

Econometrics II's Final Exam.

Solution

Ryo Sakamoto
Royou Kiku

1 Consider the following regression model:

$$y = X\beta + u \quad u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_n),$$

where y and u denote $n \times 1$ vectors, β indicates a $k \times 1$ vector, and X represents a $n \times k$ matrix. The explanatory variable X is assumed to be independent of the error term u . Answer the following questions.

- (1) We estimate β by OLS (ordinary least squares method). Set up the optimization problem and derive the OLS estimator of β .

Solution:

The optimization problem is given by

$$\max_{\beta} S(\beta),$$

where $S(\beta) = (y - X\beta)'(y - X\beta)$. We denote the OLS estimator by $\hat{\beta}$. Then, the first order condition is:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\beta} S(\hat{\beta}) &= 0 \\ \iff 2X'(y - X\hat{\beta}) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solving this equation, we have the OLS estimator:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y.$$

- (2) We estimate β by MLE (maximization likelihood estimation method). Obtain the likelihood function and derive the ML estimator of β .

Solution:

The assumption $u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ implies that the error term u_i is independently and identically distributed for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then, the joint density of u_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_i, i = 1, \dots, n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(u_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{u_i^2}{2\sigma^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the change of variables method, we obtain the likelihood function $L(\theta)$:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (y_i - x_i\beta)^2 \right\},$$

where $\theta := (\beta', \sigma^2)' \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ indicates the parameter vector and x_i is a $1 \times k$ vector. Taking a logarithm, we have the log-likelihood function:

$$\log L(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\sigma^2) - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x_i\beta)^2.$$

Then, we consider the following optimization problem:

$$\max_{\theta} \log L(\theta).$$

Denoting the ML estimator by $\tilde{\theta} := (\tilde{\beta}', \tilde{\sigma}^2)$, the first order conditions are:

$$\nabla_{\theta} \log L(\tilde{\theta}) = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\beta} \log L(\tilde{\theta}) \\ \nabla_{\sigma^2} \log L(\tilde{\theta}) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Solving these conditions, we have the ML estimator: ¹

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\beta} &= (X'X)^{-1}X'y, \\ \tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n}(y - X\tilde{\beta})'(y - X\tilde{\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

- (3) We estimate β by MM (method of moment). Set up the problem and derive the MM estimator of β .

Solution:

Since X is independent of u , we have the following orthogonality condition:

$$\mathbb{E}[X'u] = 0.$$

Thus, the MM estimator $\bar{\beta}$ satisfies the following condition:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' u_i = 0 \iff \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i' (y_i - x_i \bar{\beta}) = 0.$$

Arranging this expression, we have the MM estimator:

$$\bar{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y.$$

¹It is sufficient to obtain the estimator of β . However, the first order conditions are the system of equations that the estimator must satisfy. Therefore, you need to show the first order conditions in terms of β and σ^2 .

2

Consider the following regression model:

$$y = X\beta + u \quad u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_n),$$

where y and u denote $n \times 1$ vectors, β indicates a $k \times 1$ vector, and X represents a $n \times k$ matrix. The explanatory variable X is assumed to be correlated with error term u . Answer the following questions.

- (4) Show that the OLS estimator, denoted by $\hat{\beta}$, is inconsistent.

Solution:

The OLS estimator is expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &= \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u \\ &= \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'x_i \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'u_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the Law of Large Numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'x_i &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E}[x'x] =: M_{xx}, \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'u_i &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E}[x'u] =: M_{xu} \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{xu} \neq 0$ since x_i is correlated with u_i . By the continuous mapping theorem, we have

$$\hat{\beta} = \beta + \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'x_i \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i'u_i \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \beta + M_{xx}^{-1}M_{xu} \neq \beta,$$

which concludes that $\hat{\beta}$ is inconsistent.

- (5) Suppose that Z (i.e., $n \times r$ matrix for $r > k$) is independent of u but it is highly correlated with X . Using the instrumental variable Z , derive the GMM (generalized method of moment) estimator, denoted by $\tilde{\beta}$.

