

Let $\hat{\theta}$ be the GMM estimator which minimizes:

$$g(\theta; W)'S^{-1}g(\theta; W),$$

with respect to θ .

- Solve the following first-order condition:

$$\frac{\partial g(\theta; W)'}{\partial \theta}S^{-1}g(\theta; W) = 0,$$

with respect to θ . There are r equations and k parameters.

Computational Procedure:

Linearizing the first-order condition around $\theta = \hat{\theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial g(\theta; W)'}{\partial \theta}S^{-1}g(\theta; W) \\ &\approx \frac{\partial g(\hat{\theta}; W)'}{\partial \theta}S^{-1}g(\hat{\theta}; W) + \frac{\partial g(\hat{\theta}; W)'}{\partial \theta}S^{-1}\frac{\partial g(\hat{\theta}; W)}{\partial \theta'}(\theta - \hat{\theta}) \\ &= \hat{D}'S^{-1}g(\hat{\theta}; W) + \hat{D}'S^{-1}\hat{D}(\theta - \hat{\theta}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{D} = \frac{\partial g(\hat{\theta}; W)}{\partial \theta'}$, which is a $r \times k$ matrix.

Note that in the second term of the second line the second derivative is ignored and omitted.

Rewriting, we have the following equation:

$$\theta - \hat{\theta} = -(\hat{D}'S^{-1}\hat{D})^{-1}\hat{D}'S^{-1}g(\hat{\theta}; W).$$

Replacing θ and $\hat{\theta}$ by $\hat{\theta}^{(i+1)}$ and $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}$, respectively, we obtain:

$$\hat{\theta}^{(i+1)} = \hat{\theta}^{(i)} - (\hat{D}^{(i)'}S^{-1}\hat{D}^{(i)})^{-1}\hat{D}^{(i)'}S^{-1}g(\hat{\theta}^{(i)}; W),$$

where $\hat{D}^{(i)} = \frac{\partial g(\hat{\theta}^{(i)}; W)}{\partial \theta'}$.

Given S , repeat the iterative procedure for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, until $\hat{\theta}^{(i+1)}$ is equal to $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}$.

How do we derive the weight matrix S ?

- In the case where $h(\theta; w_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, are mutually independent, S is:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= \text{V}(\sqrt{n}g(\theta; W)) = n\text{E}(g(\theta; W)g(\theta; W)') \\
 &= n\text{E}\left(\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta; w_i)\right)\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(\theta; w_j)\right)'\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \text{E}(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_j)') \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{E}(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_i)'),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is a $r \times r$ matrix.

Note that

- (i) $\text{E}(h(\theta; w_i)) = 0$ for all i and accordingly $\text{E}(g(\theta; W)) = 0$,
- (ii) $g(\theta; W) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta; w_i) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(\theta; w_j)$,
- (iii) $\text{E}(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_j)') = 0$ for $i \neq j$.

The estimator of S , denoted by \hat{S} is given by: $\hat{S} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h(\hat{\theta}; w_i)h(\hat{\theta}; w_i)' \rightarrow S$.

- Taking into account serial correlation of $h(\theta; w_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, S is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= V\left(\sqrt{n}g(\theta; W)\right) = nE\left(g(\theta; W)g(\theta; W)'\right) \\ &= nE\left(\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta; w_i)\right)\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{j=1}^n h(\theta; w_j)\right)'\right) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n E\left(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_j)'\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n h(\theta; w_i)\right) = 0$.

Define $\Gamma_\tau = E\left(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_{i-\tau})'\right) < \infty$, i.e., $h(\theta; w_i)$ is stationary.

Stationarity:

- (i) $E\left(h(\theta; w_i)\right)$ does not depend on i ,
- (ii) $E\left(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_{i-\tau})'\right)$ depends on time difference τ .
 $\implies E\left(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_{i-\tau})'\right) = \Gamma_\tau$

