## 3.2.2 Random Effect Model (ランダム効果モデル)

Model:

$$y_{it} = X_{it}\beta + v_i + u_{it},$$
  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$   $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ 

where *i* indicates individual and *t* denotes time.

The assumptions on the error terms  $v_i$  and  $u_{it}$  are:

$$E(v_i|X) = E(u_{it}|X) = 0 \text{ for all } i,$$

$$V(v_i|X) = \sigma_v^2 \text{ for all } i, \qquad V(u_{it}|X) = \sigma_u^2 \text{ for all } i \text{ and } t,$$

$$Cov(v_i, v_j|X) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j, \qquad Cov(u_{it}, u_{js}|X) = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \text{ and } t \neq s,$$

$$Cov(v_i, u_{it}|X) = 0 \text{ for all } i, j \text{ and } t.$$

Note that *X* includes  $X_{it}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ .

In a matrix form with respect to  $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$ , we have the following:

$$y_i = X_i \beta + v_i 1_T + u_i, \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$
where  $y_i = \begin{pmatrix} y_{i1} \\ y_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{iT} \end{pmatrix}, X_i = \begin{pmatrix} X_{i1} \\ X_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ X_{iT} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } u_i = \begin{pmatrix} u_{i1} \\ u_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ u_{iT} \end{pmatrix} \text{ are } T \times 1, T \times k \text{ and } T \times 1, \text{ respectively.}$ 

$$u_i \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2 I_T)$$
 and  $v_i 1_T \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2) \implies v_i 1_T + u_i \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2 1_T 1_T' + \sigma_u^2 I_T)$ .

Again, in a matrix form with respect to i, we have the following:

where 
$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$
,  $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_n \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 1_T \\ v_2 1_T \\ \vdots \\ v_n 1_T \end{pmatrix}$  and  $u = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{pmatrix}$  are  $nT \times 1$ ,  $nT \times k$ ,  $nT \times 1$  and

 $nT \times 1$ , respectively.

The distribution of u + v is given by:

$$v + u \sim N(0, I_n \otimes (\sigma_v^2 1_T 1_T' + \sigma_u^2 I_T))$$

The likelihood function is given by:

$$L(\beta, \sigma_{v}^{2}, \sigma_{u}^{2}) = (2\pi)^{-nT/2} \Big| I_{n} \otimes (\sigma_{v}^{2} 1_{T} 1_{T}' + \sigma_{u}^{2} I_{T}) \Big|^{-1/2}$$

$$\times \exp \Big( -\frac{1}{2} (y - X\beta)' \Big( I_{n} \otimes (\sigma_{v}^{2} 1_{T} 1_{T}' + \sigma_{u}^{2} I_{T}) \Big)^{-1} (y - X\beta) \Big).$$

Remember that  $f(x) = (2\pi)^{-k/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)'\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right)$  when  $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , where X denotes a k-variate random variable.

The estimators of  $\beta$ ,  $\sigma_v^2$  and  $\sigma_u^2$  are given by maximizing the following log-likelihood function:

$$\begin{split} \log L(\beta,\sigma_v^2,\sigma_u^2) &= -\frac{nT}{2}\log(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2}\log\left|I_n\otimes(\sigma_v^21_T1_T' + \sigma_u^2I_T)\right| \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(y - X\beta)'\Big(I_n\otimes(\sigma_v^21_T1_T' + \sigma_u^2I_T)\Big)^{-1}(y - X\beta). \end{split}$$

MLE of  $\beta$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\beta}$ , is given by:

$$\tilde{\beta} = \left( X' \Big( I_n \otimes (\sigma_v^2 1_T 1_T' + \sigma_u^2 I_T) \Big)^{-1} X \Big)^{-1} X' \Big( I_n \otimes (\sigma_v^2 1_T 1_T' + \sigma_u^2 I_T) \Big)^{-1} y$$

$$= \Big( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i' (\sigma_v^2 1_T 1_T' + \sigma_u^2 I_T)^{-1} X_i \Big)^{-1} \Big( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i' (\sigma_v^2 1_T 1_T' + \sigma_u^2 I_T)^{-1} y_i \Big),$$

which is equivalent to GLS.