Solution:

Since Z is independent of u , we have the orthogonality condition:

$$\mathbb{E}[Z'u] = 0.$$

And, its empirical counterpart is:

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i'(y_i - x_i\beta) = 0.$$

Since this is the case of an over identification, i.e., $r > k$, we solve the following minimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} &\min_{\beta} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i'(y_i - x_i\beta) \right]' W \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i'(y_i - x_i\beta) \right] \\ &= \min_{\beta} (y - X\beta)' ZWZ'(y - X\beta), \end{aligned}$$

where W is the inverse matrix of the variance-covariance matrix of $Z'(y - X\beta) = Z'u$, which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Z'u) &= \mathbb{E}[Z'uu'Z] \\ &= Z'\mathbb{E}[uu']Z \\ &= \sigma^2 Z'Z. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the problem becomes:

$$\min_{\beta} (y - X\beta)'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'(y - X\beta),$$

where we ignore σ^2 since it is a constant and does not affect a solution. We define as $S(\beta) := (y - X\beta)'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'(y - X\beta)$, then the first order condition is:

$$\nabla_{\beta} S(\tilde{\beta}) = 0.$$

Solving this condition, we obtain the GMM estimator:

$$\tilde{\beta} = [X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'X]^{-1} X'Z(Z'Z)^{-1}Z'y.$$

(6) Show that the GMM estimator $\tilde{\beta}$ is consistent and asymptotically normal.

Solution:

The GMM estimator $\tilde{\beta}$ is expressed as

$$\tilde{\beta} = \beta + \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i z_i \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i z_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i x_i \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i z_i \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i z_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i u_i$$

By the Law of Large Numbers, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i z_i &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E}[x'z] =: M_{xz}, \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i z_i &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E}[z'z] =: M_{zz}, \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i u_i &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E}[z'u] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By the continuous mapping theorem,

$$\tilde{\beta} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \beta + (M_{xz}M_{zz}^{-1}M'_{xz})^{-1} M_{xz}M_{zz}^{-1} \cdot 0 = \beta,$$

which concludes that the GMM estimator $\tilde{\beta}$ is a consistent estimator of β . Next, we will show the asymptotic normality of $\tilde{\beta}$. Arranging the expression above, we have:

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\beta} - \beta) = \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i z_i \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i z_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i x_i \right]^{-1} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x'_i z_i \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i z_i \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i u_i.$$

Note that the expectation and the variance of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i u_i$ are 0 and $\sigma^2 Z'Z$, respectively. Thus, by the Central Limit Theorem,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i u_i \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 M_{zz}).$$

Therefore, using the Law of Large Numbers, the continuous mapping theorem, and the Slutsky theorem, we obtain the asymptotic normality of $\tilde{\beta}$:

$$\sqrt{n} (\tilde{\beta} - \beta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 (M_{xz} M_{zz}^{-1} M'_{xz})^{-1}),$$

where the asymptotic variance-covariance matrix of $\sqrt{n} (\tilde{\beta} - \beta)$ is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var} \left(\sqrt{n} (\tilde{\beta} - \beta) \right) &\xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} (M_{xz} M_{zz}^{-1} M'_{xz})^{-1} M_{xz} M_{zz}^{-1} (\sigma^2 M_{zz}) M_{zz}^{-1} M'_{xz} (M_{xz} M_{zz}^{-1} M'_{xz})^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 (M_{xz} M_{zz}^{-1} M'_{xz})^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- (7) We need to choose either OLS or GMM. Explain how we choose one of the estimators.

Solution:

To decide which estimators we use, we need to test whether the orthogonality condition, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[Z'u] = 0$ is correct. The null and alternative hypotheses are:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \mathbb{E}[Z'u] = 0; \\ H_1 : \mathbb{E}[Z'u] \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Since the number of equations is r and that of parameter is k , the statistic below asymptotically follows a χ^2 distribution with $r - k$ degrees of freedom.

