

## 7.11 ARCH and GARCH Models

Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (ARCH)

Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity (GARCH)

### 1. ARCH ( $p$ ) Model

$$\epsilon_t | \epsilon_{t-1}, \epsilon_{t-2}, \dots, \epsilon_1 \sim N(0, h_t),$$

where,

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \dots + \alpha_p \epsilon_{t-p}^2.$$

The unconditional variance of  $\epsilon_t$  is:

$$\sigma_\epsilon^2 = \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - \dots - \alpha_p}$$

### 2. GARCH ( $p, q$ ) Model

$$\epsilon_t | \epsilon_{t-1}, \epsilon_{t-2}, \dots, \epsilon_1 \sim N(0, h_t),$$

where

$$h_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2 + \cdots + \alpha_p \epsilon_{t-p}^2 + \beta_1 h_{t-1} + \cdots + \beta_q h_{t-q}.$$

### 3. Application to OLS (Case of ARCH(1) Model):

$$y_t = x_t \beta + \epsilon_t, \quad \epsilon_t | \epsilon_{t-1}, \epsilon_{t-2}, \dots, \epsilon_1 \sim N(0, \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2).$$

The joint density of  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_T$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} f(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_T) &= f(\epsilon_1) \prod_{t=2}^T f(\epsilon_t | \epsilon_{t-1}, \dots, \epsilon_1) \\ &= (2\pi)^{-1/2} \left( \frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_1} \right)^{-1/2} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2\alpha_0/(1 - \alpha_1)} \epsilon_1^2 \right) \\ &\quad \times (2\pi)^{-(T-1)/2} \prod_{t=2}^T (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2)^{-1/2} \exp\left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^T \frac{\epsilon_t^2}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \epsilon_{t-1}^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The log-likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \log L(\beta, \alpha_0, \alpha_1; y_1, \dots, y_T) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{\alpha_0}{1 - \alpha_1}\right) - \frac{1}{2\alpha_0/(1 - \alpha_1)} (y_1 - x_1\beta)^2 \\ & \quad - \frac{T-1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^T \log(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1(y_{t-1} - x_{t-1}\beta)^2) \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=2}^T \frac{(y_t - x_t\beta)^2}{\alpha_0 + \alpha_1(y_{t-1} - x_{t-1}\beta)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Obtain  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta$  such that the log-likelihood function is maximized.

$\alpha_0 > 0$  and  $\alpha_1 > 0$  have to be satisfied.

These two conditions are explicitly included, when the model is modified to:

$$E(\epsilon_t^2 | \epsilon_{t-1}, \epsilon_{t-2}, \dots, \epsilon_1) = \alpha_0^2 + \alpha_1^2 \epsilon_{t-1}^2.$$

## Testing the ARCH(1) Effect:

- (a) Estimate  $y_t = x_t\beta + u_t$  by OLS, and compute  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\hat{u}_t = y_t - x_t\hat{\beta}$ .
- (b) Estimate  $\hat{u}_t^2 = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1\hat{u}_{t-1}^2$  by OLS. If  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  is significant, there is the ARCH(1) effect in the error term.

This test corresponds to LM test.

## Example: GARCH(1,1) Model

```
. arch sdex l.sdex l2.sdex, arch(1) garch(1)
(setting optimization to BHHH)
Iteration 0:   log likelihood = -5089.3558
Iteration 1:   log likelihood = -5086.7468
.....
Iteration 22:  log likelihood = -5064.9328   (backed up)
Iteration 23:  log likelihood = -5064.9328
ARCH family regression
```

Sample: 16 - 516  
 Distribution: Gaussian  
 Log likelihood = -5064.933

Number of obs = 501  
 Wald chi2(2) = 225.19  
 Prob > chi2 = 0.0000

		Coef.	OPG Std. Err.	z	P> z	[95% Conf. Interval]	
sdex							
	sdex						
	L1.	-.6357273	.0426939	-14.89	0.000	-.7194059	-.5520488
	L2.	-.370862	.0466222	-7.95	0.000	-.4622398	-.2794842
	_cons	-55.28043	261.2057	-0.21	0.832	-567.2341	456.6733
ARCH							
	arch						
	L1.	.041632	.0123474	3.37	0.001	.0174317	.0658324
	garch						
	L1.	.9526041	.0148639	64.09	0.000	.9234715	.9817367
	_cons	312143.8	227564.3	1.37	0.170	-133873.9	758161.6

## 8 Vector Autoregressive (VAR) Model – Causality, Impulse Response Function and etc

Vector Autoregressive Process:

$$y_t = \mu + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t,$$

where

$$y_t : k \times 1, \quad \mu : k \times 1, \quad \epsilon_t : k \times 1, \quad \phi_i : k \times k.$$

Rewriting the above equation,

$$\phi(L)y_t = \mu + \epsilon_t,$$

where  $\phi(L) = I_k - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \cdots - \phi_p L^p$ .