Note that  $\tilde{\beta}$  is not operational, because  $\hat{\beta}$  depends on  $\sigma_v^2$  and  $\sigma_u^2$ .

# 3.3 Hausman's Specification Error (特定化誤差) Test

Regression model:

$$y = X\beta + u$$
,  $y : n \times 1$ ,  $X : n \times k$ ,  $\beta : k \times 1$ ,  $u : n \times 1$ .

Suppose that *X* is stochastic.

If 
$$E(u|X) = 0$$
, OLSE  $\hat{\beta}$  is unbiased because of  $\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u$  and  $E((X'X)^{-1}X'u) = 0$ .

However, If  $E(u|X) \neq 0$ , OLSE  $\hat{\beta}$  is biased and inconsistent.

Therefore, we need to check if *X* is correlated with *u* or not.

## **⇒** Hausman's Specification Error Test

The null and alternative hypotheses are:

- $H_0$ : X and u are independent, i.e., Cov(X, u) = 0,
- $H_1$ : X and u are not independent.

Suppose that we have two estimators  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ , which have the following properties:

- $\hat{\beta}_0$  is consistent and efficient under  $H_0$ , but is not consistent under  $H_1$ ,
- $\hat{\beta}_1$  is consistent under both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , but is not efficient under  $H_0$ .

Under the conditions above, we have the following test statistic:

$$(\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0)' \left( \mathbf{V}(\hat{\beta}_1) - \mathbf{V}(\hat{\beta}_0) \right)^{-1} (\hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_0) \longrightarrow \chi^2(k).$$

**Example:**  $\hat{\beta}_0$  is OLS, while  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is IV such as 2SLS.

Hausman, J.A. (1978) "Specification Tests in Econometrics," *Econometrica*, Vol.46, No.6, pp.1251–1271.

## 3.4 Choice of Fixed Effect Model or Random Effect Model

### 3.4.1 The Case where X is Correlated with u — Review

The standard regression model is given by:

$$y = X\beta + u,$$
  $u \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ 

OLS is:

$$\hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y = \beta + (X'X)^{-1}X'u.$$

If *X* is not correlated with *u*, i.e., E(X'u) = 0, we have the result:  $E(\hat{\beta}) = \beta$ .

However, if *X* is correlated with *u*, i.e.,  $E(X'u) \neq 0$ , we have the result:  $E(\hat{\beta}) \neq \beta$ .  $\implies \hat{\beta}$  is biased.

Assume that in the limit we have the followings:

$$(\frac{1}{n}X'X)^{-1} \longrightarrow M_{xx}^{-1},$$

$$\frac{1}{n}X'u \longrightarrow M_{xu} \neq 0 \text{ when } X \text{ is correlated with } u.$$

Therefore, even in the limit,

$$\operatorname{plim} \hat{\beta} = \beta + M_{xx}^{-1} M_{xu} \neq \beta,$$

which implies that  $\hat{\beta}$  is not a consistent estimator of  $\beta$ .

Thus, in the case where X is correlated with u, OLSE  $\hat{\beta}$  is neither unbiased nor consistent.

### 3.4.2 Fixed Effect Model or Random Effect Model

Usually, in the random effect model, we can consider that  $v_i$  is correlated with  $X_{it}$ .

## [Reason:]

 $v_i$  includes the unobserved variables in the *i*th individual, i.e., ability, intelligence, and so on.

 $X_{it}$  represents the observed variables in the *i*th individual, i.e., income, assets, and so on.

The unobserved variables  $v_i$  are related to the observed variables  $X_{it}$ .

Therefore, we consider that  $v_i$  is correlated with  $X_{it}$ .

Thus, in the case of the random effect model, usually we cannot use OLS or GLS.