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i \hat{u}_i \right)' \left[\widehat{\text{Var}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i \hat{u}_i \right) \right]^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i \hat{u}_i \right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{d} \chi^2(r - k),$$

where $\hat{u}_i = y_i - X\tilde{\beta}$ and $\widehat{\text{Var}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i \hat{u}_i \right)$ is the estimator of $\text{Var} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n z'_i u_i \right)$. If we do not reject H_0 , we then choose the GMM estimator since the orthogonality condition is likely to be correct.

3

Consider the AR(1) model:

$$y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \quad \epsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2),$$

for $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$, where $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_T$ are mutually independent. Moreover, for simplicity, $y_0 = 0$ is assumed. Answer the following questions.

- (8) For $|\phi| < 1$, obtain the likelihood function in the innovation form.

Solution:

Using the Bayes' rule, the joint distribution of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T is written as

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T) &= f(y_T | y_{T-1}, \dots, y_1) f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{T-1}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &= f(y_1) \prod_{t=2}^T f(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1), \end{aligned}$$

where $f(y_1)$ denotes an unconditional distribution of y_1 and $f(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1)$ is a conditional one of y_t . Firstly, let us focus on the unconditional distribution. Using the initial condition $y_0 = 0$, we have $y_1 = \epsilon_1$. Since $\epsilon_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$, we apply the change of variables methods to obtain:²

$$f(y_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{y_1^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

Then, we turn to consider the conditional distribution. Again, by the change of variables method,

$$f(y_t | y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y_t - \phi y_{t-1})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\}.$$

Therefore, we obtain the likelihood function:

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{y_1^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \prod_{t=2}^T \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{(y_t - \phi y_{t-1})^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\},$$

where $\theta := (\phi, \sigma^2)' \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the parameter vector.

- (9) For $|\phi| < 1$, obtain the variance-covariance matrix of $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_T)'$. Next, obtain the likelihood function of y , based on the variance-covariance matrix of y .

Solution:

²Without the assumption $y_0 = 0$, the unconditional distribution of y_1 would be given by

$$f(y_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}}} \exp\left\{-\frac{y_1^2}{2 \frac{\sigma^2}{1-\phi^2}}\right\}.$$

Firstly, we will derive the variance-covariance matrix of y . The variance of y_t , $t \in \{2, \dots, T\}$, denoted by $\gamma(0)$, is:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(0) &= \text{Var}(y_t) \\ &= \text{Var}(\epsilon_t + \phi\epsilon_{t-1} + \phi^2\epsilon_{t-2} + \dots) \\ &= \sigma^2(1 + \phi^2 + \phi^4 + \dots) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, notice that we have the initial condition $y_0 = 0$, which implies $y_1 = \epsilon_1$. Thus, for $t = 1$, we have:

$$\text{Var}(y_1) = \text{Var}(\epsilon_1) = \sigma^2.$$

The autocovariance, denoted by $\gamma(\tau)$ for $\tau = 1, 2, \dots$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(\tau) &= \mathbb{E}[(y_t - \mu)(y_{t-\tau} - \mu)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[y_t y_{t-\tau}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\phi^\tau y_{t-\tau} + \epsilon_t + \phi\epsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \phi^{\tau-1}\epsilon_{t-\tau+1})y_{t-\tau}] \\ &= \phi^\tau \gamma(0) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2 \phi^\tau}{1 - \phi^2},\end{aligned}$$

where μ denotes the mean of y_t and $\mu = 0$ for all t . Therefore, the variance-covariance matrix of y is:

$$\Sigma := \text{Var}(y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{1 - \phi^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \phi^2 & \phi & \phi^2 & \dots & \phi^{T-1} \\ \phi & 1 & \phi & \dots & \phi^{T-2} \\ \phi^2 & \phi & 1 & \dots & \phi^{T-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi^{T-1} & \phi^{T-2} & \phi^{T-3} & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Using this matrix, we can define the likelihood function as follows:

$$L(\theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{T}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} y' \Sigma^{-1} y\right),$$

where Σ denotes the variance-covariance matrix of y derived above.

