788 20.3788

25 | -4792.78 .13518 1 0.713 3.4e+07 20.1664 20.259 20.402 Endogenous: D.sexpend Exogenous: L.sexpend cons . dfuller sexpend, lags(23) Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 480 Test 1% Critical 5% Critical 10% Critical Statistic Value Value Z(t) -1.754 -3.442 -2.871 MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.4033. dfuller sexpend. lags(13) Augmented Dickey-Fuller test for unit root Number of obs = 490 ----- Interpolated Dickey-Fuller ------Test 1% Critical 5% Critical 10% Critical Statistic Value Value Value -2.129 -3.441 -2.870 Z(t)

MacKinnon approximate p-value for Z(t) = 0.2329

9.3 Cointegration (共和分)

1. For a scalar y_t , when $\Delta y_t = y_t - y_{t-1}$ is a white noise (i.e., iid), we write $\Delta y_t \sim I(1)$.

2. Definition of Cointegration:

Suppose that each series in a $g \times 1$ vector y_t is I(1), i.e., each series has unit root, and that a linear combination of each series (i.e, $a'y_t$ for a nonzero vector a) is I(0), i.e., stationary.

Then, we say that y_t has a cointegration.

3. Example:

Suppose that $y_t = (y_{1,t}, y_{2,t})'$ is the following vector autoregressive process:

$$y_{1,t} = \phi_1 y_{2,t} + \epsilon_{1,t},$$

$$y_{2,t} = y_{2,t-1} + \epsilon_{2,t}.$$

Then,

$$\Delta y_{1,t} = \phi_1 \epsilon_{2,t} + \epsilon_{1,t} - \epsilon_{1,t-1}$$
, (MA(1) process),

$$\Delta y_{2,t} = \epsilon_{2,t},$$

where both $y_{1,t}$ and $y_{2,t}$ are I(1) processes.

The linear combination $y_{1,t} - \phi_1 y_{2,t}$ is I(0).

In this case, we say that $y_t = (y_{1,t}, y_{2,t})'$ is cointegrated with $a = (1, -\phi_1)$.

 $a = (1, -\phi_1)$ is called the cointegrating vector, which is not unique.

Therefore, the first element of a is set to be one.

4. Suppose that $y_t \sim I(1)$ and $x_t \sim I(1)$.

For the regression model $y_t = x_t \beta + u_t$, OLS does not work well if we do not have the β which satisfies $u_t \sim I(0)$.

⇒ Spurious regression (見せかけの回帰)

5. Suppose that $y_t \sim I(1)$, y_t is a $g \times 1$ vector and $y_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}$. $y_{2,t}$ is a $k \times 1$ vector, where k = g - 1.

Consider the following regression model:

$$y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t, \qquad t = 1, 2, \dots, T.$$

OLSE is given by:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y'_{2,t} \\ \sum y_{2,t} & \sum y_{2,t} y'_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_{1,t} \\ \sum y_{1,t} y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, consider testing the null hypothesis H_0 : $R\gamma = r$, where R is a $m \times k$ matrix $(m \le k)$ and r is a $m \times 1$ vector.

The F statistic, denoted by F_T , is given by:

$$F_{T} = \frac{1}{m} (R\hat{\gamma} - r)' \left(s_{T}^{2} (0 \quad R) \left(\frac{T}{\sum y_{2,t}} \frac{\sum y_{2,t}'}{\sum y_{2,t} y_{2,t}'} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ R' \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1} (R\hat{\gamma} - r),$$

where

$$s_T^2 = \frac{1}{T - g} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_{1,t} - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\gamma}' y_{2,t})^2.$$

When we have the γ such that $y_{1,t} - \gamma y_{2,t}$ is stationary, OLSE of γ , i.e., $\hat{\gamma}$, is not statistically equal to zero.

When the sample size T is large enough, H_0 is rejected by the F test.

Phillips, P.C.B. (1986) "Understanding Spurious Regressions in Econometrics," *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol.33, pp.95 – 131.

Consider a $g \times 1$ vector y_t whose first difference is described by:

$$\Delta y_t = \Psi(L)\epsilon_t = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \Psi_s \epsilon_{t-s},$$

for ϵ_t an i.i.d. $g \times 1$ vector with mean zero , variance $E(\epsilon_t \epsilon_t') = PP'$, and finite fourth moments and where $\{s\Psi_s\}_{s=0}^{\infty}$ is absolutely summable.

Let k = g - 1 and $\Lambda = \Psi(1)P$.