## VAR(1) Model:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad (I_k - \phi_1 L)y_t = \epsilon_t.$$

When  $y_t$  is stationary, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= (I_k - \phi_1 L)^{-1} \epsilon_t \\ &= (I_k + \phi_1 L + \phi_1^2 L^2 + \phi_1^3 L^3 + \dots) \epsilon_t \\ &= \epsilon_t + \phi_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \phi_1^2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \phi_1^3 \epsilon_{t-3} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

VAR(1)=VMA( $\infty$ )

## VAR(2) Model:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \epsilon_t, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad (I_k - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2)y_{t-1} = \epsilon_t.$$

When  $y_t$  is stationary, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}y_{t-1} &= (I_k - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2)^{-1} \epsilon_t \\ &= \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

VAR(2)=VMA( $\infty$ )

**VAR(p) Model:**

$$y_t = \mu + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t,$$

i.e.,

$$(I_k - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \dots - \phi_p L^p) y_{t-1} = \epsilon_t.$$

When  $y_t$  is stationary, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}y_t &= (I_k - \phi_1 L - \phi_2 L^2 - \dots - \phi_p L^p)^{-1} \epsilon_t \\ &= \epsilon_t + \theta_1 \epsilon_{t-1} + \theta_2 \epsilon_{t-2} + \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{VAR}(p) = \text{VMA}(\infty)$$

## 8.1 Autocovariance Matrix and Autocorrelation Matrix

Let  $y_t$  be a  $k \times 1$  vector.

Autocovariance Function Matrix:

$$\Gamma(\tau) = E((y_t - \mu)(y_{t-\tau} - \mu)'), \quad \tau = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $E(y_t) = \mu$ .  $\Gamma(\tau)$  is a  $k \times k$  matrix.

$$\Gamma(\tau) = \Gamma(-\tau)'$$

Autocorrelation Function Matrix:

$$\rho(\tau) = D^{-1/2} \Gamma(\tau) D^{-1/2},$$

where the  $(i, j)$ th element of  $D$  is given by  $\gamma_{ii}(\tau) = V(y_{it})$  for  $i = j$  and zero otherwise.

$$\rho(\tau) = \rho(-\tau)'$$

## 8.2 Granger Causality Test (グレンジャー因果性テスト)

Consider the bivariate case:

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t} \\ y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11,1} & \phi_{12,1} \\ \phi_{21,1} & \phi_{22,1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t-1} \\ y_{2,t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{11,p} & \phi_{12,p} \\ \phi_{21,p} & \phi_{22,p} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t-p} \\ y_{2,t-p} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Unrestricted Model (Sum of Squared Residuals, denoted by  $SSR_1$ ):

$$y_{1,t} = \mu_1 + (\phi_{11,1} \quad \phi_{12,1}) \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t-1} \\ y_{2,t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + (\phi_{11,p} \quad \phi_{12,p}) \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t-p} \\ y_{2,t-p} \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon_1$$

$$H_0 : \phi_{12,1} = \phi_{12,2} = \cdots = \phi_{12,p} = 0$$

When  $H_0$  is correct, we say there is no causality from  $y_2$  to  $y_1$ .

⇒ Granger Causality Test.

Restricted Model (Sum of Squared Residuals, denoted by  $SSR_0$ ):

$$y_{1,t} = \mu_1 + (\phi_{11,1} \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t-1} \\ y_{2,t-1} \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + (\phi_{11,p} \quad 0) \begin{pmatrix} y_{1,t-p} \\ y_{2,t-p} \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon_{1t}$$

Asymptotically, we have the following distribution:

$$F = \frac{(SSR_0 - SSR_1)/p}{SSR_1/(T - 2p - 1)} \sim F(p, T - 2p - 1),$$

or

$$pF \sim \chi^2(p).$$