## Coefficient of Determination (決定係数), R<sup>2</sup>:

1. Definition of the Coefficient of Determination, 
$$R^2$$
:  $R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n e_i^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \overline{y})^2}$ 

2. Numerator: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i^2 = e'e$$

3. Denominator: 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})^2 = y'(I_n - \frac{1}{n}ii')'(I_n - \frac{1}{n}ii')y = y'(I_n - \frac{1}{n}ii')y$$

(\*) Remark

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 - \overline{y} \\ y_2 - \overline{y} \\ \vdots \\ y_n - \overline{y} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \overline{y} \\ \overline{y} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{y} \end{pmatrix} = y - \frac{1}{n}ii'y = (I_n - \frac{1}{n}ii')y,$$

where  $i = (1, 1, \dots, 1)'$ .

4. In a matrix form, we can rewrite as:  $R^2 = 1 - \frac{e'e}{y'(I_n - \frac{1}{n}ii')y}$ 

#### F Distribution and Coefficient of Determination:

⇒ This will be discussed later.

## **Testing Linear Restrictions (***F* **Distribution):**

1. If  $u \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ , then  $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1})$ .

Consider testing the hypothesis  $H_0: R\beta = r$ .

$$R: G \times k$$
,  $\operatorname{rank}(R) = G \le k$ .

$$R\hat{\beta} \sim N(R\beta, \sigma^2 R(X'X)^{-1}R').$$

Therefore, 
$$\frac{(R\hat{\beta}-r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta}-r)}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(G).$$

Note that  $R\beta = r$ .

(a) When  $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$ , the mean of  $R\hat{\beta}$  is:

$$E(R\hat{\beta}) = RE(\hat{\beta}) = R\beta.$$

(b) When  $\hat{\beta} \sim N(\beta, \sigma^2(X'X)^{-1})$ , the variance of  $R\hat{\beta}$  is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(R\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) &= \mathbf{E}((R\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - R\boldsymbol{\beta})(R\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - R\boldsymbol{\beta})') = \mathbf{E}(R(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})'R') \\ &= R\mathbf{E}((\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \boldsymbol{\beta})')R' = R\mathbf{V}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}})R' = \sigma^2R(X'X)^{-1}R'. \end{aligned}$$

- 2. We know that  $\frac{(n-k)s^2}{\sigma^2} = \frac{e'e}{\sigma^2} = \frac{(y-X\hat{\beta})'(y-X\hat{\beta})}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-k).$
- 3. Under normality assumption on u,  $\hat{\beta}$  is independent of e.
- 4. Therefore, we have the following distribution:

$$\frac{(R\hat{\beta} - r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r)/G}{(y - X\hat{\beta})'(y - X\hat{\beta})/(n - k)} \sim F(G, n - k)$$

### 5. Some Examples:

(a) t Test:

The case of G=1, r=0 and  $R=(0,\cdots,1,\cdots,0)$  (the *i*th element of R is one and the other elements are zero):

The test of  $H_0$ :  $\beta_i = 0$  is given by:

$$\frac{(R\hat{\beta} - r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r)/G}{s^2} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_i^2}{s^2a_{ii}} \sim F(1, n - k),$$

where  $s^2 = e'e/(n-k)$ ,  $R\hat{\beta} = \hat{\beta}_i$  and

$$a_{ii} = R(X'X)^{-1}R' = \text{ the } i \text{ row and } i\text{th column of } (X'X)^{-1}.$$

\*) Recall that  $Y \sim F(1, m)$  when  $X \sim t(m)$  and  $Y = X^2$ .

Therefore, the test of  $H_0$ :  $\beta_i = 0$  is given by:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_i}{s\sqrt{a_{ii}}} \sim t(n-k).$$

(b) Test of structural change (Part 1):

$$y_{i} = \begin{cases} x_{i}\beta_{1} + u_{i}, & i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ x_{i}\beta_{2} + u_{i}, & i = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

Assume that  $u_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

In a matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \\ y_{m+1} \\ y_{m+2} \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ x_m & 0 \\ 0 & x_{m+1} \\ 0 & x_{m+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & x_n \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_m \\ u_{m+1} \\ u_{m+2} \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Moreover, rewriting,

$$\begin{pmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & X_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} + u$$

Again, rewriting,

$$Y = X\beta + u$$

The null hypothesis is  $H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2$ .

Apply the F test, using  $R = (I_k - I_k)$  and r = 0.

In this case,  $G = \operatorname{rank}(R) = k$  and  $\beta$  is a  $2k \times 1$  vector.

The distribution is F(k, n-2k).

(c) The hypothesis in which sum of the 1st and 2nd coefficients is equal to one:

$$R = (1, 1, 0, \dots, 0), r = 1$$

In this case,  $G = \operatorname{rank}(R) = 1$ 

The distribution of the test statistic is F(1, n - k).

### (d) Testing seasonality:

In the case of quarterly data (四半期データ), the regression model is:

$$y = \alpha + \alpha_1 D_1 + \alpha_2 D_2 + \alpha_3 D_3 + X \beta_0 + u$$

 $D_j = 1$  in the *j*th quarter and 0 otherwise, i.e.,  $D_j$ , j = 1, 2, 3, are seasonal dummy variables.

