

#### 4. Resolution for Spurious Regression:

Suppose that  $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$  is a spurious regression.

(1) Estimate  $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + \phi y_{1,t-1} + \delta y_{2,t-1} + u_t$ .

Then,  $\hat{\gamma}_T$  is  $\sqrt{T}$ -consistent, and the  $t$  test statistic goes to the standard normal distribution under  $H_0 : \gamma = 0$ .

(2) Estimate  $\Delta y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' \Delta y_{2,t} + u_t$ . Then,  $\hat{\alpha}_T$  and  $\hat{\beta}_T$  are  $\sqrt{T}$ -consistent, and the  $t$  test and  $F$  test make sense.

(3) Estimate  $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$  by the Cochrane-Orcutt method, assuming that  $u_t$  is the first-order serially correlated error.

Usually, choose (2).

However, there are two exceptions.

(i) The true value of  $\phi$  is not one, i.e., less than one.

(ii)  $y_{1,t}$  and  $y_{2,t}$  are the cointegrated processes.

In these two cases, taking the first difference leads to the misspecified regression.

## 5. Cointegrating Vector:

Suppose that each element of  $y_t$  is  $I(1)$  and that  $a'y_t$  is  $I(0)$ .

$a$  is called a **cointegrating vector** (共和分ベクトル), which is not unique.

Set  $z_t = a'y_t$ , where  $z_t$  is scalar, and  $a$  and  $y_t$  are  $g \times 1$  vectors.

For  $z_t \sim I(0)$  (i.e., stationary) ,

$$T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T z_t^2 = T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T (a'y_t)^2 \rightarrow E(z_t^2).$$

For  $z_t \sim I(1)$  (i.e., nonstationary, i.e.,  $a$  is not a cointegrating vector),

$$T^{-2} \sum_{t=1}^T (a'y_t)^2 \rightarrow \lambda^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr,$$

where  $W(r)$  denotes a standard Brownian motion and  $\lambda^2$  indicates variance of  $(1 - L)z_t$ .

If  $a$  is not a cointegrating vector,  $T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^T z_t^2$  diverges.

$\implies$  We can obtain a consistent estimate of a cointegrating vector by minimizing  $\sum_{t=1}^T z_t^2$  with respect to  $a$ , where a normalization condition on  $a$  has to be imposed.

The estimator of the  $a$  including the normalization condition is super-consistent ( $T$ -consistent).

Stock, J.H. (1987) "Asymptotic Properties of Least Squares Estimators of Cointegrating Vectors," *Econometrica*, Vol.55, pp.1035 – 1056.

**Proposition:**

Let  $y_{1,t}$  be a scalar,  $y_{2,t}$  be a  $k \times 1$  vector, and  $(y_{1,t}, y'_{2,t})'$  be a  $g \times 1$  vector, where  $g = k + 1$ .

Consider the following model:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{1,t} &= \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + z_t^* \\ \Delta y_{2,t} &= u_{2,t}, \end{aligned} \quad \begin{pmatrix} z_t^* \\ u_{2,t} \end{pmatrix} = \Psi^*(L)\epsilon_t,$$

$\epsilon_t$  is a  $g \times 1$  i.i.d. vector with  $E(\epsilon_t) = 0$  and  $E(\epsilon_t \epsilon_t') = PP'$ .

OLSE is given by: 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} T & \sum y'_{2,t} \\ \sum y_{2,t} & \sum y_{2,t} y'_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \sum y_{1,t} \\ \sum y_{1,t} y_{2,t} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define  $\lambda_1^*$ , which is a  $g \times 1$  vector, and  $\Lambda_2^*$ , which is a  $k \times g$  matrix, as follows:

$$\Psi^*(1) P = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{*'} \\ \Lambda_2^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have the following results:

$$\begin{pmatrix} T^{1/2}(\hat{\alpha} - \alpha) \\ T(\hat{\gamma} - \gamma) \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \left( \Lambda_2^* \int W(r) dr \right)' \\ \Lambda_2^* \int W(r) dr & \Lambda_2^* \left( \int (W(r))(W(r))' dr \right) \Lambda_2^{*'} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where 
$$\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^{*'} W(1) \\ \Lambda_2^* \left( \int W(r) (dW(r))' \right) \lambda_1^* + \sum_{\tau=0}^{\infty} E(u_{2,t} z_{t+\tau}^*) \end{pmatrix}.$$

$W(r)$  denotes a  $g$ -dimensional standard Brownian motion.