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_j)') \\
&= \frac{1}{n} \left(\mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_1)h(\theta; w_1)') + \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_1)h(\theta; w_2)') + \cdots + \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_1)h(\theta; w_n)') \right. \\
&\quad \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_2)h(\theta; w_1)') + \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_2)h(\theta; w_2)') + \cdots + \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_2)h(\theta; w_n)') \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \left. \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_n)h(\theta; w_1)') + \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_n)h(\theta; w_2)') + \cdots + \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_n)h(\theta; w_n)') \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{n} (\Gamma_0 \quad + \Gamma'_1 \quad + \Gamma'_2 \quad + \cdots + \Gamma'_{n-1} \\
&\quad \Gamma_1 \quad + \Gamma_0 \quad + \Gamma'_1 \quad + \cdots + \Gamma'_{n-2} \\
&\quad \vdots \\
&\quad \Gamma_{n-1} + \Gamma_{n-2} + \Gamma_{n-3} + \cdots + \Gamma_0)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{n} \left(n\Gamma_0 + (n-1)(\Gamma_1 + \Gamma'_1) + (n-2)(\Gamma_2 + \Gamma'_2) + \cdots + (\Gamma_{n-1} + \Gamma'_{n-1}) \right) \\
&= \Gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-i}{n} (\Gamma_i + \Gamma'_i) = \Gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right) (\Gamma_i + \Gamma'_i) \\
&= \Gamma_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{i}{q+1}\right) (\Gamma_i + \Gamma'_i).
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Gamma'_\tau = \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_{i-\tau})h(\theta; w_i)') = \Gamma(-\tau)$, because $\Gamma_\tau = \mathbb{E}(h(\theta; w_i)h(\theta; w_{i-\tau})')$.

In the last line, n is replaced by $q+1$, where $q < n$.

We need to estimate Γ_τ as: $\hat{\Gamma}_\tau = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\tau+1}^n h(\hat{\theta}; w_i)h(\hat{\theta}; w_{i-\tau})'$.

As τ is large, $\hat{\Gamma}_\tau$ is unstable.

Therefore, we choose the q which is less than n .

S is estimated as:

$$\hat{S} = \hat{\Gamma}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{i}{q+1}\right) (\hat{\Gamma}_i + \hat{\Gamma}_i'),$$

\implies the Newey-West Estimator

Note that $\hat{S} \rightarrow S$, because $\hat{\Gamma}_\tau \rightarrow \Gamma_\tau$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Asymptotic Properties of GMM:

GMM is consistent and asymptotic normal as follows:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow N(0, (D'S^{-1}D)^{-1}),$$

where D is a $r \times k$ matrix, and \hat{D} is an estimator of D , defined as:

$$D = \frac{\partial g(\theta; W)}{\partial \theta'}, \quad \hat{D} = \frac{\partial g(\hat{\theta}; W)}{\partial \theta'}.$$

Proof of Asymptotic Normality:

Assumption 1: $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$

Assumption 2: $\sqrt{n}g(\theta; W) \rightarrow N(0, S)$, i.e., $S = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\sqrt{n}g(\theta; W))$.

The first-order condition of GMM is:

$$\frac{\partial g(\theta; W)'}{\partial \theta} S^{-1} g(\theta; W) = 0.$$

The GMM estimator, denote by $\hat{\theta}$, satisfies the above equation.

Therefore, we have the following:

$$\frac{\partial g(\hat{\theta}; W)'}{\partial \theta} \hat{S}^{-1} g(\hat{\theta}; W) = 0.$$

Linearize $g(\hat{\theta}; W)$ around $\hat{\theta} = \theta$ as follows:

$$g(\hat{\theta}; W) = g(\theta; W) + \frac{\partial g(\bar{\theta}; W)}{\partial \theta'}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = g(\theta; W) + \bar{D}(\hat{\theta} - \theta),$$

where $\bar{D} = \frac{\partial g(\bar{\theta}; W)}{\partial \theta'}$, and $\bar{\theta}$ is between $\hat{\theta}$ and θ .

⇒ **Theorem of Mean Value** (平均値の定理)

Substituting the linear approximation at $\hat{\theta} = \theta$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \hat{D}' \hat{S}^{-1} g(\hat{\theta}; W) \\ &= \hat{D}' \hat{S}^{-1} (g(\theta; W) + \bar{D}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)) \\ &= \hat{D}' \hat{S}^{-1} g(\theta; W) + \hat{D}' \hat{S}^{-1} \bar{D}(\hat{\theta} - \theta), \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as:

$$\hat{\theta} - \theta = -(\hat{D}' \hat{S}^{-1} \bar{D})^{-1} \hat{D}' \hat{S}^{-1} g(\theta; W).$$

Note that $\bar{D} = \frac{\partial g(\bar{\theta}; W)}{\partial \theta'}$, where $\bar{\theta}$ is between $\hat{\theta}$ and θ .