In order to use the random effect model, we need to test whether  $v_i$  is uncorrelated with  $X_{it}$ .

Apply Hausman's test.

- $H_0$ :  $X_{it}$  and  $e_{it}$  are independent ( $\longrightarrow$  Use the random effect model),
- $H_1: X_{it}$  and  $e_{it}$  are not independent ( $\longrightarrow$  Use the fixed effect model),

where  $e_{it} = v_i + u_{it}$ .

### Note that:

- We can use the random effect model under  $H_0$ , but not under  $H_1$ .
- We can use the fixed effect model under both  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ .
- The random effect model is more efficient than the fixed effect model under  $H_0$ .

Therefore, under  $H_0$  we should use the random effect model, rather than the fixed effect model.

## 3.5 Applications

Example of Panel Data in Section 3: Production Function of Prefectures from

2001 to 2010.

pref: 都道府県(通し番号 1~47)

year: 年度(2001~2010年)

y : 県内総生産(支出側、実質: 固定基準年方式), 出所: 県民経済計算(平成 13 年度 - 平成 24 年度)(93SNA, 平成 17 年基準計数)

k : 都道府県別民間資本ストック(平成12暦年価格,年度末,国民経済計 算ベース 平成23年3月時点)一期前(2000~2009年)

1 : 県内就業者数, 出所: 県民経済計算(平成13年度-平成24年度)(93SNA, 平成17年基準計数)

. tsset pref year

panel variable: pref (strongly balanced)

time variable: year, 2001 to 2010

delta: 1 unit

. gen ly=log(y)

. gen lk=log(k)

. gen 11=log(1)

. reg ly lk ll

Source	SS	df	MS		Number of obs = $F(2, 467) = 19374$	470 05
Model   Residual	316.479302 3.81409572		239651 3167229		Prob > F = 0.00 R-squared = 0.98 Adj R-squared = 0.98	000 381
Total	320.293398	469 .682	928354		Root MSE = $.090$	037
ly	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf. Interva	al]
lk   11   _cons	.0941587 .9976399 .5970719	.0081273 .0102641 .0773137	11.59 97.20 7.72	0.000 0.000 0.000	.0781881 .11012 .9774703 1.0178 .4451461 .74899	309

. xtreg ly lk ll,fe

```
Fixed-effects (within) regression
                                        Number of obs =
                                                               470
                                        Number of groups =
Group variable: pref
                                                              47
R-sq: within = 0.1721
                                        Obs per group: min = 10
                                                    avg = 10.0
     between = 0.9456
     overall = 0.9439
                                                              10
                                                    max =
                                        F(2,421)
                                                   = 43.77
= 0.0000
corr(u i. Xb) = 0.8803
                                        Prob > F
       ly | Coef. Std. Err. t P>|t| [95% Conf. Interval]
        lk | .2329208 .0252321 9.23 0.000 .1833242 .2825175
        11 | .3268537 .0810662 4.03 0.000 .1675088 .4861987
     cons | 7.691145 1.376677 5.59 0.000 4.985128 10.39716
    sigma_u | .41045507
    sigma_e | .03561437
       rho | .99252757
                       (fraction of variance due to u i)
F test that all u_i=0: F(46, 421) = 56.22 Prob > F = 0.0000
. est store fixed
```

