8. Weak Law of Large Numbers (大数の弱法則) — Review:

Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are distributed.

As $n \to \infty, \overline{X} \to \lim_{n \to \infty} E(\overline{X})$ under $\lim_{n \to \infty} nV(\overline{X}) < \infty$, which is called the weak law of large numbers.

- \rightarrow Convergence in probability
- \rightarrow Proved by Chebyshev's inequality
 - (i) Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are assumed to be mutually independently and identically distributed with $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $V(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$.

Then, $\overline{X} \longrightarrow \mu$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

Note that $E(\overline{X}) = \mu$ and $nV(\overline{X}) = \sigma^2$.

(ii) Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are assumed to be mutually independently distributed with $E(X_i) = \mu_i$ and $V(X_i) = \sigma_i^2$.

Assume that

(a)
$$E(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \longrightarrow \mu$$
, i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} E(\overline{X}) = \mu$

and

(b)
$$nV(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2 \longrightarrow \sigma^2 < \infty$$
, i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} nV(\overline{X}) = \sigma^2 < \infty$.

Then,
$$\overline{X} \longrightarrow \mu$$
 as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

Note that
$$E(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i$$
 and $nV(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2$.

(iii) Suppose that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are assumed to be serially correlated with $E(X_i) = \mu_i$ and $Cov(X_i, X_j) = \sigma_{ij}$.

Assume that

(a)
$$E(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \longrightarrow \mu$$
, i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} E(\overline{X}) = \mu$

and

(b)
$$nV(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij} \longrightarrow \sigma^2 < \infty$$
, i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} nV(\overline{X}) = \sigma^2 < \infty$.

Then, $\overline{X} \longrightarrow \mu$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$,

Note that
$$E(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i$$
 and $nV(\overline{X}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}$.

9. Some Formulas of Expectaion and Variance in Multivariate Cases — Review:

A vector of randam variable X: $E(X) = \mu$ and $V(X) \equiv E((X - \mu)(X - \mu)') = \Sigma$

Then, $E(AX) = A\mu$ and $V(AX) = A\Sigma A'$.

Proof:

$$\begin{split} E(AX) &= AE(X) = A\mu \\ V(AX) &= E((AX - A\mu)(AX - A\mu)') = E(A(X - \mu)(A(X - \mu))') \\ &= E(A(X - \mu)(X - \mu)'A') = AE((X - \mu)(X - \mu)')A' = AV(X)A' = A\Sigma A' \end{split}$$

10. Asymptotic Normality of MLE — Proof:

The density (or probability) function of X_i is given by $f(x_i; \theta)$.

The likelihood function is: $L(\theta; x) \equiv f(x; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i; \theta)$,

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

MLE of θ results in the following maximization problem:

$$\max_{\theta} \log L(\theta; x).$$

A solution of the above problem is given by MLE of θ , denoted by $\tilde{\theta}$.

That is, $\tilde{\theta}$ is given by the θ which satisfies the following equation:

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f(x_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = 0.$$

Replacing x_i by the underlying random variable X_i , $\frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta}$ is taken as the *i*th random variable, i.e., X_i in the **Central Limit Theorem II**.

Consider applying Central Limit Theorem II as follows:

$$\frac{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\log f(X_{i};\theta)}{\partial\theta} - \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\log f(X_{i};\theta)}{\partial\theta}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\log f(X_{i};\theta)}{\partial\theta}\right)}} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial\log L(\theta;X)}{\partial\theta} - \mathrm{E}\left(\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial\log L(\theta;X)}{\partial\theta}\right)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}\left(\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial\log L(\theta;X)}{\partial\theta}\right)}}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}$$

In this case, we need the following expectation and variance:

$$\mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\log f(X_{i};\theta)}{\partial\theta}\Big)=\mathrm{E}\Big(\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial\log L(\theta;X)}{\partial\theta}\Big)=0,$$

and

$$V\Big(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\log f(X_{i};\theta)}{\partial\theta}\Big)=V\Big(\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial\log L(\theta;X)}{\partial\theta}\Big)=\frac{1}{n^{2}}I(\theta).$$

Note that
$$E\left(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right) = 0$$
 and $V\left(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right) = I(\theta)$.

Thus, the asymptotic distribution of

$$\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

is given by:

$$\begin{split} &\sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f(X_{i}; \theta)}{\partial \theta} - \mathrm{E} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f(X_{i}; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) \right) \\ &= \sqrt{n} \left(\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} - \mathrm{E} \left(\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} \longrightarrow N(0, \Sigma) \end{split}$$

where

$$n \operatorname{V} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{V} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \log f(X_i; \theta)}{\partial \theta} \right) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{V} \left(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} I(\theta) \longrightarrow \Sigma.$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} \longrightarrow N(0, \Sigma),$$

where $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$.