Partition
$$y_t$$
 as $y_t = \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Lambda\Lambda'$ as $\Lambda\Lambda' = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma'_{21} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, where $y_{1,t}$ and Σ_{11} are scalars, $y_{2,t}$ and Σ_{21} are $k \times 1$ vectors, and Σ_{22} is a $k \times k$ matrix.

Suppose that $\Lambda\Lambda'$ is nonsingular, and define $\sigma_1^{*2} = \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma'_{21}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$.

Let L_{22} denote the Cholesky factor of Σ_{22}^{-1} , i.e., L_{22} is the lower triangular matrix satisfying $\Sigma_{22}^{-1} = L_{22}L'_{22}$.

Then, (a) - (c) hold.

(a) OLSEs of α and γ in the regression model $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$, denoted by $\hat{\alpha}_T$ and $\hat{\gamma}_T$, are characterized by:

$$\binom{T^{-1/2}\hat{\alpha}_T}{\hat{\gamma}_T - \Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}} \longrightarrow \binom{\sigma_1^*h_1}{\sigma_1^*L_{22}h_2},$$

where
$$\binom{h_1}{h_2} = \binom{1}{\int_0^1 W_2^*(r) dr} - \int_0^1 W_2^*(r) W_2^*(r) W_2^*(r) W_2^*(r) W_1^*(r) dr - \int_0^1 W_2^*(r) W_2^*(r) W_1^*(r) dr - \int_0^1 W_2^*(r) W_2^*(r) W_1^*(r) dr - \int_0^1 W_2^*(r) W_2^*(r) W_2^*(r) dr - \int_0^1 W_2^*(r) dr - \int_0$$

 $W_1^*(r)$ and $W_2^*(r)$ denote scalar and g-dimensional standard Brownian motions, and $W_1^*(r)$ is independent of $W_2^*(r)$.

(b) The sum of squared residuals, denoted by RSS_T = $\sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{u}_t^2$, satisfies

$$T^{-2}RSS_T \longrightarrow \sigma_1^{*2}H,$$

where
$$H = \int_0^1 (W_1^*(r))^2 dr - \left(\left(\int_0^1 W_1^*(r) dr \right)' \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right) \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{h_1}{h_2} \right) \right)^{-1}$$
.

(c) The F_T test satisfies:

$$T^{-1}F_{T} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{m}(\sigma_{1}^{*}R^{*}h_{2} - r^{*})'$$

$$\times \left(\sigma_{1}^{*2}H(0 \quad R^{*})\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \int_{0}^{1}W_{2}^{*}(r)'dr \\ \int_{0}^{1}W_{2}^{*}(r)dr & \int_{0}^{1}W_{2}^{*}(r)W_{2}^{*}(r)'dr \end{array}\right)^{-1}(0 \quad R^{*})'\right)^{-1}$$

$$\times (\sigma_{1}^{*}R^{*}h_{2} - r^{*}),$$

where $R^* = RL_{22}$ and $r^* = r - R\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$.

Summary:

(a) indicates that OLSE $\hat{\gamma}_T$ is not consistent.

(b) indicates that
$$s_T^2 = \frac{1}{T - g} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{u}_t^2$$
 diverges.

(c) indicates that F_T diverges.

7. Resolution for Spurious Regression:

Suppose that $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$ is a spurious regression.

(1) Estimate $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + \phi y_{1,t-1} + \delta y_{2,t-1} + u_t$.

Then, $\hat{\gamma}_T$ is \sqrt{T} -consistent, and the t test statistic goes to the standard normal distribution under $H_0: \gamma = 0$.

- (2) Estimate $\Delta y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' \Delta y_{2,t} + u_t$. Then, $\hat{\alpha}_T$ and $\hat{\beta}_T$ are \sqrt{T} -consistent, and the t test and F test make sense.
- (3) Estimate $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$ by the Cochrane-Orcutt method, assuming that u_t is the first-order serially correlated error.

Usually, choose (2).

However, there are two exceptions.

(i) The true value of ϕ is not one, i.e., less than one.

(ii) $y_{1,t}$ and $y_{2,t}$ are the cointegrated processes.

In these two cases, taking the first difference leads to the misspecified regression.

8. Cointegrating Vector:

Suppose that each element of y_t is I(1) and that $a'y_t$ is I(0).

a is called a **cointegrating vector** (共和分ベクトル), which is not unique.

Set $z_t = a'y_t$, where z_t is scalar, and a and y_t are $g \times 1$ vectors.