Testing seasonality  $\Longrightarrow H_0: \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = 0$ 

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_3 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \qquad R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case,  $G = \operatorname{rank}(R) = 3$ , and  $\beta$  is a  $k \times 1$  vector.

The distribution of the test statistic is F(3, n - k).

#### (e) Cobb-Douglas Production Function:

Let  $Q_i$ ,  $K_i$  and  $L_i$  be production, capital stock and labor.

We estimate the following production function:

$$\log(Q_i) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \log(K_i) + \beta_3 \log(L_i) + u_i.$$

We test a linear homogeneous (一次同次) production function.

The null and alternative hypotheses are:

$$H_0: \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 1,$$

$$H_1: \beta_2 + \beta_3 \neq 1.$$

Then, set as follows:

$$R = (0 \ 1 \ 1), r = 1.$$

(f) Test of structural change (Part 2):

Test the structural change between time periods m and m + 1.

In the case where both the constant term and the slope are changed, the regression model is as follows:

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \gamma d_i + \delta d_i x_i + u_i,$$

where

$$d_i = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \\ 1, & \text{for } i = m + 1, m + 2, \dots, n. \end{cases}$$

We consider testing the structural change at time m + 1.

The null and alternative hypotheses are as follows:

$$H_0: \gamma = \delta = 0,$$

$$H_1: \gamma \neq 0, \text{ or, } \delta \neq 0.$$

Then, set as follows:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(g) Multiple regression model:

Consider the case of two explanatory variables:

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + \gamma z_i + u_i.$$

We want to test the hypothesis that neither  $x_i$  nor  $z_i$  depends on  $y_i$ .

In this case, the null and alternative hypotheses are as follows:

$$H_0: \beta = \gamma = 0,$$

$$H_1: \beta \neq 0$$
, or,  $\gamma \neq 0$ .

Then, set as follows:

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

### Coefficient of Determination $R^2$ and F distribution:

The regression model:

$$y_i = x_i \beta + u_i = \beta_1 + x_{2i} \beta_2 + u_i$$

where

$$x_i = (1 \quad x_{2i}), \qquad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
  
$$x_i : 1 \times k, \qquad x_{2i} : 1 \times (k-1), \qquad \beta : k \times 1, \qquad \beta_2 : (k-1) \times 1$$

Define:

$$X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} x_{21} \\ x_{22} \\ \vdots \\ x_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Then,

$$y = X\beta + u = (i \quad X_2) {\beta_1 \choose \beta_2} + u = i\beta_1 + X_2\beta_2 + u,$$

where the first column of *X* corresponds to a constant term, i.e.,

$$X = (i \quad X_2), \qquad i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• Consider testing  $H_0$ :  $\beta_2 = 0$ .

The *F* distribution is set as follows:

$$R = (0 I_{k-1}), r = 0$$

where R is a  $(k-1) \times k$  matrix and r is a  $(k-1) \times 1$  vector.

$$\frac{(R\hat{\beta} - r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r)/(k-1)}{e'e/(n-k)} \sim F(k-1, n-k)$$

We are going to show:

$$(R\hat{\beta} - r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta} - r) = \hat{\beta}_2'X_2'MX_2\hat{\beta}_2,$$

where 
$$M = I_n - \frac{1}{n}ii'$$
.

Note that M is symmetric and idempotent, i.e., M'M = M.

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 - \overline{y} \\ y_2 - \overline{y} \\ \vdots \\ y_n - \overline{y} \end{pmatrix} = My$$

 $R(X'X)^{-1}R'$  is given by:

$$R(X'X)^{-1}R' = (0 I_{k-1}) \left( \begin{pmatrix} i' \\ X'_2 \end{pmatrix} (i X_2) \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (0 I_{k-1}) \left( \begin{matrix} i'i & i'X_2 \\ X'_2i & X'_2X_2 \end{matrix} \right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

[Review] The inverse of a partitioned matrix:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $A_{11}$  and  $A_{22}$  are square nonsingular matrices.

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & -B_{11}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1} \\ -A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}B_{11} & A_{22}^{-1} + A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}B_{11}A_{12}A_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $B_{11} = (A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21})^{-1}$ , or alternatively,

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^{-1} + A_{11}^{-1} A_{12} B_{22} A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} & -A_{11}^{-1} A_{12} B_{22} \\ -B_{22} A_{21} A_{11}^{-1} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $B_{22} = (A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12})^{-1}$ .

# [End of Review]

Go back to the F distribution.

Therefore, we obtain:

$$(0 I_{k-1}) \begin{pmatrix} i'i & i'X_2 \\ X_2'i & X_2'X_2 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= (0 I_{k-1}) \begin{pmatrix} \cdot & \cdots \\ \vdots & (X_2'MX_2)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ I_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} = (X_2'MX_2)^{-1}.$$

Thus, under  $H_0$ :  $\beta_2 = 0$ , we obtain the following result:

$$\frac{(R\hat{\beta}-r)'(R(X'X)^{-1}R')^{-1}(R\hat{\beta}-r)/(k-1)}{e'e/(n-k)} = \frac{\hat{\beta}_2'X_2'MX_2\hat{\beta}_2/(k-1)}{e'e/(n-k)} \sim F(k-1,n-k).$$