Now, replacing θ by $\tilde{\theta}$, consider the asymptotic distribution of

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{\partial \log L(\tilde{\theta};X)}{\partial \theta},$$

which is expanded around $\tilde{\theta} = \theta$ as follows:

$$0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial \log L(\tilde{\theta}; X)}{\partial \theta} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} (\tilde{\theta} - \theta).$$

Therefore,

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} \longrightarrow N(0, \Sigma).$$

The left-hand side is rewritten as:

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta) = \sqrt{n} \left(-\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right)(\tilde{\theta} - \theta).$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta} - \theta) &\approx \Big(-\frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \Big)^{-1} \Big(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta} \Big) \\ &\longrightarrow N(0, \Sigma^{-1} \Sigma \Sigma^{-1}) = N(0, \Sigma^{-1}). \end{split}$$

Using the law of large number, note that

$$-\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \longrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(-E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right) \right)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(V\left(\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right) \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} I(\theta) = \Sigma,$$

and
$$\left(\frac{1}{n}\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)$$
 has the same asymptotic distribution as $\Sigma^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\frac{\partial \log L(\theta; X)}{\partial \theta}\right)$.

11. Optimization (最適化):

MLE of θ results in the following maximization problem:

$$\max_{\theta} \log L(\theta; x).$$

We often have the case where the solution of θ is not derived in closed form.

 \implies Optimization procedure

$$0 = \frac{\partial \log L(\theta; x)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial \log L(\theta^*; x)}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta^*; x)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} (\theta - \theta^*).$$

Solving the above equation with respect to θ , we obtain the following:

$$\theta = \theta^* - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta^*; x)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta^*; x)}{\partial \theta}.$$

Replace the variables as follows:

$$\theta \longrightarrow \theta^{(i+1)}, \qquad \qquad \theta^* \longrightarrow \theta^{(i)}.$$

Then, we have:

$$\theta^{(i+1)} = \theta^{(i)} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta^{(i)}; x)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta^{(i)}; x)}{\partial \theta}.$$

 \implies Newton-Raphson method (ニュートン・ラプソン法)

Replacing
$$\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta^{(i)}; x)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}$$
 by $E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta^{(i)}; x)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right)$, we obtain the following optimization algorithm:

unitzation argorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^{(i+1)} &= \theta^{(i)} - \left(\mathrm{E}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\theta^{(i)}; x)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'}\right) \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta^{(i)}; x)}{\partial \theta} \\ &= \theta^{(i)} + \left(I(\theta^{(i)})\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial \log L(\theta^{(i)}; x)}{\partial \theta} \end{aligned}$$

 \implies Method of Scoring (スコア法)

2 Qualitative Dependent Variable (質的従属変数)

- 1. Discrete Choice Model (離散選択モデル)
- 2. Limited Dependent Variable Model (制限従属変数モデル)
- 3. Count Data Model (計数データモデル)

Usually, the regression model is given by:

$$y_i = X_i \beta + u_i, \qquad u_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

where y_i is a continuous type of random variable within the interval from $-\infty$ to ∞ .

When y_i is discrete or truncated, what happens?

2.1 Discrete Choice Model (離散選択モデル)

2.1.1 Binary Choice Model (二値選択モデル)

Example 1: Consider the regression model:

$$y_i^* = X_i \beta + u_i, \qquad u_i \sim (0, \sigma^2), \qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots, n,$$

where y_i^* is unobserved, but y_i is observed as 0 or 1, i.e.,

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } y_i^* > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } y_i^* \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Consider the probability that y_i takes 1, i.e.,

$$P(y_i = 1) = P(y_i^* > 0) = P(u_i > -X_i\beta) = P(u_i^* > -X_i\beta^*) = 1 - P(u_i^* \le -X_i\beta^*)$$

= 1 - F(-X_i\beta^*) = F(X_i\beta^*), (if the dist. of u_i^* is symmetric.),

where $u_i^* = \frac{u_i}{\sigma}$, and $\beta^* = \frac{\beta}{\sigma}$ are defined. (*) β^* can be estimated, but β and σ^2 cannot be estimated separately (i.e., β and σ^2 are not identified).

The distribution function of u_i^* is given by $F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(z) dz$.

If u_i^* is standard normal, i.e., $u_i^* \sim N(0, 1)$, we call **probit model**.

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}z^2) dz, \qquad f(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}x^2).$$

If u_i^* is logistic, we call **logit model**.

$$F(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}, \qquad f(x) = \frac{\exp(-x)}{(1 + \exp(-x))^2}$$

We can consider the other distribution function for u_i^* .

