

Homework Solutions

Jun Sakamoto

January 24, 2019

1 Homework1

1

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_i) &= 0 \times P(y_i = 0) + 1 \times P(y_i = 1) \\ &= P(y_i = 1) \\ &= P(y_i^* > 0) \\ &= P(X_i\beta + u_i > 0) = P(u_i > -X_i\beta) \\ &= P(u_i^* > -X_i\beta^*) = 1 - P(u_i^* \leq -X_i\beta^*) \\ &= 1 - F(-X_i\beta^*) = F(X_i\beta^*), \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta^* = \frac{\beta}{\sigma}$, $u_i^* = \frac{u_i}{\sigma}$. Since the normal distribution is symmetric, then $1 - F(-X_i\beta^*) = F(X_i\beta^*)$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned} &= P(y_i^* \leq 0) \\ &= P(X_i\beta + u_i \leq 0) = P(u_i \leq -X_i\beta) \\ &= P(u_i^* \leq -X_i\beta^*) \\ &= F(-X_i\beta^*) = 1 - F(X_i\beta^*). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_i) &= [P(y_i = 1)]^{y_i} [P(y_i = 0)]^{1-y_i} \\ &= [F(X_i\beta^*)]^{y_i} [1 - F(X_i\beta^*)]^{1-y_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the likelihood function is,

$$\begin{aligned} L(\beta^*) &= f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n [F(X_i\beta^*)]^{y_i} [1 - F(X_i\beta^*)]^{1-y_i}. \end{aligned}$$

(3)

We consider the log likelihood function of (2)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^*} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i X_i' f(X_i\beta^*)}{F(X_i\beta^*)} - \frac{(1-y_i) X_i' f(X_i\beta^*)}{1 - F(X_i\beta^*)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{[y_i - F(X_i\beta^*)] X_i' f(X_i\beta^*)}{[1 - F(X_i\beta^*)] F(X_i\beta^*)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(4)

From the above equation, β^* can be estimated, but β and σ^2 can not be estimated separately.

(5)

We define as $F_i = F(X_i\beta^*)$, $f_i = f(X_i\beta^*)$. The second derivatives is,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 l(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*'}} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta^*} (y_i - F_i)}{[1 - F_i] F_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' f_i \frac{\partial (y_i - F_i)}{\partial \beta^*}}{[1 - F_i] F_i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i' f_i (y_i - F_i) \frac{\partial [F_i(1 - F_i)]^{-1}}{\partial \beta^*} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i f_i' (y_i - F_i)}{[1 - F_i] F_i} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i f_i^2}{[1 - F_i] F_i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i' f_i (y_i - F_i) \frac{x_i f_i (1 - 2F_i)}{[F_i(1 - F_i)]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the information matrix is

$$I(\beta^*) = -E \left[\frac{\partial^2 l(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*'}} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' [X_i f_i^2]}{[1 - F_i] F_i}.$$

Note that $E(y_i) = F_i$. Therefore, by using the fact that,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^* - \beta^*) \rightarrow N \left(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} I(\beta^*) \right)^{-1} \right),$$

so the asymptotic distribution of β^* is

$$\beta^* \sim N(\beta^*, I(\hat{\beta}^*)^{-1}).$$

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_i^* | y_i^* > 0) &= E(X_i\beta + u_i | X_i\beta + u_i > 0) \\ &= X_i\beta + E(u_i | u_i > -X_i\beta) \\ &= X_i\beta + \int_{-X_i\beta}^{\infty} \frac{u_i}{\sigma} \frac{f(u_i)}{1 - F(-X_i\beta)} du_i \\ &= \int_{-X_i\beta}^{\infty} \frac{u_i}{\sigma} \frac{\phi(\frac{u_i}{\sigma})}{1 - \Phi(\frac{-X_i\beta}{\sigma})} du_i \\ &= \frac{\sigma \phi\left(\frac{-X_i\beta}{\sigma}\right)}{\Phi\left(\frac{-X_i\beta}{\sigma}\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ and Φ are the density function and the cumulative density function of the standard normal distribution, respectively.

