

# Econometrics 2 (2018) TA session 7\*

Kenji Hatakenaka †

22 November 2018

## 目次

3.1	Panel model: Basics . . . . .	1
3.2	Fixed effect model . . . . .	2
3.3	Random effect model . . . . .	2
3.4	Hausman's Specification Error(特定化誤差) . . . . .	3
3.5	Choice of Fixed Effect Model or Random Effect Model . . . . .	5

## 3.1 Panel model: Basics

Consider a dataset with time and individual specified structure. Then the model is described as follows:

$$y_{it} = X_{it}\beta + v_i + u_{it}, \quad (1)$$

$$i: \text{individual } (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (2)$$

$$t: \text{time } (t = 1, 2, \dots, T) \quad (3)$$

- The error term  $u_{it}$  assume that
  - $E[u_{it}] = 0, V(u_{it}) = \sigma_u^2$
  - $Cov(u_{it}, u_{js}) = 0$  for  $i \neq j, t \neq s$ .
- The variable  $v_i = z_i\alpha$ 
  - vary across the individual  $i$ .

---

\* All comments welcome!

† E-mail: u626530i@ecs.osaka-u.ac.jp, Room 501

- $z_i$  may be observable(race, sex ...) or unobservable(skill, preference ...).

### 3.1.1 Difference between fixed effect model and random effect model

- $z_i$  is correlated with  $X_{i,t}$  and  $v_i = z_i\alpha$  is constant term across  $i$ .  
→ fixed effect model
- $z_i$  is uncorrelated with  $X_{i,t}$ .  
→ random effect model

## 3.2 Fixed effect model

$y : (nT \times 1)$ ,  $X : \text{regressor}(nT \times k)$ ,  $\alpha : \text{individual effect}(n \times 1)$ ,  $u : \text{error term}(nT \times 1)$

$$y = X\beta + D\alpha + u \quad (4)$$

where

$$D = (I_n \otimes 1_T)$$

Multiplying  $M_D = I_{nT} - D(D'D)^{-1}D'$  from left side of (6),

$$M_D y = M_D X\beta + M_D u \quad (5)$$

OLS estimator of  $\beta$  in (5) is fixed effect estimator,

$$\hat{\beta}_{FE} = (X' M_D X)^{-1} X' M_D y$$

Individual effect can be recovered as below

(→least squares dummy variable (LSDV) model)

$$\begin{aligned} y &= X\hat{\beta}_{fe} + D\hat{\alpha} + M_{(X,D)}u, \quad \hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}_{fe} \text{ is OLS estimator} \\ \implies D'y &= D'X\hat{\beta}_{fe} + D'D\hat{\alpha} \\ \implies \hat{\alpha} &= (D'D)^{-1}D'(y - X\hat{\beta}_{fe}) \end{aligned}$$

## 3.3 Random effect model

$$y_i = X_i\beta + \epsilon_i, \quad \epsilon_i = 1_T v_i + u_i \sim N(0, \Omega) \quad (6)$$

where

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 & \sigma_v^2 & \cdots & \sigma_v^2 \\ \sigma_v^2 & \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 & \cdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \cdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_v^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \sigma_u^2 + \sigma_v^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

Conditions of this model are

- $E(v_i|X) = E(u_{it}|X) = 0$  for all  $i$
- $E(v_i|X) = \sigma_v^2$
- $V(u_{it}|X) = \sigma_u^2$
- $Cov(v_i, v_j|X) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$
- $Cov(u_{it}, u_{js}|X) = 0$  for  $i \neq j$  and  $t \neq s$
- $Cov(v_i, u_{jt}|X) = 0$  for all  $i, j$  and  $t$

MLE of this model is

$$\hat{\beta}_{re} = (X'\Omega X)^{-1} X'\Omega y$$

This is equivalent to GLS(efficient estimator).

### 3.4 Hausman's Specification Error(特定化誤差)

Now we consider a regression model:

$$y = X\beta + u.$$

If  $E[u|X] = 0$ , OLSE( $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$ ) have unbiasedness and consistency.