Likelihood Function: y_i is the following Bernoulli distribution:

$$f(y_i) = (P(y_i = 1))^{y_i} (P(y_i = 0))^{1-y_i} = (F(X_i \beta^*))^{y_i} (1 - F(X_i \beta^*))^{1-y_i}, \qquad y_i = 0, 1.$$

[Review — Bernoulli Distribution (ベルヌイ分布)]

Suppose that *X* is a Bernoulli random variable. the distribution of *X*, denoted by f(x), is:

$$f(x) = p^{x}(1-p)^{1-x}, \qquad x = 0, 1.$$

The mean and variance are:

$$\mu = \mathcal{E}(X) = \sum_{x=0}^{1} xf(x) = 0 \times (1-p) + 1 \times p = p,$$

$$\sigma^{2} = \mathcal{V}(X) = \mathcal{E}((X-\mu)^{2}) = \sum_{x=0}^{1} (x-\mu)^{2} f(x) = (0-p)^{2} (1-p) + (1-p)^{2} p = p(1-p).$$

[End of Review]

The likelihood function is given by:

$$L(\beta^*) = f(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n (F(X_i\beta^*))^{y_i} (1 - F(X_i\beta^*))^{1-y_i},$$

The log-likelihood function is:

$$\log L(\beta^*) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i \log F(X_i \beta^*) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - F(X_i \beta^*)))),$$

Solving the maximization problem of $\log L(\beta^*)$ with respect to β^* , the first order condition is:

$$\frac{\partial \log L(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^*} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i X_i' f(X_i \beta^*)}{F(X_i \beta^*)} - \frac{(1 - y_i) X_i' f(X_i \beta^*)}{1 - F(X_i \beta^*)} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' f(X_i \beta^*) (y_i - F(X_i \beta^*))}{F(X_i \beta^*) (1 - F(X_i \beta^*))} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' f_i (y_i - F_i)}{F_i (1 - F_i)} = 0,$$

where $f_i \equiv f(X_i\beta^*)$ and $F_i \equiv F(X_i\beta^*)$. Remember that $f(x) \equiv \frac{dF(x)}{dx}$.

The second order condition is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*\prime}} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta^*} (y_i - F_i)}{F_i (1 - F_i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' f_i \frac{\partial (f_i - F_i)}{\partial \beta^*}}{F_i (1 - F_i)} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n X_i' f_i (y_i - F_i) \frac{\partial (F_i (1 - F_i))^{-1}}{\partial \beta^*} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i f_i' (y_i - F_i)}{F_i (1 - F_i)} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i f_i^2}{F_i (1 - F_i)} + \sum_{i=1}^n X_i' f_i (y_i - F_i) \frac{X_i f_i (1 - 2F_i)}{(F_i (1 - F_i))^2} \end{aligned}$$

is a negative definite matrix.

For maximization, the method of scoring is given by:

$$\begin{split} \beta^{*(j+1)} &= \beta^{*(j)} + \left(-\mathrm{E} \Big(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta^{*(j)})}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*\prime}} \Big) \Big)^{-1} \frac{\partial \log L(\beta^{*(j)})}{\partial \beta^*} \\ &= \beta^{*(j)} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i (f_i^{(j)})^2}{F_i^{(j)} (1 - F_i^{(j)})} \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' f_i^{(j)} (y_i - F_i^{(j)})}{F_i^{(j)} (1 - F_i^{(j)})}, \end{split}$$

where
$$F_i^{(j)} = F(X_i \beta^{*(j)})$$
 and $f_i^{(j)} = f(X_i \beta^{*(j)})$. Note that

$$I(\beta^*) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*'}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i f_i^2}{F_i(1 - F_i)}.$$

because of $E(y_i) = F_i$.

It is known that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}^* - \beta^*) \longrightarrow N\left(0, \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(-\frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\beta^*)}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*\prime}}\right)\right)^{-1}\right),$$

where $\hat{\beta}^* \equiv \lim_{j \to \infty} \beta^{*(j)}$ denotes MLE of β^* .

Practically, we use the following normal distribution:

$$\hat{\beta}^* \sim N\left(\beta^*, I(\hat{\beta}^*)^{-1}\right),$$

where $I(\hat{\beta}^*) = -E\left(\frac{\partial^2 \log L(\hat{\beta}^*)}{\partial \beta^* \partial \beta^{*\prime}}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i' X_i \hat{f}_i^2}{\hat{F}_i (1 - \hat{F}_i)}, \ \hat{f}_i = f(X_i \hat{\beta}^*) \text{ and } \hat{F}_i = F(X_i \hat{\beta}^*).$

Thus, the significance test for β^* and the confidence interval for β^* can be constructed.