. xtreg ly lk ll,re

Random-effects GLS regression Number of obs = 470 Group variable: pref Number of groups = 47

```
R-sq: within = 0.1058
                                                           Obs per group: min = 10
        between = 0.9805
                                                                             avg = 10.0
        overall = 0.9787
                                                                             max =
                                                                                            10
                                                          Wald chi2(2) = 3875.75
Prob > chi2 = 0.0000
corr(u_i, X) = 0  (assumed)
            ly | Coef. Std. Err. z P>|z| [95% Conf. Interval]

    1k |
    .2457767
    .0153094
    16.05
    0.000
    .2157708
    .2757827

    11 |
    .8105099
    .0220256
    36.80
    0.000
    .7673406
    .8536793

    ons |
    .8332015
    .2411141
    3.46
    0.001
    .3606265
    1.305776

        _cons |
      sigma_u | .081609
      sigma_e | .03561437
         rho | .8400205 (fraction of variance due to u_i)
. hausman fixed
                     ---- Coefficients ----
                                                    (b-B) sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B))
                       fixed
                                                       Difference
                   .2329208 .2457767
            lk I
                                                  -.0128559
                      .3268537
                                 .8105099
                                                      -.4836562
```

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtreg
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

$$chi2(2) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)$$
  
= 144.66

Prob>chi2 = 0.0000

# 4 Introduction to <u>Causal Inference</u> (因果推論) — Micro-econometrics —

### Notations:

- i: ith individual,
- $Y_i^1$ : Output of the *i*th individual for State 1,
- $Y_i^0$ : Output of the *i*th individual for State 0,
- X<sub>i</sub>: Explanatory variables of the ith indvidual (i.e., individual information such as sex, age, region, occupation, income, and etc.),
- $D_i$ : Dummy variable, i.e.,  $D_i = 1$  for State 1 and  $D_i = 0$  for State 0,

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

## Example:

- What is cause of wage gap? Is undergraduate school graduation a big factor?
- Is the policy effective?

. . .

. . .

. . .

## Special Words in this Field:

Treatment group or Experimental group (処置群,実験群) → Output 1
Control group (対照群, 比較群) → Output 0

### Problem:

We want to know  $Y_i^1 - Y_i^0$  for all i, which is called the **treatment effect** (処置効果).

However, we can observe either  $Y_i^1$  or  $Y_i^0$ .

Therefore, we cannot obtain  $Y_i^1 - Y_i^0$ .

 $Y_i^1$  and  $Y_i^0$  are assumed to be identically distributed.

Instead, consider estimating  $E(Y_i^1 - Y_i^0) = E(Y^1 - Y^0)$ , which is called the **average treatment effect (ATE, 平均処置効果)**.

## ● Note 1:

Using  $Y_i^1$ ,  $Y_i^0$  and  $D_i$ , the output of the *i*th individual is given by:

$$Y_i = D_i Y_i^1 + (1 - D_i) Y_i^0.$$

#### ● Note 2:

 $D_i$  is a discrete random variable.

 $D_i$  takes 1 or 0.

The probability of  $D_i = 1$  given  $X_i$  is denoted by  $P(D_i = 1|X_i)$ , and that of  $D_i = 0$  given  $X_i$  is represented by  $P(D_i = 0|X_i)$ .

The conditional expectation of  $D_i$  given  $X_i$  is:

$$E(D_i|X_i) = 1 \times P(D_i = 1|X_i) + 0 \times P(D_i = 0|X_i) = P(D_i = 1|X_i),$$

which is derived from the definition of expectation in the case of the discrete random variable.

Therefore,  $E(D_i|X_i)$  is between 0 and 1.

Consider that  $E(D_i|X_i) = P(D_i = 1|X_i)$  is related to the distribution function  $\pi(X_i)$ , i.e.,  $P(D_i = 1|X_i) = \pi(X_i)$ .

 $\pi(X_i)$  is called the **propensity score** (傾向スコア).

## **Example of** $\pi(\cdot)$ **:**

F(x) is denoted by a distribution function, where  $F(-\infty) = 0$  and  $F(\infty) = 1$ . The relationship between  $\pi(X_i)$  and  $F(X_i\beta)$  is as follows:

$$\pi(X_i) = F(X_i\beta),$$

where  $\beta$  denotes the parameter to be estimated, and F(x) often takes one of the following two distribution functions:

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}t^2) dt \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Standard normal distribution}}{(\textbf{Probit model})}$$
or
$$F(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)} \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Logistic distribution}}{(\textbf{Logit model})}.$$