- 1) OLSE of the cointegrating vector is consistent even though  $u_t$  is serially correlated.
- 2) The consistency of OLSE implies that  $T^{-1} \sum \hat{u}_t^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2$ .
- 3) Because  $T^{-1} \sum (y_{1,t} - \bar{y}_1)^2$  goes to infinity, a coefficient of determination,  $R^2$ , goes to one.

## 8.6 Testing Cointegration

### 8.6.1 Engle-Granger Test

$$y_t \sim I(1)$$

$$y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$$

- $u_t \sim I(0) \implies$  Cointegration
- $u_t \sim I(1) \implies$  Spurious Regression

Estimate  $y_{1,t} = \alpha + \gamma' y_{2,t} + u_t$  by OLS, and obtain  $\hat{u}_t$ .

Estimate  $\hat{u}_t = \rho \hat{u}_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta \hat{u}_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta \hat{u}_{t-2} + \dots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta \hat{u}_{t-p+1} + e_t$  by OLS.

#### ADF Test:

- $H_0 : \rho = 1$  (Spurious Regression)
- $H_1 : \rho < 1$  (Cointegration)

#### $\implies$ Engle-Granger Test

For example, see Engle and Granger (1987), Phillips and Ouliaris (1990) and Hansen (1992).

### Asymptotic Distribution of Residual-Based ADF Test for Cointegration

| # of Regressors,<br>excluding constant | (a) Regressors have no drift |       |       |       | (b) Some regressors have drift |       |       |       |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                        | 1%                           | 2.5%  | 5%    | 10%   | 1%                             | 2.5%  | 5%    | 10%   |
| 1                                      | -3.96                        | -3.64 | -3.37 | -3.07 | -3.96                          | -3.67 | -3.41 | -3.13 |
| 2                                      | -4.31                        | -4.02 | -3.77 | -3.45 | -4.36                          | -4.07 | -3.80 | -3.52 |
| 3                                      | -4.73                        | -4.37 | -4.11 | -3.83 | -4.65                          | -4.39 | -4.16 | -3.84 |
| 4                                      | -5.07                        | -4.71 | -4.45 | -4.16 | -5.04                          | -4.77 | -4.49 | -4.20 |
| 5                                      | -5.28                        | -4.98 | -4.71 | -4.43 | -5.36                          | -5.02 | -4.74 | -4.46 |

J.D. Hamilton (1994), *Time Series Analysis*, p.766.

## 8.6.2 Error Correction Representation

VAR( $p$ ) model:

$$y_t = \alpha + \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \phi_2 y_{t-2} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \epsilon_t,$$

where  $y_t$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\epsilon_t$  indicate  $g \times 1$  vectors for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ , and  $\phi_s$  is a  $g \times g$  matrix for  $s = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

Rewrite:

$$y_t = \alpha + \rho y_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta y_{t-2} + \cdots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1} + \epsilon_t,$$

where

$$\rho = \phi_1 + \phi_2 + \cdots + \phi_p,$$

$$\delta_s = -(\phi_{s+1} + \delta_{s+2} + \cdots + \phi_p), \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2, \dots, p-1.$$

Again, rewrite:

$$\Delta y_t = \alpha + \delta_0 y_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta y_{t-2} + \cdots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1} + \epsilon_t,$$

where

$$\delta_0 = \rho - I_g = -\phi(1),$$

for  $\phi(L) = I_g - \delta_1 L - \delta_2 L^2 - \cdots - \delta_p L^p$ .

If  $y_t$  has  $h$  cointegrating relations, we have the following error correction representation:

$$\Delta y_t = \alpha - BA'y_{t-1} + \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} + \delta_2 \Delta y_{t-2} + \cdots + \delta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1} + \epsilon_t,$$

where  $A'y_{t-1}$  is a stationary  $h \times 1$  vector (i.e.,  $h$   $I(0)$  processes), and  $B$  and  $A$  are  $g \times h$  matrices.