Another Interpretation: This maximization problem is equivalent to the nonlinear least squares estimation problem from the following regression model:

 $y_i = F(X_i \beta^*) + u_i,$

where $u_i = y_i - F_i$ takes $u_i = 1 - F_i$ with probability $P(y_i = 1) = F(X_i\beta^*) = F_i$ and $u_i = -F_i$ with probability $P(y_i = 0) = 1 - F(X_i\beta^*) = 1 - F_i$. Therefore, the mean and variance of u_i are:

$$E(u_i) = (1 - F_i)F_i + (-F_i)(1 - F_i) = 0,$$

$$\sigma_i^2 = V(u_i) = E(u_i^2) - (E(u_i))^2 = (1 - F_i)^2F_i + (-F_i)^2(1 - F_i) = F_i(1 - F_i).$$

The weighted least squares method solves the following minimization problem:

$$\min_{\beta^*} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y_i - F(X_i \beta^*))^2}{\sigma_i^2}$$

The first order condition is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X'_{i} f(X_{i}\beta^{*})(y_{i} - F(X_{i}\beta^{*}))}{\sigma_{i}^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{X'_{i} f_{i}(y_{i} - F_{i})}{F_{i}(1 - F_{i})} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to the first order condition of MLE.

Thus, the binary choice model is interpreted as the nonlinear least squares.

Prediction: $E(y_i) = 0 \times (1 - F_i) + 1 \times F_i = F_i \equiv F(X_i\beta^*).$

Example 2: Consider the two utility functions: $U_{1i} = X_i\beta_1 + \epsilon_{1i}$ and $U_{2i} = X_i\beta_2 + \epsilon_{2i}$. A linear utility function is problematic, but we consider the linear function for simplicity of discussion.

We purchase a good when $U_{1i} > U_{2i}$ and do not purchase it when $U_{1i} < U_{2i}$. We can observe $y_i = 1$ when we purchase the good, i.e., when $U_{1i} > U_{2i}$, and $y_i = 0$

otherwise.

$$P(y_i = 1) = P(U_{1i} > U_{2i}) = P(X_i(\beta_1 - \beta_2) > -\epsilon_{1i} + \epsilon_{2i})$$
$$= P(-X_i\beta^* < \epsilon_i^*) = P(-X_i\beta^{**} < \epsilon_i^{**}) = 1 - F(-X_i\beta^{**}) = F(X_i\beta^{**})$$

where $\beta^* = \beta_1 - \beta_2$, $\epsilon_i^* = \epsilon_{1i} - \epsilon_{2i}$, $\beta^{**} = \frac{\beta^*}{\sigma^*}$ and $\epsilon_i^{**} = \frac{\epsilon_i^*}{\sigma^*}$. We can estimate β^{**} , but we cannot estimate ϵ_i^* and σ^* , separately. Mean and variance of ϵ_i^{**} are normalized to be zero and one, respectively. If the distribution of ϵ_i^{**} is symmetric, the last equality holds. We can estimate β^{**} by MLE as in Example 1.

Example 3: Consider the questionnaire:

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if the } i\text{th person answers YES,} \\ 0, & \text{if the } i\text{th person answers NO.} \end{cases}$$

Consider estimating the following linear regression model:

$$y_i = X_i\beta + u_i$$

When $E(u_i) = 0$, the expectation of y_i is given by:

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{y}_i) = X_i \boldsymbol{\beta}.$$

Because of the linear function, $X_i\beta$ takes the value from $-\infty$ to ∞ .

However, $E(y_i)$ indicates the ratio of the people who answer YES out of all the people, because of $E(y_i) = 1 \times P(y_i = 1) + 0 \times P(y_i = 0) = P(y_i = 1)$.

That is, $E(y_i)$ has to be between zero and one.

Therefore, it is not appropriate that $E(y_i)$ is approximated as $X_i\beta$.

The model is written as:

$$y_i = P(y_i = 1) + u_i,$$

where u_i is a discrete type of random variable, i.e., u_i takes $1 - P(y_i = 1)$ with probability $P(y_i = 1)$ and $-P(y_i = 1)$ with probability $1 - P(y_i = 1) = P(y_i = 0)$.

Consider that $P(y_i = 1)$ is connected with the distribution function $F(X_i\beta)$ as follows:

$$P(y_i = 1) = F(X_i\beta),$$

where $F(\cdot)$ denotes a distribution function such as normal dist., logistic dist., and so on. \longrightarrow probit model or logit model.

The probability function of y_i is:

$$f(y_i) = F(X_i\beta)^{y_i}(1 - F(X_i\beta))^{1-y_i} \equiv F_i^{y_i}(1 - F_i)^{1-y_i}, \qquad y_i = 0, 1.$$

The joint distribution of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n is:

$$f(y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(y_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n F_i^{y_i} (1 - F_i)^{1 - y_i} \equiv L(\beta),$$

which corresponds to the likelihood function. \longrightarrow MLE