From Assumption 1, $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$ implies $\bar{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$

Therefore,

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) = -(\hat{D}'\hat{S}^{-1}\bar{D})^{-1}\hat{D}'S^{-1} \times \sqrt{ng}(\theta; W).$$

Accordingly , the GMM estimator $\hat{\theta}$ has the following asymptotic distribution:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow N(0, (D'S^{-1}D)^{-1}).$$

Note that $\hat{D} \rightarrow D$, $\bar{D} \rightarrow D$, $\hat{S} \rightarrow S$ and Assumption 2 are utilized.

Computational Procedure:

(1) Compute $\hat{S}^{(i)} = \hat{\Gamma}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^q \left(1 - \frac{i}{q+1}\right) (\hat{\Gamma}_i + \hat{\Gamma}'_i)$, where $\hat{\Gamma}_\tau = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=\tau+1}^n h(\hat{\theta}; w_i) h(\hat{\theta}; w_{i-\tau})'$.
 q is set by a researcher.

(2) Use the following iterative procedure:

$$\hat{\theta}^{(i+1)} = \hat{\theta}^{(i)} - (\hat{D}^{(i)'} \hat{S}^{(i-1)} \hat{D}^{(i)})^{-1} \hat{D}^{(i)'} \hat{S}^{(i-1)} g(\hat{\theta}^{(i)}; W).$$

(3) Repeat (1) and (2) until $\hat{\theta}^{(i+1)}$ is equal to $\hat{\theta}^{(i)}$.

In (2), remember that when S is given we take the following iterative procedure:

$$\hat{\theta}^{(i+1)} = \hat{\theta}^{(i)} - (\hat{D}^{(i)'} S^{-1} \hat{D}^{(i)})^{-1} \hat{D}^{(i)'} S^{-1} g(\hat{\theta}^{(i)}; W),$$

where $\hat{D}^{(i)} = \frac{\partial g(\hat{\theta}^{(i)}; W)}{\partial \theta'}$. S is replaced by $\hat{S}^{(i)}$.

- If the assumption $E(h(\theta; w)) = 0$ is violated, the GMM estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is no longer consistent.

Therefore, we need to check if $E(h(\theta; w)) = 0$.

From Assumption 2, note as follows:

$$J = \left(\sqrt{ng}(\hat{\theta}; W) \right)' \hat{S}^{-1} \left(\sqrt{ng}(\hat{\theta}; W) \right) \longrightarrow \chi^2(r - k),$$

which is called Hansen's J test.

Because of r equations and k parameters, the degree of freedom is given by $r - k$.

If J is small enough, we can judge that the specified model is correct.

Testing Hypothesis:

Remember that the GMM estimator $\hat{\theta}$ has the following asymptotic distribution:

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \longrightarrow N(0, (D'S^{-1}D)^{-1}).$$

Consider testing the following null and alternative hypotheses:

- The null hypothesis: $H_0 : R(\theta) = 0$,
- The alternative hypothesis: $H_1 : R(\theta) \neq 0$,

where $R(\theta)$ is a $p \times 1$ vector function for $p \leq k$.

p denotes the number of restrictions.

$R(\theta)$ is linearized as: $R(\hat{\theta}) = R(\theta) + R_{\bar{\theta}}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$, where $R_{\bar{\theta}} = \frac{\partial R(\bar{\theta})}{\partial \theta'}$, which is a $p \times k$ matrix.

Note that $\bar{\theta}$ is between $\hat{\theta}$ and θ . If $\hat{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$, then $\bar{\theta} \rightarrow \theta$ and $R_{\bar{\theta}} \rightarrow R_{\theta}$.

Under the null hypothesis $R(\theta) = 0$, we have $R(\hat{\theta}) = R_{\bar{\theta}}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$, which implies that the distribution of $R(\hat{\theta})$ is equivalent to that of $R_{\bar{\theta}}(\hat{\theta} - \theta)$.