(7)

Before truncation, the density function of y is,

$$f(y_i) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{y_i - X_i\beta}{\sigma}\right).$$

and the probability of y_i^* is observed is,

$$\begin{aligned} P(y_i^* \text{ is observed}) &= P(y_i > 0) \\ &= P(X_i\beta + u_i > 0) = P(u_i > -X_i\beta) \\ &= 1 - P(u_i \leq -X_i\beta) = P(u_i \leq X_i\beta) \\ &= \Phi\left(\frac{X_i\beta}{\sigma}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Dealing with the truncation, the likelihood function is,

$$L(\beta, \sigma^2) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{y_i - X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right)}{\Phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right)}.$$

Also, the log likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta, \sigma^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\log \left[\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{y_i - X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right) \right] - \log \Phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \left(-\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - X_i \beta)^2 \right) - \log \Phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (y_i - X_i \beta) - \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right)}{\Phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right)} \right) X_i' \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (y_i - X_i \beta) - \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi_i}{\Phi_i} \right) X_i' = 0, \\ \frac{\partial l(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (y_i - X_i \beta)^2 + \frac{X_i \beta \phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right)}{2\sigma^3 \Phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma^4} (y_i - X_i \beta)^2 + \frac{X_i \beta \phi_i}{2\sigma^3 \Phi_i} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_i = \phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right)$ and $\Phi_i = \Phi\left(\frac{X_i \beta}{\sigma}\right)$.

(9)

Use the iterative method such as the Newton-Raphson method.

(10)

What are the asymptotic distributions of the estimators of β and σ^2 ?

The second derivatives are,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 l(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} X_i + \frac{X_i \beta \phi_i}{\sigma^2 \Phi_i} + \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \phi_i^2}{\Phi_i^2} X_i \right) X_i' \\ \frac{\partial^2 l(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta \partial \sigma^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^4} (y_i - X_i \beta) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^3} \frac{\phi_i}{\Phi_i} + \frac{X_i \beta \phi}{2\sigma^5 \Phi} X_i \beta - \frac{X_i \beta \phi_i^2}{2\sigma^4 \Phi_i^2} \right) X_i' \\ \frac{\partial^2 l(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2 \partial \beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^4} (y_i - X_i \beta) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^3} \frac{\phi_i}{\Phi_i} + \frac{X_i \beta \phi}{2\sigma^5 \Phi} X_i \beta - \frac{X_i \beta \phi_i^2}{2\sigma^4 \Phi_i^2} \right) X_i \\ \frac{\partial^2 l(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2 \partial \sigma^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6} (y_i - X_i \beta)^2 - \frac{3X_i \beta \phi_i}{4\sigma^5 \Phi_i} + \frac{(X_i \beta)^3 \phi_i}{4\sigma^7 \phi_i} + \frac{(X_i \beta)^2 \phi_i^2}{3\sigma^6 \Phi_i^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the information matrix is

$$I(\beta, \sigma^2) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} X_i + \frac{X_i \beta \phi_i}{\sigma^2 \Phi_i} + \frac{\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \phi_i^2}{\Phi_i^2} X_i \right) X_i' & \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^4} (y_i - X_i \beta) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^3} \frac{\phi_i}{\Phi_i} + \frac{X_i \beta \phi}{2\sigma^5 \Phi} X_i \beta - \frac{X_i \beta \phi_i^2}{2\sigma^4 \Phi_i^2} \right) X_i' \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^4} (y_i - X_i \beta) + \frac{1}{2\sigma^3} \frac{\phi_i}{\Phi_i} + \frac{X_i \beta \phi}{2\sigma^5 \Phi} X_i \beta - \frac{X_i \beta \phi_i^2}{2\sigma^4 \Phi_i^2} \right) X_i & \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{2\sigma^4} - \frac{1}{\sigma^6} (y_i - X_i \beta)^2 - \frac{3X_i \beta \phi_i}{4\sigma^5 \Phi_i} + \frac{(X_i \beta)^3 \phi_i}{4\sigma^7 \phi_i} + \frac{(X_i \beta)^2 \phi_i^2}{3\sigma^6 \Phi_i^2} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then by using the fact that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \longrightarrow N \left(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} I(\theta) \right)^{-1} \right),$$

where $\theta = \beta, \sigma^2$, so the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ is:

$$\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, I(\hat{\theta})^{-1}).$$

