

However, $E(y_i)$ indicates the ratio of the people who answer YES out of all the people, because of $E(y_i) = 1 \times P(y_i = 1) + 0 \times P(y_i = 0) = P(y_i = 1)$.

That is, $E(y_i)$ has to be between zero and one.

Therefore, it is not appropriate that $E(y_i)$ is approximated as $X_i\beta$.

The model is written as:

$$y_i = P(y_i = 1) + u_i,$$

where u_i is a discrete type of random variable, i.e., u_i takes $1 - P(y_i = 1)$ with probability $P(y_i = 1)$ and $-P(y_i = 1)$ with probability $1 - P(y_i = 1) = P(y_i = 0)$.

Consider that $P(y_i = 1)$ is connected with the distribution function $F(X_i\beta)$ as follows:

$$P(y_i = 1) = F(X_i\beta),$$

where $F(\cdot)$ denotes a distribution function such as normal dist., logistic dist., and so on. \rightarrow probit model or logit model.

The probability function of y_i is:

$$f(y_i) = F(X_i\beta)^{y_i}(1 - F(X_i\beta))^{1-y_i} \equiv F_i^{y_i}(1 - F_i)^{1-y_i}, \quad y_i = 0, 1.$$

The joint distribution of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n is:

$$f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_i^{y_i}(1 - F_i)^{1-y_i} \equiv L(\beta),$$

which corresponds to the likelihood function. \rightarrow MLE

Example 4: Ordered probit or logit model:

Consider the regression model:

$$y_i^* = X_i\beta + u_i, \quad u_i \sim (0, 1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

where y_i^* is unobserved, but y_i is observed as $1, 2, \dots, m$, i.e.,

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } -\infty < y_i^* \leq a_1, \\ 2, & \text{if } a_1 < y_i^* \leq a_2, \\ \vdots, & \\ m, & \text{if } a_{m-1} < y_i^* < \infty, \end{cases}$$

where a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m-1} are assumed to be known.

Consider the probability that y_i takes $1, 2, \dots, m$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}P(y_i = 1) &= P(y_i^* \leq a_1) = P(u_i \leq a_1 - X_i\beta) \\ &= F(a_1 - X_i\beta),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P(y_i = 2) &= P(a_1 < y_i^* \leq a_2) = P(a_1 - X_i\beta < u_i \leq a_2 - X_i\beta) \\ &= F(a_2 - X_i\beta) - F(a_1 - X_i\beta),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}P(y_i = 3) &= P(a_2 < y_i^* \leq a_3) = P(a_2 - X_i\beta < u_i \leq a_3 - X_i\beta) \\ &= F(a_3 - X_i\beta) - F(a_2 - X_i\beta),\end{aligned}$$

\vdots

$$\begin{aligned}P(y_i = m) &= P(a_{m-1} < y_i^*) = P(a_{m-1} - X_i\beta < u_i) \\ &= 1 - F(a_{m-1} - X_i\beta).\end{aligned}$$

Define the following indicator functions:

$$I_{i1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad I_{i2} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad \dots \quad I_{im} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i = m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

More compactly,

$$P(y_i = j) = F(a_j - X_i\beta) - F(a_{j-1} - X_i\beta),$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, where $a_0 = -\infty$ and $a_m = \infty$.

$$I_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i = j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Then, the likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L(\beta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \left(F(a_1 - X_i\beta) \right)^{I_{i1}} \left(F(a_2 - X_i\beta) - F(a_1 - X_i\beta) \right)^{I_{i2}} \cdots \left(1 - F(a_{m-1} - X_i\beta) \right)^{I_{im}} \\
 &= \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m \left(F(a_j - X_i\beta) - F(a_{j-1} - X_i\beta) \right)^{I_{ij}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $a_0 = -\infty$ and $a_m = \infty$. Remember that $F(-\infty) = 0$ and $F(\infty) = 1$.