For $z_t \sim I(0)$ (i.e., stationary),

$$T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} z_t^2 = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (a' y_t)^2 \longrightarrow E(z_t^2).$$

For $z_t \sim I(1)$ (i.e., nonstationary, i.e., a is not a cointegrating vector),

$$T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^{T} (a' y_t)^2 \longrightarrow \lambda^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr,$$

where W(r) denotes a standard Brownian motion and λ^2 indicates variance of $(1 - L)z_t$.

If a is not a cointegrating vector, $T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} z_t^2$ diverges.

 \implies We can obtain a consistent estimate of a cointegrating vector by minimizing $\sum_{t=1}^{T} z_t^2$ with respect to a, where a normalization condition on a has to be imposed.

The estimator of the a including the normalization condition is super-consistent (T-consistent).

● Stock, J.H. (1987) "Asymptotic Properties of Least Squares Estimators of Cointegrating Vectors," *Econometrica*, Vol.55, pp.1035 – 1056.

Proposition:

Let $y_{1,t}$ be a scalar, $y_{2,t}$ be a $k \times 1$ vector, and $(y_{1,t}, y'_{2,t})'$ be a $g \times 1$ vector, where g = k + 1. Consider the following model:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1,t} &= \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + z_t^*, \\ \Delta y_{2,t} &= u_{2,t}, \end{aligned} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} z_t^* \\ u_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \Psi^*(L) \epsilon_t,$$

 ϵ_t is a $g \times 1$ i.i.d. vector with $E(\epsilon_t) = 0$ and $E(\epsilon_t \epsilon_t') = PP'$.

OLSE is given by:
$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y'_{2,t} \\ \sum y_{2,t} & \sum y_{2,t}y'_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_{1,t} \\ \sum y_{1,t}y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define λ_1^* , which is a $g \times 1$ vector, and Λ_2^* , which is a $k \times g$ matrix, as follows:

$$\Psi^*(1) P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{*\prime} \\ \Lambda_2^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have the following results:

where
$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) \\ T(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \Lambda_2^* \int W(r) dr & \Lambda_2^* \left(\int (W(r)) (W(r))' dr \right) \Lambda_2^{*'} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\text{where } \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_2^* \left(\int W(r) (dW(r))' \right) \lambda_1^* + \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{E}(u_{2,\tau} z_{t+\tau}^*) \end{pmatrix}.$$

W(r) denotes a g-dimensional standard Brownian motion.

- 1) OLSE of the cointegrating vector is consistent even though u_t is serially correlated.
- 2) The consistency of OLSE implies that $T^{-1} \sum \hat{u}_t^2 \longrightarrow \sigma^2$.
- 3) Because $T^{-1} \sum (y_{1,t} \bar{y}_1)^2$ goes to infinity, a coefficient of determination, R^2 , goes to one.

9.4 Testing Cointegration

9.4.1 Engle-Granger Test

$$y_t \sim I(1)$$

$$y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$$

- $u_t \sim I(0) \implies \text{Cointegration}$
- $u_t \sim I(1) \implies$ Spurious Regression

Estimate $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$ by OLS, and obtain \hat{u}_t .

Estimate $\hat{u}_t = \rho \hat{u}_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta \hat{u}_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta \hat{u}_{t-2} + \dots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta \hat{u}_{t-p+1} + e_t$ by OLS.

ADF Test:

- H_0 : $\rho = 1$ (Sprious Regression)
- H_1 : ρ < 1 (Cointegration)

\Longrightarrow Engle-Granger Test

For example, see Engle and Granger (1987), Phillips and Ouliaris (1990) and Hansen (1992).

Asymmptotic Distribution of Residual-Based ADF Test for Cointegration

# of Refressors,	(a) Reg	ressors h	ave no dr	ift	(b) Some regressors have drift			
excluding constant	1%	2.5%	5%	10%	1%	2.5%	5%	10%
1	-3.96	-3.64	-3.37	-3.07	-3.96	-3.67	-3.41	-3.13
2	-4.31	-4.02	-3.77	-3.45	-4.36	-4.07	-3.80	-3.52
3	-4.73	-4.37	-4.11	-3.83	-4.65	-4.39	-4.16	-3.84
4	-5.07	-4.71	-4.45	-4.16	-5.04	-4.77	-4.49	-4.20
5	-5.28	-4.98	-4.71	-4.43	-5.36	-5.02	-4.74	-4.46

J.D. Hamilton (1994), Time Series Analysis, p.766.