(11)

$$\begin{aligned} P(y_i = 0) &= P(y_i^* \leq 0) = P(u_i \leq -X_i\beta) \\ &= P\left(\frac{u_i}{\sigma} \leq -\frac{X_i\beta}{\sigma}\right) = F\left(-\frac{X_i\beta}{\sigma}\right) \\ &= 1 - F\left(\frac{X_i\beta}{\sigma}\right) = 1 - \Phi\left(-\frac{X_i\beta}{\sigma}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the likelihood function is,
where d_i is the indicator variable for $y_i > 0$.
Also, the log likelihood function is,

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta, \sigma^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{d_i \log [\frac{1}{\sigma} \phi_i] + (1 - d_i) \log(1 - \Phi_i)\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{d_i \left(-\frac{1}{2} \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log 2\sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - X_i\beta)\right) + (1 - d_i) \log(1 - \Phi_i)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

(12)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \beta} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{d_i \frac{1}{\sigma^2} (y_i - X_i\beta) - (1 - d_i) \frac{\phi}{\sigma(1-\Phi)}\right\} X_i' = 0 \\ \frac{\partial l(\beta, \sigma^2)}{\partial \sigma^2} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{d_i \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} + \frac{(y_i - X_i\beta)^2}{2\sigma^4}\right) + (1 - d_i) \frac{\phi X_i\beta}{2\sigma^3(1-\Phi)}\right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(13)

Use the iterative method such as Newton-Rapson method.

(14)

By using the second derivatives, we can get the information matrix, that is,

$$I(\beta, \sigma^2) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} (X_i\beta\phi_i - \left[\frac{\phi^2}{1-\phi_i}\right] - \Phi_i) X_i' X_i\right) & \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^3} ((X_i\beta)^2\phi_i + \phi - \left[\frac{X_i\beta\phi^2}{1-\phi_i}\right]) X_i'\right) \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^3} ((X_i\beta)^2\phi_i + \phi - \left[\frac{X_i\beta\phi^2}{1-\phi_i}\right]) X_i'\right) & \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{4\sigma^4} ((X_i\beta)^3\phi_i + (X_i\beta)\phi - \left[\frac{X_i\beta\phi^2}{1-\phi_i} - 2\Phi_i\right])\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

Then, by using the fact that,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow N\left(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} I(\theta)\right)^{-1}\right)$$

where $\theta = \beta, \sigma^2$, so the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ is

$$\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, I(\hat{\theta})^{-1})$$

2

(15)

$$\begin{aligned} E(y_i) &= \sum_{y_i=1}^{\infty} y_i \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{y_i}}{y_i!} \\ &= \sum_{y_i=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{y_i}}{(y_i - 1)!} \\ &= \lambda_i e^{-\lambda_i} \sum_{y_i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i^{y_i-1}}{(y_i - 1)!} \\ &= \lambda_i \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{y_i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_i^{y_i-1}}{(y_i-1)!} = e^{\lambda}$ from the Taylor expansion.

(16)

The likelihood function is

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{y_i}}{y_i!}.$$

Then, the log likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} l(\beta) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log \frac{e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{y_i}}{y_i!} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-e^{X_i \beta} + y_i X_i \beta - \log y_i!) \end{aligned}$$

(17)

$$\frac{\partial l(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - e^{X_i \beta}) X_i = 0$$

(18)

Use the iterative method such as Newton-Rapson method.