The distribution of $\sqrt{n}R(\hat{\theta})$ is given by:

$$\sqrt{n}R(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{n}R_{\bar{\theta}}(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \rightarrow N(0, R_{\theta}(D'S^{-1}D)^{-1}R'_{\theta}).$$

Therefore, under the null hypothesis, we have the following distribution:

$$nR(\hat{\theta})(R_{\theta}(D'S^{-1}D)^{-1}R'_{\theta})^{-1}R(\hat{\theta})' \rightarrow \chi^2(p).$$

Practically, replacing θ by $\hat{\theta}$ in R_{θ} , D and S , we use the following test statistic:

$$nR(\hat{\theta})(R_{\hat{\theta}}(\hat{D}'\hat{S}^{-1}\hat{D})^{-1}R'_{\hat{\theta}})^{-1}R(\hat{\theta})' \rightarrow \chi^2(p).$$

\Rightarrow Wald type test

Examples of $h(\theta; w)$:

1. OLS:

Regression Model: $y_i = x_i\beta + \epsilon_i$, $E(x_i'\epsilon_i) = 0$

$h(\theta; w_i)$ is taken as:

$$h(\theta; w_i) = x_i'(y_i - x_i\beta).$$

2. IV (Instrumental Variable, 操作变数法):

Regression Model: $y_i = x_i\beta + \epsilon_i$, $E(x_i'\epsilon_i) \neq 0$, $E(z_i'\epsilon_i) = 0$

$h(\theta; w_i)$ is taken as:

$$h(\theta; w_i) = z_i'(y_i - x_i\beta),$$

where z_i is a vector of instrumental variables.

When z_i is a $1 \times k$ vector, the GMM of β is equivalent to the instrumental variable (IV) estimator.

When z_i is a $1 \times r$ vector for $r > k$, the GMM of β is equivalent to the two-stage least squares (2SLS) estimator.

3. NLS (Nonlinear Least Squares, 非線形最小二乘法):

Regression Model: $f(y_i, x_i, \beta) = \epsilon_i$, $E(x_i' \epsilon_i) \neq 0$, $E(z_i' \epsilon_i) = 0$

$h(\theta; w_i)$ is taken as:

$$h(\theta; w_i) = z_i' f(y_i, x_i, \beta)$$

where z_i is a vector of instrumental variables.

Example: Demand function using STATA

二人以上の世帯のうち勤労者世帯（全国）

year
y = 実収入（一月当たり，実質データ）
q1 = 穀類支出額（一年当たり，実質データ）
p1 = 穀類価格（相対価格=穀類CPI / 総合CPI）
p2 = 魚介類価格（相対価格=魚介類CPI / 総合CPI）
p3 = 肉類価格（相対価格=肉類CPI / 総合CPI）

| year | y | q1 | p1 | p2 | p3 |
|------|--------|--------|----------|----------|----------|
| 2000 | 567865 | 7087.0 | 1.043390 | 0.884965 | 0.818365 |
| 2001 | 561722 | 6993.1 | 1.032520 | 0.886179 | 0.822154 |
| 2002 | 553768 | 6934.4 | 1.031800 | 0.891282 | 0.834872 |
| 2003 | 539928 | 6816.8 | 1.050410 | 0.876543 | 0.843621 |
| 2004 | 547006 | 6651.6 | 1.089510 | 0.865226 | 0.868313 |
| 2005 | 541367 | 6615.8 | 1.020640 | 0.862745 | 0.887513 |
| 2006 | 540863 | 6523.7 | 1.000000 | 0.878601 | 0.891975 |
| 2007 | 543994 | 6680.5 | 0.994856 | 0.886831 | 0.908436 |
| 2008 | 541821 | 6494.7 | 1.043610 | 0.894523 | 0.932049 |
| 2009 | 533154 | 6477.3 | 1.066870 | 0.898148 | 0.934156 |
| 2010 | 539577 | 6458.2 | 1.040420 | 0.889119 | 0.924352 |
| 2011 | 529750 | 6448.4 | 1.025960 | 0.894081 | 0.925234 |
| 2012 | 538988 | 6377.6 | 1.057170 | 0.904366 | 0.917879 |
| 2013 | 542018 | 6360.7 | 1.047620 | 0.909938 | 0.916149 |
| 2014 | 523953 | 6174.6 | 1.016130 | 0.971774 | 0.960685 |
| 2015 | 525669 | 6268.0 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 | 1.000000 |
| 2016 | 527501 | 6244.8 | 1.018020 | 1.019020 | 1.017020 |

