Then, $\tilde{\beta}_2$ is transformed into:

$$\tilde{\beta}_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} y_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\omega_{i} + d_{i})(\beta_{1} + \beta_{2} x_{i} + u_{i})$$

$$= \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} + \beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i} + \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} + \beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} u_{i}$$

$$= \beta_{2} + \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} + \beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} u_{i}.$$

Equations (10) and (11) are used in the forth equality.

Taking the expectation on both sides of the above equation, we obtain:

$$E(\tilde{\beta}_{2}) = \beta_{2} + \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} + \beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}E(u_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}E(u_{i})$$

$$= \beta_{2} + \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} + \beta_{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}x_{i}.$$

Note that d_i is not a random variable and that $E(u_i) = 0$.

Since $\tilde{\beta}_2$ is assumed to be unbiased, we need the following conditions:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i = 0, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i x_i = 0.$$

When these conditions hold, we can rewrite $\tilde{\beta}_2$ as:

$$\tilde{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (\omega_i + d_i) u_i.$$

The variance of $\tilde{\beta}_2$ is derived as:

$$V(\tilde{\beta}_{2}) = V(\beta_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\omega_{i} + d_{i})u_{i}) = V(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\omega_{i} + d_{i})u_{i}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V((\omega_{i} + d_{i})u_{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\omega_{i} + d_{i})^{2}V(u_{i}) = \sigma^{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}d_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2})$$

$$= \sigma^{2}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{2}).$$

From unbiasedness of $\tilde{\beta}_2$, using $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i = 0$, we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i d_i = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) d_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i d_i - \overline{x} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2} = 0,$$

which is utilized to obtain the variance of $\tilde{\beta}_2$ in the third line of the above equation.

From (15), the variance of $\hat{\beta}_2$ is given by: $V(\hat{\beta}_2) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2$.

Therefore, we have:

$$V(\tilde{\beta}_2) \ge V(\hat{\beta}_2),$$

because of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 \ge 0$.

When $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^2 = 0$, i.e., when $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n = 0$, we have the equality: $V(\tilde{\beta}_2) = V(\hat{\beta}_2)$.

Thus, in the case of $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n = 0$, $\hat{\beta}_2$ is equivalent to $\tilde{\beta}_2$.

As shown above, the least squares estimator $\hat{\beta}_2$ gives us the **minimum variance linear unbiased estimator** (最小分散線形不偏推定量), or equivalently the **best linear unbiased estimator** (最良線形不偏推定量 , **BLUE**), which is called the **Gauss-Markov theorem** (ガウス・マルコフ定理).

Asymptotic Properties (漸近的性質) of $\hat{\beta}_2$: We assume that as n goes to infinity we have the following:

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i-\overline{x})^2 \longrightarrow m<\infty,$$

where m is a constant value. From (12), we obtain:

$$n\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2 = \frac{1}{(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{m}.$$

Note that $f(x_n) \longrightarrow f(m)$ when $x_n \longrightarrow m$, called **Slutsky's theorem** (スルツキー定理), where m is a constant value and $f(\cdot)$ is a function.

We show both **consistency** (一致性) of $\hat{\beta}_2$ and **asymptotic normality** (漸近正規性) of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)$.

First, we prove that $\hat{\beta}_2$ is a consistent estimator of β_2 .

[Review] Chebyshev's inequality (チェビシェフの不等式) is given by:

$$P(|X - \mu| > \epsilon) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{\epsilon^2}$$
, where $\mu = E(X)$, $\sigma^2 = V(X)$ and any $\epsilon > 0$.

[End of Review]

Replace X, E(X) and V(X) by:

$$\hat{\beta}_2$$
, $E(\hat{\beta}_2) = \beta_2$, and $V(\hat{\beta}_2) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})}$.

Then, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain the following result:

$$P(|\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2| > \epsilon) \le \frac{\sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2}{\epsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2 n \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2}{n \epsilon^2} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i^2 \longrightarrow 0$ because $n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i^2 \longrightarrow \frac{1}{m}$ from the assumption.

Thus, we obtain the result that $\hat{\beta}_2 \longrightarrow \beta_2$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, we can conclude that $\hat{\beta}_2$ is a **consistent estimator** (一致推定量) of β_2 .

Next, we want to show that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)$ is asymptotically normal.

[Review] The Central Limit Theorem (中心極限定理, CLT) is: for random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n ,

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mathrm{E}(\overline{X})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}(\overline{X})}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i - \mathrm{E}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i)}} \longrightarrow N(0,1), \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty,$$

where
$$\overline{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$$
.