The log-likelihood function is:

$$\log L(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m I_{ij} \log \left(F(a_j - X_i\beta) - F(a_{j-1} - X_i\beta) \right).$$

The first derivative of $\log L(\beta)$ with respect to β is:

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{-I_{ij} X_i' \left(f(a_j - X_i\beta) - f(a_{j-1} - X_i\beta) \right)}{F(a_j - X_i\beta) - F(a_{j-1} - X_i\beta)} = 0.$$

Usually, normal distribution or logistic distribution is chosen for $F(\cdot)$.

Example 5: Multinomial logit model:

The i th individual has $m + 1$ choices, i.e., $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$.

$$P(y_i = j) = \frac{\exp(X_i\beta_j)}{\sum_{j=0}^m \exp(X_i\beta_j)} \equiv P_{ij},$$

for $\beta_0 = 0$. The case of $m = 1$ corresponds to the bivariate logit model (binary choice).

Note that

$$\log \frac{P_{ij}}{P_{i0}} = X_i\beta_j$$

The log-likelihood function is:

$$\log L(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^m d_{ij} \ln P_{ij},$$

where $d_{ij} = 1$ when the i th individual chooses j th choice, and $d_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

Example 6: Nested logit model:

- (i) In the 1st step, choose YES or NO. Each probability is P_Y and $P_N = 1 - P_Y$.
- (ii) Stop if NO is chosen in the 1st step. Go to the next if YES is chosen in the 1st step.
- (iii) In the 2nd step, choose A or B if YES is chosen in the 1st step. Each probability is $P_{A|Y}$ and $P_{B|Y}$.

For simplicity, usually we assume the logistic distribution.

So, we call the nested logit model.

The probability that the i th individual chooses NO is:

$$P_{N,i} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(X_i\beta)}.$$

The probability that the i th individual chooses YES and A is:

$$P_{A|Y,i}P_{Y,i} = P_{A|Y,i}(1 - P_{N,i}) = \frac{\exp(Z_i\alpha)}{1 + \exp(Z_i\alpha)} \frac{\exp(X_i\beta)}{1 + \exp(X_i\beta)}.$$

The probability that the i th individual chooses YES and B is:

$$P_{B|Y,i}P_{Y,i} = (1 - P_{A|Y,i})(1 - P_{N,i}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(Z_i\alpha)} \frac{\exp(X_i\beta)}{1 + \exp(X_i\beta)}.$$

In the 1st step, decide if the i th individual buys a car or not.

In the 2nd step, choose A or B.

X_i includes annual income, distance from the nearest station, and so on.

Z_i are speed, fuel-efficiency, car company, color, and so on.

The likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} L(\alpha, \beta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n P_{N,i}^{I_{1i}} \left(((1 - P_{N,i})P_{A|Y,i})^{I_{2i}} ((1 - P_{N,i})(1 - P_{A|Y,i}))^{1-I_{2i}} \right)^{1-I_{1i}} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n P_{N,i}^{I_{1i}} (1 - P_{N,i})^{1-I_{1i}} \left(P_{A|Y,i}^{I_{2i}} (1 - P_{A|Y,i})^{1-I_{2i}} \right)^{1-I_{1i}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I_{1i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } i\text{th individual decides not to buy a car in the 1st step,} \\ 0, & \text{if the } i\text{th individual decides to buy a car in the 1st step,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{2i} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } i\text{th individual chooses A in the 2nd step,} \\ 0, & \text{if the } i\text{th individual chooses B in the 2nd step,} \end{cases}$$

Remember that $E(y_i) = F(X_i\beta^*)$, where $\beta^* = \frac{\beta}{\sigma}$.

Therefore, size of β^* does not mean anything.

The marginal effect is given by:

$$\frac{\partial E(y_i)}{\partial X_i} = f(X_i\beta^*)\beta^*.$$

Thus, the marginal effect depends on the height of the density function $f(X_i\beta^*)$.