

# Econometrics 2 (2018) TA session 3\*

Kenji Hatakenaka †

18 October 2018

## Aim(目的)

- Review the previous lectures with some additional contents.
- Introduce an empirical example of probit.

## 2 Qualitative Variable or Quantitative Variable

In most cases, dependent variable is continuous or assumed to be continuous.  
For example,

- temperature
- individual income

→  $y_i$  is continuous and  $-\infty < y_i < \infty$ .

However, we may encounter some variables which takes only several value.

For example,

- male or female
- smoking or not smoking

→  $y_i = 0$  or  $1$ .

---

\* All comments welcome!

† E-mail: u626530i@ecs.osaka-u.ac.jp, Room 501

In this section, we learn the case these variables are dependent variable.

## 2.1 Discrete Choice Model(離散選択モデル)

### 2.1.1 Binary Choice Model

This model is represented by

$$y_i^* = X_i\beta + u_i \quad u_i \sim (0, \sigma^2), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

where  $y_i^*$  is unobserved, but  $y_i$  is observed as 0 or 1,

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i^* > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } y_i^* \leq 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Consider the probability that  $y_i$  takes 1, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} P(y_i = 1) &= P(y_i^* > 0) = P(u_i > -X_i\beta) \\ &= P\left(\frac{u_i}{\sigma} > -X_i\frac{\beta}{\sigma}\right) = P(u_i^* > -X_i\beta^*) \\ &= 1 - P(u_i^* \leq -X_i\beta^*) = 1 - F(-X_i\beta^*) = F(X_i\beta^*) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where  $u_i^*$  and  $\beta^*$  are defined as

$$u_i^* = \frac{u_i}{\sigma}, \quad \beta^* = \frac{\beta}{\sigma} \quad (4)$$

The last equality of (3) comes from the **symmetry of distribution**  $u_i^*$ . Note that we estimate  $\beta^*$ , but we cannot estimate  $\beta$  and  $\sigma$  separately. The distribution function is defined as follows:

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(z)dz \quad (5)$$

### 2.1.2 Difference between Probit and Logit

Here, we shortly review the difference between probit and logit. The difference is below:

- Probit  $\rightarrow u_i^*$  is standard normal distribution, i.e.,  $u_i^* \sim N(0, 1)$

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right) dz, \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right). \quad (6)$$

- Logit  $\rightarrow u_i^*$  is logistic distribution,

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}, \quad f(x) = \frac{\exp(-x)}{(1 + \exp(-x))^2} \quad (7)$$

We can also consider the other distribution function for  $u_i^*$ . For example, we sometime encounter Gumbel distribution.

## 2.2 Likelihood Function

$y_i$  is the following Bernouli distribution  $f(y_i)$  as follows:

$$f(y_i) = (P(y_i = 1))^{y_i} (1 - P(y_i = 0))^{1-y_i}, \quad y_i = 0, 1, \quad (8)$$

$$= (F(X_i\beta^*))^{y_i} (1 - F(X_i\beta^*))^{1-y_i} \quad (9)$$

Then we obtain the likelihood function as follows:

$$L(\beta^*) = f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (F(X_i\beta^*))^{y_i} (1 - F(X_i\beta^*))^{1-y_i}. \quad (10)$$

Then log-likelihood function is :

$$\log L(\beta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n \{y_i \log F(X_i\beta^*) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - F(X_i\beta^*))\} \quad (11)$$

Solving the maximization problem of  $\log L(\beta^*)$  with respect to  $\beta^*$ , the FOC is:

$$\frac{\partial L(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^*} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{y_i X_i' f(X_i\beta^*)}{F(X_i\beta^*)} - \frac{(1 - y_i) X_i' f(X_i\beta^*)}{1 - F(X_i\beta^*)} \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' f(X_i\beta^*) (y_i - F(X_i\beta^*))}{F(X_i\beta^*) (1 - F(X_i\beta^*))} = 0 \quad (13)$$

SOC is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*'}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i f_i'(y_i - F_i)}{F_i(1 - F_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i f_i^2}{F_i(1 - F_i)} \quad (14)$$

$$- \sum_{i=1}^n X_i' f_i(y_i - F_i) \frac{X_i f_i(1 - 2F_i)}{(F_i(1 - F_i))^2} \quad (15)$$

(15) is negative definite. When we adopt Logit model, we can calculate further.

$$\frac{\partial L(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^*} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' f(X_i \beta^*)(y_i - F(X_i \beta^*))}{F(X_i \beta^*)(1 - F(X_i \beta^*))} \quad (16)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' \frac{\exp(-X_i \beta^*)}{(1 + \exp(-X_i \beta^*))^2} \left( y_i - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_i \beta^*)} \right)}{\frac{1}{1 + \exp(-X_i \beta^*)} \frac{\exp(-X_i \beta^*)}{1 + \exp(-X_i \beta^*)}} \quad (17)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n X_i' \left( y_i - \frac{1}{\exp(-X_i \beta^*)} \right) = 0. \quad (18)$$

For maximization, the method of scoring is given by

$$\beta^{*(j+1)} = \beta^{*(j)} + \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i (f_i^{(j)})^2}{F_i^{(j)}(1 - F_i^{(j)})} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' f_i^{(j)}(y_i - F_i^{(j)})}{F_i^{(j)}(1 - F_i^{(j)})}. \quad (19)$$

Variance of MLE  $\hat{\beta}^*$  is  $I(\hat{\beta}^*)^{-1}$  where

$$I(\hat{\beta}^*) = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\hat{\beta}^*)}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*'}} \right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i \hat{f}_i^2}{\hat{F}_i(1 - \hat{F}_i)}. \quad (20)$$

We can estimate  $\hat{\beta}^*$  and test the significance of  $\hat{\beta}^*$ .