 X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are not necessarily iid, if $\lim_{n \to \infty} nV(\overline{X})$ is finite in this case.

[End of Review]

Note that $\hat{\beta}_2 = \beta_2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i u_i$ as in (13), and X_i is replaced by $\omega_i u_i$.

From the central limit theorem, asymptotic normality is shown as follows:

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i} - \mathrm{E}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i})}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} u_{i}}{\sigma \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i}^{2}}} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_{2} - \beta_{2}}{\sigma / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1),$$

where

•
$$E(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i u_i) = 0$$
,

•
$$V(\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i u_i) = \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i^2$$
, and

$$\bullet \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i u_i = \hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2$$

are substituted in the first and second equalities.

Moreover, we can rewrite as follows:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{\sigma / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)}{\sigma / \sqrt{(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}.$$

Replacing $(1/n) \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$ by its converged value m, we have:

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)}{\sigma/\sqrt{m}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1),$$

which implies

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) \longrightarrow N(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{m}).$$

Thus, the asymptotic normality of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)$ is shown.

We can use either of the following two:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{\sigma / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1),$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2) \longrightarrow N(0, \frac{\sigma^2}{m}), \quad \text{where } m = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2.$$

Finally, replacing σ^2 by its consistent estimator s^2 , it is known as follows:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1), \tag{16}$$

where s^2 is defined as:

$$s^{2} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i}^{2} = \frac{1}{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \hat{\beta}_{1} - \hat{\beta}_{2} x_{i})^{2},$$
 (17)

which is a consistent and unbiased estimator of σ^2 . \longrightarrow Proved later.

Thus, using (16), in large sample we can construct the confidence interval and test the hypothesis.

[Review] Confidence Interval (信頼区間,区間推定)):

Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid with mean μ and variance σ^2 . \longrightarrow No N assumption From CLT, $\frac{\overline{X} - \mathrm{E}(\overline{X})}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}(\overline{X})}} = \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1)$.

Replacing
$$\sigma^2$$
 by $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$, we have: $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1)$.

That is, for large n,

$$P(-1.96 < \frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} < 1.96) = 0.95$$
, i.e., $P(\overline{X} - 1.96 \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}} < \mu < \overline{X} + 1.96 \frac{S}{\sqrt{n}}) = 0.95$.

Note that 1.96 is obtained from the normal distribution table.

Then, replacing the estimators \overline{X} and S^2 by the estimates \overline{x} and s^2 , we obtain the 95% confidence interval of μ as follows:

$$(\overline{x}-1.96\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}},\ \overline{x}+1.96\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}).$$

[End of Review]

Going back to OLS, we have:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1).$$

Therefore,

$$P\left(-2.576 < \frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} < 2.576\right) = 0.99,$$

i.e.,

$$P(\hat{\beta}_2 - 2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} < \beta_2 < \hat{\beta}_2 + 2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}) = 0.99.$$

Note that 2.576 is 0.005 value of N(0, 1), which comes from the statistical table.

Thus, the 99% confidence interval of β_2 is:

$$(\hat{\beta}_2 - 2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}, \hat{\beta}_2 + 2.576 \frac{s}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}),$$

where $\hat{\beta}_2$ and s^2 should be replaced by the observed data.

[Review] Testing the Hypothesis (仮説検定):

Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are iid with mean μ and variance σ^2 .

From CLT, $\frac{\overline{X} - \mu}{S / \sqrt{n}} \longrightarrow N(0, 1)$, where $S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \overline{X})^2$, which is known as the unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

- The null hypothesis H_0 : $\mu = \mu_0$, where μ_0 is a fixed number.
- The alternative hypothesis $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$

Under the null hypothesis, in large sample we have the following distribution:

$$\frac{\overline{X} - \mu_0}{S / \sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Replacing \overline{X} and S^2 by \overline{x} and s^2 , compare $\frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}}$ and N(0, 1). H_0 is rejected at significance level 0.05 when $\left| \frac{\overline{x} - \mu_0}{s/\sqrt{n}} \right| > 1.96$.

[End of Review]

In the <u>case of OLS</u>, the hypotheses are as follows:

- The null hypothesis H_0 : $\beta_2 = \beta_2^*$
- The alternative hypothesis $H_1: \beta_2 \neq \beta_2^*$

Under H_0 , in large sample,

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2^*}{s / \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}} \sim N(0, 1).$$

Replacing $\hat{\beta}_2$ and s^2 by the observed data, compare $\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2^*}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}$ and N(0, 1). H_0 is rejected at significance level 0.05 when $\left|\frac{\hat{\beta}_2 - \beta_2^*}{s/\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}}\right| > 1.96$.