- **unbiasedness:**

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\beta}] &= \beta + E[(X'X)^{-1}X'u] \\ &= \beta + E[(X'X)^{-1}X'E[u|X]] \end{aligned}$$

- **consistency:** OLSE can be written as below,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= (X'X)^{-1}X'y \\ &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u \\ &= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n}X'X\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n}X'u. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Suppose that

$$M_{xx} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \quad (9)$$

$$\rightarrow Q^{-1}. \quad (10)$$

If  $E[u|X] = 0$ ,  $E[X'u] = E[X'E[u|X]] = 0$ . So

$$M_{ux} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{matrix} x_i & u_i \\ (k \times 1) & (1 \times 1) \end{matrix} \quad (11)$$

$$\rightarrow E \begin{bmatrix} x & u \\ (k \times 1) & (1 \times 1) \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

$$= E[xE[u|x]] = 0.$$

However, if  $E[u|X] \neq 0$ , OLSE  $\hat{\beta}$  is biased and inconsistent. So we should check whether  $X$  is correlated with  $u$ .

→ **Hausman's Specification Error Test**

### 3.4.1 Hausman's Specification Error Test

The null and alternative hypotheses are:

$$H_0 : E[X'u] = 0 \text{ (Cov}(X, u) = 0 \text{ (} X \text{ is not correlated with } u\text{))}$$

$$H_1 : E[X'u] \neq 0 \text{ (} X \text{ is correlated with } u\text{)}$$

If

- “ $\hat{\beta}_0$ : consistent and efficient,  $\hat{\beta}_1$ : consistent and not efficient”  
 $\iff E[X'u] = 0$
- $\hat{\beta}_0$ : not consistent,  $\hat{\beta}_1$ : consistent  
 $\iff E[X'u] \neq 0$

are valid, you can rewrite the condition below:

$$H_0 : \hat{\beta}_0 \text{ : consistent and efficient, } \hat{\beta}_1 \text{ : consistent and not efficient}$$

$$H_1 : \hat{\beta}_0 \text{ : not consistent, } \hat{\beta}_1 \text{ : consistent}$$

Example

- $\hat{\beta}_0$  is OLSE, while  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is IV estimator such as 2SLS
- $\hat{\beta}_0$  is MLE or GLSE  $\tilde{\beta}$  in RE model, while  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is OLSE in FE model.

### 3.5 Choice of Fixed Effect Model or Random Effect Model

Review

- $v_i$  isn't correlated with  $X \rightarrow$  Random Effect Model  
 $\iff H_0 : E[X'(u + v)] = 0$
- $v_i$  is correlated with  $X \rightarrow$  Fixed Effect Model  
 $\iff H_1 : E[X'(u + v)] \neq 0$

#### 3.5.2 Fixed Effect Model or Random Effect Model

We set  $\hat{\beta}_{fe}$  :FE model and  $\hat{\beta}_{re}$  :RE model. Asymptotic properties of them are

$$\sqrt{T}\hat{\beta}_{re} \rightarrow N(0, V_0)$$

$$\sqrt{T}\hat{\beta}_{fe} \rightarrow N(0, V_1)$$

where  $V_0$  is Cramer-Rao bound ( $T$  is sample size).

Under  $H_0$ ,

$$V[\hat{\beta}_{fe} - \hat{\beta}_{re}] = V[\hat{\beta}_{fe}] - V[\hat{\beta}_{re}]. \quad (13)$$

Test statistic is

$$(\hat{\beta}_{fe} - \hat{\beta}_{re})' [V[\hat{\beta}_{fe}] - V[\hat{\beta}_{re}]]^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_{fe} - \hat{\beta}_{re}) \rightarrow \chi^2(k).$$

**[Proof of (13)]**

We prove that under  $H_0$ ,

$$C' \equiv cov(\hat{\beta}_{fe} - \hat{\beta}_{re}, \hat{\beta}_{re}) = 0 \quad (14)$$

Consider a new estimator

$$\hat{q} \equiv \hat{\beta}_{fe} - \hat{\beta}_{re}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = \hat{\beta}_{re} - rC'\hat{q}$$

Variance of  $\hat{\beta}_2$  is

$$V[\hat{\beta}_2] = V[\hat{\beta}_{re}] - 2rC'C + r^2C'V[\hat{q}]C$$

Difference between  $V[\hat{\beta}_2]$  and  $V[\hat{\beta}_{re}]$  is

$$F(r) = V[\hat{\beta}_2] - V[\hat{\beta}_{re}] = -2rC'C + r^2C'V[\hat{q}]C$$

By taking derivatives with respect to  $r$ ,

$$F'(r) = -2C'C + 2rC'V[\hat{q}]C$$

When  $r = 0$ ,  $F(0) = 0$  and  $F'(0) = -2C'C$  (negative semi-definite) From this result,  $F(r)$  is negative semi-definite for small  $r$ . So we can find that  $C'C = 0$  ( $C = 0$ )