### 2.3 Another Interpretation

This maximization problem is equivalent to the nonlinear least squares estimation problem from the following regression model:

$$y_i = F(X_i \beta^*) + u_i \quad (21)$$

where

$$u_i = y_i - F_i = \begin{cases} 1 - F_i & \text{w.p. } P(y_i = 1) \\ 0 - F_i & \text{w.p. } P(y_i = 0) \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

Therefore, the mean and variance of  $u_i$  are:

$$E(u_i) = \underbrace{(1 - F_i)}_{\text{value}} \underbrace{F_i}_{\text{prob.}} + \underbrace{(-F_i)}_{\text{value}} \underbrace{(1 - F_i)}_{\text{prob.}} = 0 \quad (23)$$

$$\sigma_i^2 = V(u_i) = E[u_i^2 - E(u_i)^2] = E(u_i^2) \quad (24)$$

$$= \underbrace{(1 - F_i)^2}_{\text{value}} \underbrace{F_i}_{\text{prob.}} + \underbrace{(-F_i)^2}_{\text{value}} \underbrace{(1 - F_i)}_{\text{prob.}} = F_i(1 - F_i). \quad (25)$$

Then the weighted least squares method solves the following minimization problem:

$$\min_{\beta^*} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - F(X_i\beta^*))^2}{\sigma_i^2} \quad (26)$$

Then, the first order condition becomes:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{2X_i' f(X_i\beta^*)(y_i - F(X_i\beta^*))}{\sigma_i^2} = 0 \quad (27)$$

This is equivalent to the first order condition of MLE.

## 2.4 example1 and 2

### 2.4.1 Random Utility Model

$$U_{1,i} = X_i\beta_{1,i} + \epsilon_{1,i}, \quad U_{2,i} = X_i\beta_{2,i} + \epsilon_{2,i} \quad (28)$$

We can observe

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } U_{1,i} > U_{2,i} \\ 0 & \text{if } U_{1,i} \leq U_{2,i} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

The latent equation is

$$y_i^* = X_i\beta + \epsilon_i \quad (30)$$

where

$$y_i^* \equiv U_{1,i} - U_{2,i} \quad (31)$$

$$\beta \equiv \beta_1 - \beta_2 \quad (32)$$

#### 2.4.2 questionnaire(アンケート)

$$y_i = F(X_i\beta) + \epsilon_i \quad (33)$$

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{yes} \\ 0 & \text{no} \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

$F(\cdot)$  is a distribution function of  $\epsilon_i$ (ex. normal dist, logistic dist) where

$$E[y_i] = P(y_i = 1) = F(X_i\beta) \quad (35)$$

Likelihood function is

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) \quad (36)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^n F_i^{y_i} (1 - F_i)^{1-y_i} \quad (37)$$

where  $f(y_i)$  is (35).  $\rightarrow$  MLE

#### 2.4.3 Ordered Probit or Logit Model

Ordered Probit or Logit Model is the case  $y_i$  is observed as  $1, 2, \dots, m$ .

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & y_i^* < a_1 \\ 2 & a_1 \leq y_i^* < a_2 \\ \vdots & \\ m & a_{m-1} \leq y_i^* \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

Probability density function of  $y_i$  is

$$f(y_i) = (P(y_i = 1))^{I_{\{i=1\}}} (P(y_i = 2))^{I_{\{i=2\}}} \dots (P(y_i = m))^{I_{\{i=m\}}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P(y_i = j) &= P(a_{j-1} \leq y_i^* < a_j) \\ &= P(y_i^* < a_j) - P(y_i^* < a_{j-1}) \\ &= P(u_i < a_j - X_i\beta) - P(u_i < a_{j-1} - X_i\beta) \\ &= F(a_j - X_i\beta) - F(a_{j-1} - X_i\beta) \end{aligned}$$

$$I_{\{i=j\}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .  $a_0 = -\infty, a_m = \infty$ .

So Likelihood function:

$$L(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) \quad (39)$$

can be constructed.  $\rightarrow$  MLE

#### 2.4.4 Multinomial logit model

This model is unordered choice model(ex.  $y_i = 1$ (menial),  $2$ (blue collar),  $3$ (white collar,  $4$ (professional)). The individual has  $m + 1$  choices, i.e.  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$P(y_i = j) = \frac{\exp(X_i\beta_j)}{\sum_{j=0}^m \exp(X_i\beta_j)} = P_{ij} \quad (40)$$

for  $\beta_0 = 0$ (The case of  $m = 1$  correspond to bivariate logit model).

Note that

$$\log \frac{P_{ij}}{P_{i0}} = X_i\beta_j. \quad (41)$$

The log likelihood function is

$$\log L(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^m I_{\{y_i=j\}} \log P_{ij} \quad (42)$$

## 2.5 Marginal effect(“When $x_i$ increase by 1%, how much $y_i$ would increase?” )

When we employ OLS method ( $y = x_1\beta_1 + \dots + x_k\beta_k + \epsilon$ ), marginal effect is “ $\beta_i\%$ ”. When we conduct probit or logit estimation, the result is not straightforward. The model is represented as follows:

$$y_i^* = P(y_i = 1) + u_i = F(X_i\beta^*) + u_i. \quad (43)$$

By differentiating this equation by  $X_{ik}$ ,  $k$ th independent variable of individual  $i$ , we obtain

$$\frac{dP(y_i = 1)}{dX_{ik}} = \frac{dF(X_i\beta^*)}{dX_{ik}} = \beta_k^* f(X_i\beta^*). \quad (44)$$

Where  $f(X_i\beta^*)$  is probability density function of  $X_i\beta$ .