Note that  $\phi(1) = BA'$  for  $\phi(L) = I_g - \delta_1 L - \delta_2 L^2 - \cdots - \delta_p L^p$ .

Each row of  $A'$  denotes the cointegrating vector, i.e.,  $A'$  consists of  $h$  cointegrating vectors.



Suppose that  $\epsilon_t \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ . The log-likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} \log l(\alpha, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{p-1}, B|A) \\ &= -\frac{Tg}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{T}{2} \log |\Sigma| \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (\Delta y_t - \alpha + BA'y_{t-1} - \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} - \dots - \delta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1})' \Sigma^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (\Delta y_t - \alpha + BA'y_{t-1} - \delta_1 \Delta y_{t-1} - \dots - \delta_{p-1} \Delta y_{t-p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Given  $A$  and  $h$ , maximize  $\log l$  with respect to  $\alpha, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{p-1}, B$ .

Then, given  $h$ , how do we estimate  $A$ ?  $\implies$  Johansen (1988, 1991)

**(\*) Canonical Correlatoion (正準相關)**

$x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y' = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ , where  $n \leq m$ .

$$u = a'x = a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_nx_n,$$

$$v = b'y = b_1y_1 + b_2y_2 + \dots + b_my_m,$$

where  $V(u) = V(v) = 1$  and  $E(x) = E(y) = 0$  for simplicity.

Define:

$$V(x) = \Sigma_{xx}, \quad E(xy') = \Sigma_{xy}, \quad V(y) = \Sigma_{yy}, \quad E(yx') = \Sigma_{yx} = \Sigma'_{xy}.$$

The correlation coefficient between  $u$  and  $v$ , denoted by  $\rho$ , is:

$$\rho = \frac{\text{Cov}(u, v)}{\sqrt{V(u)}\sqrt{V(v)}} = a'\Sigma_{xy}b,$$

where  $V(u) = a'\Sigma_{xx}a = 1$  and  $V(v) = b'\Sigma_{yy}b = 1$ .

Maximize  $\rho = a'\Sigma_{xy}b$  subject to  $a'\Sigma_{xx}a = 1$  and  $b'\Sigma_{yy}b = 1$ .

The Lagrangian is:

$$L = a'\Sigma_{xy}b - \frac{1}{2}\lambda(a'\Sigma_{xx}a - 1) - \frac{1}{2}\mu(b'\Sigma_{yy}b - 1).$$

Take a derivative with respect to  $a$  and  $b$ .

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial a} = \Sigma_{xy}b - \lambda \Sigma_{xx}a = 0, \quad \frac{\partial L}{\partial b} = \Sigma'_{xy}a - \mu \Sigma_{yy}b = 0.$$

Using  $a' \Sigma_{xx}a = 1$  and  $b' \Sigma_{yy}b = 1$ , we obtain:

$$\lambda = \mu = a' \Sigma_{xy}b.$$

From the first equation, we obtain:

$$a = \frac{1}{\lambda} \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy}b,$$

which is substituted into the second equation as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda} \Sigma'_{xy} \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy}b - \lambda \Sigma_{yy}b = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$(\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma'_{xy} \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy} - \lambda^2 I_m)b = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$|\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma'_{xy} \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy} - \lambda^2 I_m| = 0.$$

The solution of  $\lambda^2$  is given by the maximum eigen value of  $\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma'_{xy} \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy}$ , and  $b$  is the corresponding eigen vector.

### Back to the Cointegration:

Estimate the following two regressions:

$$\Delta y_t = b_{1,0} + b_{1,1}\Delta y_{t-1} + b_{1,2}\Delta y_{t-2} + \cdots + b_{1,p-1}\Delta y_{t-p+1} + u_{1,t}$$

$$y_{t-1} = b_{2,0} + b_{2,1}\Delta y_{t-1} + b_{2,2}\Delta y_{t-2} + \cdots + b_{2,p-1}\Delta y_{t-p+1} + u_{2,t}$$