(19)

The Hessian of log likelihood function is

$$\frac{\partial^2 l(\beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} = - \sum_{i=1}^n e^{X_i \beta} X_i X_i'$$

Then the information matrix is

$$I(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\partial^2 l(\beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} = - \sum_{i=1}^n e^{X_i \beta} X_i X_i'$$

Then by using the fact that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \longrightarrow N \left(0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} I(\theta) \right)^{-1} \right),$$

where $\theta = \beta$, so the asymptotic distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ is:

$$\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, I(\hat{\theta})^{-1}).$$

2 Homework 2

(1)

Define $e_i := (e_{i1}, e_{i2}, \dots, e_{iT})'$. Then we get

$$\Sigma := E[e_i e_i'] = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2 & \sigma_v^2 & \dots & \sigma_v^2 \\ \sigma_v^2 & \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2 & \dots & \sigma_v^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_v^2 & \sigma_v^2 & \dots & \sigma_v^2 + \sigma_u^2 \end{bmatrix} (T \times T).$$

In addition, we also define $e := (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_N)$. Then we get

$$\Omega = E[ee'] = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Sigma & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Sigma \end{bmatrix} (NT \times NT).$$

(2)

Since Ω is a symmetric real matrix, there exists P such that

$$P^{-1}\Omega P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & O \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ O & & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} = \Lambda (PP' = I_n),$$

where λ_i is a eigen value. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= P\Lambda P^{-1} \\ &= P\Lambda P' \\ &= CC' (C := P\Lambda^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Using above results, we can rewrite the model as

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1}y &= C^{-1}X\beta + C^{-1}v + C^{-1}u \\ \leftrightarrow y^* &= X^*\beta + v^* + u^*, \end{aligned}$$

where $X := (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N)'$, $(NK \times K + 1)$. Thus GLS is given by

$$b = (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Omega^{-1}y.$$

(3)

Just apply transformation of random variables for y . Then we get $u + v \sim N(0, \Omega)$.

(4)

The log-likelihood function is given by

$$l(\beta, \sigma_u^2, \sigma_v^2) = \frac{-nT}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Omega| - \frac{1}{2} (y - X\beta)' \Omega^{-1} (y - X\beta).$$

Thus $\bar{\beta}$ is given by

$$\bar{\beta} = (X'\Omega^{-1}X)^{-1}X'\Omega^{-1}y.$$

(5)

Clearly the are same.

(6)

Since $\bar{\beta}$ is MLE, it is consistent and efficient estimator. In addition, since $\bar{\beta} = b$ and b is also unbiased $\bar{\beta}$ is also unbiased.

(7)

$\hat{\beta}$ is given by

$$\hat{\beta} = \left\{ \sum_i \sum_t (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)(x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)' \right\}^{-1} \sum_i \sum_t (x_{it} - \bar{x}_i)(y_{it} - \bar{y}_i).$$

(8)

Define

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{y}_{it} &:= y_{it} - \bar{y}_i, \\ \tilde{x}_{it} &:= x_{it} - \bar{x}_i, \\ \tilde{y}_i &:= \sum_t \tilde{y}_{it}, \\ \tilde{x}_i &:= \sum_t \tilde{x}_{it}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\hat{\beta}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\beta} &= (\sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i)^{-1} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{y}_i : \\ &= (\sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i)^{-1} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i (\tilde{x}\beta + \tilde{u}_i) \\ &= \beta + (\sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i)^{-1} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{u}_i.\end{aligned}$$

Since $E[(\sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{x}_i)^{-1} \sum_i \tilde{x}'_i \tilde{u}_i] = 0, \forall i$, LLM gives $\hat{\beta} \rightarrow_p \beta$.

(9)

Under H_0 , $\bar{\beta}$ is consistent and efficient. Thus we should use $\bar{\beta}$. Note that $\hat{\beta}$ is also consistent and not efficient.

(10)

Under H_1 , only $\hat{\beta}$ is consistent. Thus we should use $\hat{\beta}$.