2017 531693 6106.6 1.027890 1.066730 1.025900

```
. tsset year
      time variable: year, 2000 to 2017
            delta: 1 unit
```

```
. reg q1 y p1 p2 p3 if year>2000.5
```

| Source | SS | df | MS | Number of obs | = | 17 |
|-------------|------------|----|------------|---------------|---|--------|
| -----+----- | | | | F(4, 12) | = | 25.83 |
| Model | 913640.443 | 4 | 228410.111 | Prob > F | = | 0.0000 |
| Residual | 106100.077 | 12 | 8841.67308 | R-squared | = | 0.8960 |
| -----+----- | | | | Adj R-squared | = | 0.8613 |
| Total | 1019740.52 | 16 | 63733.7825 | Root MSE | = | 94.03 |

| q1 | Coef. | Std. Err. | t | P> t | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-------|-------|----------------------|-----------|
| -----+----- | | | | | | |
| y | .0067843 | .0045443 | 1.49 | 0.161 | -.003117 | .0166856 |
| p1 | -1128.834 | 998.7698 | -1.13 | 0.280 | -3304.966 | 1047.299 |
| p2 | 356.8095 | 806.2301 | 0.44 | 0.666 | -1399.815 | 2113.434 |
| p3 | -3442.221 | 1130.078 | -3.05 | 0.010 | -5904.448 | -979.9931 |
| _cons | 6850.563 | 3179.316 | 2.15 | 0.052 | -76.57278 | 13777.7 |
| -----+----- | | | | | | |

```
. gmm (q1-{b0}-{b1}*y-{b2}*p1-{b3}*p2-{b4}*p3) if year>2000.5, instruments(y p1
> p2 p3)
```

Step 1

Iteration 0: GMM criterion $Q(b) = 42400764$
 Iteration 1: GMM criterion $Q(b) = 6.781e-12$
 Iteration 2: GMM criterion $Q(b) = 6.781e-12$ (backed up)

Step 2

Iteration 0: GMM criterion $Q(b) = 1.966e-15$
 Iteration 1: GMM criterion $Q(b) = 1.963e-15$ (backed up)
 convergence not achieved

The Gauss-Newton stopping criterion has been met but missing standard errors indicate some of the parameters are not identified.

GMM estimation

Number of parameters = 5
 Number of moments = 5
 Initial weight matrix: Unadjusted Number of obs = 17
 GMM weight matrix: Robust

| | Coef. | Robust Std. Err. | z | P> z | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|-----|-----------|------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| /b0 | 6850.563 | 17645.71 | 0.39 | 0.698 | -27734.4 | 41435.53 |
| /b1 | .0067843 | .0282325 | 0.24 | 0.810 | -.0485504 | .062119 |
| /b2 | -1128.834 | 1057.915 | -1.07 | 0.286 | -3202.309 | 944.6415 |
| /b3 | 356.8095 | 1565.86 | 0.23 | 0.820 | -2712.219 | 3425.838 |
| /b4 | -3442.221 | 5085.561 | -0.68 | 0.498 | -13409.74 | 6525.296 |

Instruments for equation 1: y p1 p2 p3 _cons
Warning: convergence not achieved

```
. gmm (q1-{b0}-{b1}*y-{b2}*p1-{b3}*p2-{b4}*p3) if year>2000.5, instruments(p1 p2  
> p3 1.p1 1.p2 1.p3)
```

Step 1

```
Iteration 0: GMM criterion Q(b) = 42404066  
Iteration 1: GMM criterion Q(b) = 2790.3146  
Iteration 2: GMM criterion Q(b) = 2790.3146
```

Step 2

```
Iteration 0: GMM criterion Q(b) = .3201826  
Iteration 1: GMM criterion Q(b) = .2469289  
Iteration 2: GMM criterion Q(b) = .2469289
```

GMM estimation

```
Number of parameters = 5  
Number of moments = 7  
Initial weight matrix: Unadjusted Number of obs = 17  
GMM weight matrix: Robust
```

| | Coef. | Robust Std. Err. | z | P> z | [95% Conf. Interval] | |
|-----|-----------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| /b0 | -1192.466 | 4669.012 | -0.26 | 0.798 | -10343.56 | 7958.63 |
| /b1 | .0186312 | .0067682 | 2.75 | 0.006 | .0053657 | .0318967 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|
| /b2 | -1016.864 | 780.979 | -1.30 | 0.193 | -2547.554 | 513.8271 |
| /b3 | -905.5585 | 598.0885 | -1.51 | 0.130 | -2077.79 | 266.6734 |
| /b4 | -499.8064 | 1147.985 | -0.44 | 0.663 | -2749.815 | 1750.202 |

Instruments for equation 1: p1 p2 p3 L.p1 L.p2 L.p3 _cons

. estat overid

Test of overidentifying restriction:

Hansen's J $\chi^2(2) = 4.19779$ (p = 0.1226)