Obtain  $\hat{u}_{i,t}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  and  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ , and compute as follow:

$$\hat{\Sigma}_{11} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{1,t} \hat{u}'_{1,t}, \quad \hat{\Sigma}_{22} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{2,t} \hat{u}'_{2,t},$$
$$\hat{\Sigma}_{12} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_{1,t} \hat{u}'_{2,t}, \quad \hat{\Sigma}_{21} = \hat{\Sigma}'_{12}.$$

From  $\hat{\Sigma}_{22}^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}_{21} \hat{\Sigma}_{11}^{-1} \hat{\Sigma}_{12}$ , compute  $h$  biggest eigenvalues, denoted by  $\hat{\lambda}_1, \hat{\lambda}_2, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_h$ , and the corresponding eigen vectors, denoted by  $\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_h$ , where  $\hat{\lambda}_1 > \hat{\lambda}_2 > \dots > \hat{\lambda}_h$ ,

The estimate of  $A$ ,  $\hat{A}$ , is given by  $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_1, \hat{a}_2, \dots, \hat{a}_h)$ .

How do we obtain  $h$ ?

## 8.7 Testing the Number of Cointegrating Vectors

**Trace Test (トレース検定):**  $H_0 : \lambda_{h+1} = 0$  and  $H_1 : \lambda_h > 0$ .

$$2(\log l_1 - \log l_0) = -T \sum_{i=h+1}^g \log(1 - \hat{\lambda}_i) \rightarrow \text{tr}(Q),$$

where

$$Q = \left( \int_0^1 W(r) dW(r)' \right)' \left( \int_0^1 W(r) W(r)' dr \right)^{-1} \left( \int_0^1 W(r) dW(r)' \right).$$

**Trace Test for # of Cointegrating Relations**

| # of Random Walks ( $g - h$ ) | (a) Regressors have no drift |        |        |        | (b) Some regressors have drift |        |        |        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|                               | 1%                           | 2.5%   | 5%     | 10%    | 1%                             | 2.5%   | 5%     | 10%    |
| 1                             | 11.576                       | 9.658  | 8.083  | 6.691  | 6.936                          | 5.332  | 3.962  | 2.816  |
| 2                             | 21.962                       | 19.611 | 17.844 | 15.583 | 19.310                         | 17.299 | 15.197 | 13.338 |
| 3                             | 37.291                       | 34.062 | 31.256 | 28.436 | 35.397                         | 32.313 | 29.509 | 26.791 |
| 4                             | 55.551                       | 51.801 | 48.419 | 45.248 | 53.792                         | 50.424 | 47.181 | 43.964 |
| 5                             | 77.911                       | 73.031 | 69.977 | 65.956 | 76.955                         | 72.140 | 68.905 | 65.063 |

J.D. Hamilton (1994), *Time Series Analysis*, p.767.

**Largest Eigenvalue Test (最大固有値検定):**

$$H_0 : \lambda_{h+1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad H_1 : \lambda_h > 0.$$

$$2(\log l_1 - \log l_0) = -T \log(1 - \hat{\lambda}_{h+1}) \longrightarrow \text{maximum eigen value of } Q,$$

**Maximum Eigenvalue Test for # of Cointegrating Relations**

| # of Random Walks ( $g - h$ ) | (a) Regressors have no drift |        |        |        | (b) Some regressors have drift |        |        |        |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
|                               | 1%                           | 2.5%   | 5%     | 10%    | 1%                             | 2.5%   | 5%     | 10%    |
| 1                             | 11.576                       | 9.658  | 8.083  | 6.691  | 6.936                          | 5.332  | 3.962  | 2.816  |
| 2                             | 18.782                       | 16.403 | 14.595 | 12.783 | 17.936                         | 15.810 | 14.036 | 12.099 |
| 3                             | 26.154                       | 23.362 | 21.279 | 18.959 | 25.521                         | 23.002 | 20.778 | 18.697 |
| 4                             | 32.616                       | 29.599 | 27.341 | 24.917 | 31.943                         | 29.335 | 27.169 | 24.712 |
| 5                             | 38.858                       | 35.700 | 33.262 | 30.818 | 38.341                         | 35.546 | 33.178 | 30.774 |

J.D. Hamilton (1994), *Time Series Analysis*, p.768.