(10) For $|\phi| < 1$, show the following equality:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi & \phi^2 & \dots & \phi^{T-1} \\ \phi & 1 & \dots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi^2 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \phi^2 \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \phi \\ \phi^{T-1} & \dots & \phi^2 & \phi & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \left(\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \phi^2} & & & & 0 \\ & 1 & -\phi & & \\ & & 1 & -\phi & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & 1 & -\phi \end{pmatrix}' \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \phi^2} & & & & 0 \\ & 1 & -\phi & & \\ & & 1 & -\phi & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \\ 0 & & & & 1 & -\phi \end{pmatrix} \right)^{-1}$$

Solution:

The left hand side can be transformed as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \phi & \phi^2 & \dots & \phi^{T-1} \\ \phi & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi^2 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \phi^2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \phi \\ \phi^{T-1} & \dots & \phi^2 & \phi & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\phi & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\phi & 1 + \phi^2 & -\phi & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & -\phi & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -\phi \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -\phi & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

which is equal to the right hand side.

- (11) For $\phi = 1$, derive the autocovariance between y_t and $y_{t-\tau}$.

Solution:

When $\phi = 1$, this is the case of a random walk process. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_t &= y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t \\ &= \epsilon_t + \epsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \epsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the autocovariance between y_t and $y_{t-\tau}$, denoted by $\gamma(\tau)$, is:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\tau) &= \mathbb{E}[(y_t - \mu)(y_{t-\tau} - \mu)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[y_t y_{t-\tau}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(\epsilon_t + \epsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \epsilon_{t-\tau} + \epsilon_{t-\tau-1} + \dots + \epsilon_1)(\epsilon_{t-\tau} + \epsilon_{t-\tau-1} + \dots + \epsilon_1)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{t-\tau}^2] + \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_{t-\tau-1}^2] + \dots + \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_1^2] \\ &= \sigma^2(t - \tau). \end{aligned}$$

- (12) For $\phi = 1$, derive the asymptotic distribution of $T(\hat{\phi} - 1)$.

Solution:

The OLS estimator of the model $y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ is given by

$$\hat{\phi} = \phi + \frac{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{\sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2},$$

which is arranged as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\phi} - \phi) &= \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} \\ \iff T(\hat{\phi} - \phi) &= \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We will derive the asymptotic distribution of the numerator $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t$ and the denominator $\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2$.

(a) First, let us consider the numerator. Since $y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ with $\phi = 1$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} y_t^2 &= (y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t)^2 \\ \iff y_{t-1}\epsilon_t &= \frac{1}{2}(y_t^2 - y_{t-1}^2 - \epsilon_t^2). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account $y_0 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}\epsilon_t &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t^2 - y_{t-1}^2 - \epsilon_t^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} y_T^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Divided by $\sigma^2 T$ on both sides, we have:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}\epsilon_t = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{y_T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t^2.$$

Since $y_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 t)$, we obtain: ³

$$\left(\frac{y_T}{\sigma\sqrt{T}} \right)^2 \sim \chi^2(1).$$

Moreover, by the ergodicity, we have:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \epsilon_t^2 \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_t^2] = \sigma^2.$$

Therefore, by the continuous mapping theorem and the Slutsky theorem, we have the asymptotic distribution of the numerator:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}\epsilon_t \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{d} \frac{1}{2} (\chi^2(1) - 1).$$

(b) Second, we will derive the asymptotic distribution of the denominator $\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2$. We define $X_T(r)$ as

$$X_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T}, \\ \frac{\epsilon_1}{T} & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T}, \\ \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{T} & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_T}{T} & r = 1. \end{cases}$$

³In case of $\phi = 1$, the expectation of y_t is zero and the variance of it is given by

$$\text{Var}(y_t) = \text{Var}(\epsilon_t + \epsilon_{t-1} + \dots + \epsilon_1) = \sigma^2 t.$$

Then, $y_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 t)$.

