

# Econometrics I: Solutions of the homework #8

LU ANG\*

June 10, 2020

## Contents

### 1 Question 1

#### 1.1 (1)

We apply the Lagrange multiplier to calculate the restricted estimator. In order to minimize  $(y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$  with the restriction  $R\beta = r$ . We can write the Loss function as:

$$L = (y - X\tilde{\beta})'(y - X\tilde{\beta}) - 2\tilde{\lambda}'(R\tilde{\beta} - r)$$

Here  $\tilde{\beta}$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  are the estimators that minimize L. Then the F.O.C can be obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \tilde{\beta}} &= -2X'(y - X\tilde{\beta}) - 2R'\tilde{\lambda} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \tilde{\lambda}} &= -2(R\tilde{\beta} - r) = 0\end{aligned}$$

Solving the equation for  $\tilde{\beta}$  we have following expression:

$$\tilde{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y + (X'X)^{-1}R'\tilde{\lambda} = \hat{\beta} + (X'X)^{-1}R'\tilde{\lambda} \quad (1)$$

---

\*If you have any errors in handouts and materials, please contact me via lvang12@hotmail.com

Multiply  $R$  by both side we have following expression:

$$R\tilde{\beta} = R\hat{\beta} + R(X'X)^{-1}R'\tilde{\lambda}$$

Because we have the restriction  $R\tilde{\beta} = r$ , we substitute the Left side:

$$r = R\hat{\beta} + R(X'X)^{-1}R'\tilde{\lambda}$$

Thus we can solve  $\tilde{\lambda}$  as:

$$\tilde{\lambda} = (R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(r - R\hat{\beta})$$

Next we substitute  $\tilde{\lambda}$  back into equation (1) we can obtain:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta} + (X'X)^{-1}R'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(r - R\hat{\beta})$$

## 1.2 (2)

In the previous section we have learned that:

$$\frac{(R\hat{\beta} - r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r)/G}{(y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta})/(T - k)} \sim F(G, T - k)$$

Where  $G = Rank(R)$

Using  $\tilde{\beta} = \hat{\beta} + (X'X)^{-1}R'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(r - R\hat{\beta})$ , we can derive that:

$$(X'X)^{-1}R'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r) = \hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}$$

Multiply  $R$  by both sides we can have the following expression:

$$(R\hat{\beta} - r) = R(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})$$

Substitute this expression back into the numerator we can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}(R\hat{\beta} - r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r) &= (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'R'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}R(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}) \\ &= (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})\end{aligned}$$

Moreover the numerator is represented as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(y - X\tilde{\beta})'(y - X\tilde{\beta}) &= (y - X\hat{\beta} - X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}))'(y - X\hat{\beta} - X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})) \\ &= (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}) + (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})'X'X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) \\ &\quad - (y - X\hat{\beta})'X(\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta}) - (\tilde{\beta} - \hat{\beta})'X'(y - X\hat{\beta}) \\ &= (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}) + (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})\end{aligned}$$

where  $X'(y - X\hat{\beta}) = X'\hat{u} = 0$

Summarizing, we have following representation:

$$\begin{aligned}(R\hat{\beta} - r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r) &= (\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta})'X'X(\hat{\beta} - \tilde{\beta}) \\ &= (y - X\tilde{\beta})'(y - X\tilde{\beta}) - (y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta}) \\ &= \tilde{u}'\tilde{u} - \hat{u}'\hat{u}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have:

$$\frac{(R\hat{\beta} - r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r)/G}{(y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta})/(T - k)} = \frac{(\tilde{u}'\tilde{u} - \hat{u}'\hat{u})/G}{\hat{u}'\hat{u}/(T - k)} \sim F(G, T - k)$$

## 2 Question 2

### 2.1 (3)

We have the hypothesis as:

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$$

$$H_1 : \alpha_1 \neq 0 \text{ or } \alpha_2 \neq 0$$

The matrix form  $R\beta = r$ , where:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Notice in production function (2) does not contain the variables  $K_t$  and  $L_t$ , Therefore it satisfy our  $H_0$ , so that we can take production function (1) as our original regression and production function (2) as our restricted regression. i.e.  $G = \text{Rank}(R) = 2$  and  $T - k = (1997 - 1969) - 3 = 25$

Thus we have:

$$F = \frac{(\tilde{u}'\tilde{u} - \hat{u}'\hat{u})/G}{\hat{u}'\hat{u}/(T - k)} \sim F(2, 25)$$

where  $\tilde{u}$  is the residual from product function (2) and  $\hat{u}$  is the residual from product function (1). Recall that  $\hat{u}'\hat{u} = \hat{\sigma}_{(1)}^2(T - k_{(1)})$  and  $\tilde{u}'\tilde{u} = \hat{\sigma}_{(2)}^2(T - k_{(2)})$

Then the F scores is calculated as:

$$F = \frac{(0.00354801 \times 26 - 0.00141869 \times 25)/2}{0.00141869} = 20.0117$$

Under  $F \sim F(2, 25)$ , the test statistic at 1%, 5%, and 10% significance level are 5.57, 3.39, and 2.53, respectively. All are smaller than our F score. Thus, we should reject the null hypothesis.

## 2.2 (4)

In order to test whether the production function is homogeneous, we have the hypothesis as:

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$$

$$H_0 : \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \neq 1$$

The matrix form  $R\beta = r$ , where:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0 \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = (1)$$

Notice we can rewrite production function (2) as:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(Y_t/L_t) &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log(K_t/L_t) + u_t \\ \log Y_t - \log L_t &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log K_t - \beta_1 \log L_t + u_t \\ \log Y_t &= \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log K_t + (1 - \beta_1) \log L_t + u_t \end{aligned}$$

In this case if we view  $\beta_1$  as  $\alpha_1$  and  $(1 - \beta_1)$  as  $\alpha_2$ . it satisfy our  $H_0 : \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$ . Therefore we can take product function (1) as our original regression and product function (2) as our restricted regression. i.e.  $G = \text{Rank}(R) = 1$ ,  $T - k = 28 - 3 = 25$

Thus we have:

$$F = \frac{(\tilde{u}'\tilde{u} - \hat{u}'\hat{u})/G}{\hat{u}'\hat{u}/(T - k)} \sim F(1, 25)$$

Then the F scores is calculated as:

$$F = \frac{(0.00354801 \times 26 - 0.00141869 \times 25)}{0.00141869} = 40.0235$$

Under  $F \sim F(1, 25)$ , the test statistic at 1%, 5%, and 10% significance level are 7.77, 4.24, and 2.92, respectively. All are smaller than our F score. Thus, we should reject the null hypothesis.

## 2.3 (5)

In order to test whether there are structural changes, we have the hypothesis as:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : \gamma_3 &= \gamma_4 = \gamma_5 = 0 \\ H_1 : \gamma_3 &\neq 0 \text{ or } \gamma_4 \neq 0 \text{ or } \gamma_5 \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

the matrix form  $R\beta = r$ , where:

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_1 \\ \gamma_2 \\ \gamma_3 \\ \gamma_4 \\ \gamma_5 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case we consider product function (3) as our original regression and product function (1) as our restricted regression. i.e.  $G = \text{Rank}(R) = 3$ ,  $T - k = 28 - 6 = 22$

Thus we have:

$$F = \frac{(\tilde{u}'\tilde{u} - \hat{u}'\hat{u})/G}{\hat{u}'\hat{u}/(T - k)} \sim F(3, 22)$$

Where  $\tilde{u}$  is the residual from product function (1) and  $\hat{u}$  is the residual from product function (3). Then the F scores is calculated as:

$$F = \frac{(0.00141869 \times 25 - 0.00145010 \times 22)/3}{0.00145010} = 0.8194$$

Under  $F \sim F(3, 22)$ , the test statistic at 1%, 5%, and 10% significance level are 4.82, 3.05, and 2.35, respectively. All are greater than our F score. Thus, we cannot reject the null hypothesis.