Let $[Tr]$ be the largest integer which is less than or equal to $T \times r$. For instance, if $r = \frac{2.8}{T}$, then $[Tr] = [2.8] = 2$. Using this operator, we can express $X_T(r)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X_T(r) &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t \\ \iff \sqrt{T} X_T(r) &= \frac{[Tr]}{T} \sqrt{\frac{T}{[Tr]}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{[Tr]}} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t. \end{aligned}$$

For each part of them, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{[Tr]}{T} &\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} r, \\ \sqrt{\frac{T}{[Tr]}} &\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{[Tr]}} \sum_{t=1}^{[Tr]} \epsilon_t &\xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2), \end{aligned}$$

where we use the Central Limit Theorem for the third one. Therefore, by the Slutsky theorem, we have:

$$\sqrt{T} X_T(r) \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{N}(0, r\sigma^2) = \sigma W(r), \quad (1)$$

where $W(r)$ denotes a standard Brownian motion.

Since $y_t = \epsilon_t + \dots + \epsilon_1$, $X_T(r)$ can be expressed as follows:

$$X_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T}, \\ \frac{y_1}{T} & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T}, \\ \frac{y_2}{T} & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{y_{T-1}}{T} & \frac{T-1}{T} \leq r < 1, \\ \frac{y_T}{T} & r = 1. \end{cases}$$

In addition, we define $S_T(r)$ as follows:

$$S_T(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq r < \frac{1}{T}, \\ \frac{y_1^2}{T} & \frac{1}{T} \leq r < \frac{2}{T}, \\ \frac{y_2^2}{T} & \frac{2}{T} \leq r < \frac{3}{T}, \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{y_{T-1}^2}{T} & \frac{T-1}{T} \leq r < 1, \\ \frac{y_T^2}{T} & r = 1. \end{cases}$$

To obtain $\int_0^1 X_T(r) dr$ and $\int_0^1 S_T(r) dr$, we compute a sum of rectangulars as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 X_T(r) dr &\simeq \frac{y_1}{T} \left(\frac{2}{T} - \frac{1}{T} \right) + \dots + \frac{y_{T-1}}{T} \left(\frac{T}{T} - \frac{T-1}{T} \right) \\ &= \frac{y_1}{T^2} + \dots + \frac{y_{T-1}}{T^2} \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\int_0^1 S_T(r)dr \simeq \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_t^2 \simeq \frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2.$$

Using equation (1) and the continuous mapping theorem,

$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{T}X_T(r)dr \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{d} \sigma \int_0^1 W(r)dr.$$

Since $S_T(r) = \left(\sqrt{T}X_T(r)\right)^2$, using the continuous mapping theorem, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} S_T(r) &\xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{d} \sigma^2(W(r))^2 \\ \Leftrightarrow \int_0^1 S_T(r)dr &\xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{d} \sigma^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2 \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{d} \sigma^2 \int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr.$$

Thus, we have obtained the asymptotic distribution of the denominator.

Therefore, from the discussion of (a) and (b), and using $\phi = 1$, the continuous mapping theorem and the Slutsky theorem, we have the asymptotic distribution of $T(\hat{\phi} - 1)$ as follows:

$$T(\hat{\phi} - 1) = \frac{\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1} \epsilon_t}{\frac{1}{T^2} \sum_{t=1}^T y_{t-1}^2} \xrightarrow[T \rightarrow \infty]{d} \frac{\frac{1}{2} [(W(r))^2 - 1]}{\int_0^1 (W(r))^2 dr}.$$

- (13) We estimate $\Delta y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$ using the conventional OLS command in econometric softwares. The following results are obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta y_t &= -0.09 y_{t-1} \\ (1.90) \end{aligned}$$

where the value in the parenthesis represents the t -value.

We want to test whether y_t has a unit root. Show the null hypothesis and the alternative one. Test whether y_t has a unit root at 5 % significance level, where $T = 1,000$.

Solution:

We use the augmented Dickey-Fuller test. Consider the model:

$$y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t.$$

If the model has a unit root, then

$$\begin{aligned} y_t - y_{t-1} &= \epsilon_t \\ \Leftrightarrow \Delta y_t &= \epsilon_t, \end{aligned}$$

where Δ is a difference operator. This implies that y_{t-1} does not have any effects on Δy_t when $\phi = 1$. Therefore, we consider the model:

$$\Delta y_t = \rho y_{t-1} + \epsilon_t,$$

and test whether $\rho = 0$ or not. Then, the null hypothesis and the alternative one are:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \rho = 0; \\ H_1 : \rho < 0. \end{cases}$$

The test statistic is given by

$$t = \frac{\hat{\rho}}{SE(\hat{\rho})},$$

where $SE(\hat{\rho})$ denotes the standard error of $\hat{\rho}$. Referring to the t statistic table of the Dickey-Fuller test, the rejecting area is $\{t : t < -2.86\}$ when $T = 1,000$ and the significance level is 5%. Since the t value is 1.90, we do not reject the null hypothesis that y_t has a unit root at 5% significance level.

4

When y_i^* is unobservable and y_i is observed, consider the following model:

$$y_i^* = x_i\beta + u_i$$

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_i^* > 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where x_i is not correlated with u_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We assume that u_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ are mutually independently and normally distributed as $u_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$.

Answer the following questions.

- (14) What is the probability that y_i^* is greater than zero? What is the probability that y_i^* is less than or equal to zero?

Solution:

The probability that y_i^* is greater than zero is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(y_i^* > 0) &= \mathbb{P}(x_i\beta + u_i > 0) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(u_i > -x_i\beta) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(u_i^* > -x_i\beta^*) \\ &= 1 - F(-x_i\beta^*) \\ &= F(x_i\beta^*), \end{aligned}$$

where $u_i^* := u_i/\sigma$ and $\beta^* := \beta/\sigma$. $F(\cdot)$ denotes the cumulative distribution function of u_i^* , which is given by

$$F(x_i\beta^*) = \int_{-\infty}^{x_i\beta^*} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{z^2}{2}\right) dz,$$

since $u_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. The last equality holds because of the symmetricity of $F(\cdot)$. The probability that y_i^* is less than or equal to zero is:

$$\mathbb{P}(y_i^* \leq 0) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(y_i^* > 0) = 1 - F(x_i\beta^*).$$

- (15) Derive the joint distribution of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n .

Solution:

Since y_i is a binary random variable, y_i is considered to follow the Bernoulli distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_i) &= [\mathbb{P}(y_i = 1)]^{y_i} [1 - \mathbb{P}(y_i = 1)]^{1-y_i} \\ &= [\mathbb{P}(y_i^* > 0)]^{y_i} [1 - \mathbb{P}(y_i^* > 0)]^{1-y_i} \\ &= [F(x_i\beta^*)]^{y_i} [1 - F(x_i\beta^*)]^{1-y_i}. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n are mutually independent. Then, the joint distribution of them is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) &= \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^n [F(x_i\beta^*)]^{y_i} [1 - F(x_i\beta^*)]^{1-y_i}. \end{aligned}$$

- (16) We want to test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \beta_j = 0$ and the alternative one $H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0$, where β_j denotes the j th element of β . We can consider several testing procedures. Explain one of them.

Solution:

The null hypothesis and the alternative one are:

$$\begin{cases} H_0 : \beta_j = 0; \\ H_1 : \beta_j \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The t statistic is given by

$$t = \frac{\widehat{\beta}_j}{SE(\widehat{\beta}_j)},$$

where $SE(\widehat{\beta}_j)$ denotes the standard error of $\widehat{\beta}_j$. The rejecting area is given by $\{t : |t| > 1.96\}$ when the significance level is 5%. Therefore, if the realized t value is in the rejecting area, we reject H_0 and conclude that j th element of the explanatory variables has an effect on y_i .